MAY 2019

USDA FOREST SERVICE REGION 1 2018-2019 COMBINED SOCIAL SURVEY DATA

METHODS SUMMARY

Sponsor

USDA Forest Service Region 1 26 Fort Missoula Road Missoula, Montana 59804





Contents

Methods Summary2
Survey Administration2
Questionnaire Design2
Sampling
Sampling Error4
The Respondents4
Data Set Preparation4
Weighting
Structure of the Remainder of this Report5
CrosstabulationsA1
Open-Ended QuestionsB1
ReferencesC1

Table 1: Sampling Plan Description	•3
Table 2: Respondent Demographics	•4

Methods Summary

The purpose of the 2018-2019 U.S.D.A. Forest Service Region 1 Social Surveys was to examine:

- perceptions of the purpose for federal public lands;
- views about possible management actions on those lands;
- opinions about possible concerns regarding federal public lands;
- frequency of self-reported activities on federal public lands; and
- opinions about the quantity of services provided on federal public lands.

The 2018-2019 surveys were designed to help policy-makers and planners quantify public opinions about federal public lands that are close to the home of Region 1 residents.

The mail and internet-administered surveys provide U.S.D.A. Forest Service rigorous, representative samples by which to gauge current public opinion. The surveys were constructed to act as a benchmark that would allow possible future exploration of trends in public sentiment regarding federal public lands in U.S.D.A. Forest Service Region 1.

Survey Administration

University of Montana's Bureau of Business and Economic Research (BBER) administered the surveys on behalf of the U.S.D.A. Forest Service in two waves:

- 1. Wave 1 was administered from January 7, 2018, through April 11, 2018.
- 2. Wave 2 was administered from January 18, 2019 through April 22, 2019.

The surveys were administered by mail and responses were collected over the internet or via a hardcopy questionnaire. Sampled potential respondents received up to 4 mail contacts during the survey:

- 1. An introductory letter inviting participation via an internet link provided;
- 2. A follow-up letter thanking respondents and reminding nonrespondents that they could participate via the internet link provided;
- 3. A 8.5" x 11' questionnaire packet mailed to non-respondents only inviting participation via an internet link provided or by completing a hardcopy questionnaire and returning it in the stamped envelope provided;
- 4. A second 8.5" x 11' questionnaire packet mailed to non-respondents only inviting participation via an internet link provided or by completing a hardcopy questionnaire and returning it in the stamped envelope provided.

Questionnaire Design

The questionnaire was designed by U.S.D.A. Forest Service Region 1 staff. U.S. Office of Management and Budget clearance for the survey was issued on 11/20/2017. The OMB control number for this survey is 0596-0236. BBER formatted the hardcopy of the questionnaire. In

addition, BBER programmed and tested the internet version of the questionnaire using software provided by Qualtrics, Inc.

Sampling

Sampling was conducted during each wave using an addressed-based, random sample purchased from Dynata. Within household random sampling was conducted using the most recent birthday method. The study population was adults (ages 18+) who lived in an occupied dwelling that was listed on the U.S. Postal Service's Computerized Delivery Sequence File. These adults were sampled from a total of 564 census tracts located in or near national forests in Region 1. The list of relevant census tracts was provided by Region 1 staff. This population differs slightly from all adults as it excludes institutionalized persons, homeless persons, and those absent during the survey period.

The samples were stratified by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) definition of urban areas. One stratum consisted of all Region 1 census tracts outside OMB-designated metropolitan or micropolitan statistical area counties. The second stratum consisted of all Region 1 census tracts within metropolitan or micropolitan statistical area counties. The purpose of stratifying the samples in this way was to make sure that respondents in low population areas were not underrepresented, as they may have been using a simple random sample. The sampling plan is summarized in Table 1 below:

SAMPLING STRATUM	2017 AMERICAN COMMUNITY SURVEY 5 YEAR POPULATION	SAMPLED ADULTS	RESPONDENTS (N)
Outside OMB- designated metropolitan or micropolitan statistical area counties	446,912	5,020	1,477
Within metropolitan or micropolitan statistical area counties	1,407,186	2,520	752
TOTAL	1,854,098	7,540	2,229

Table 1: Sampling Plan Description

Sampling Error

Data users should take care to calculate standard errors and t-tests for these data using a statistical software package that can account for the actual design of the combined surveys. Accounting for the stratified design and weighted data, the confidence interval for a proportion of 50% estimated from the combined wave 1 and wave 2 data is +/- 4.1% and the standard error is 2.1%

The Respondents

Table 2 below describes the combined survey respondents. 2017 U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey 5-year population proportions for the ages 18 and older study population of 1,854,098 persons are provided for context.

Table 2: Respondent Demographics

		2017 ACS 5- YEAR	UNWEIGHTED RESPONSES	WEIGHTED RESPONSES
CHARACTE	RISTIC	ESTIMATE (%)	(%)	(%)
Gender	Male	50.0%	57.8%	50.0%
	Female	49.7%	41.9%	49.7%
	Other	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%
Age	18-34	30.4%	7.9%	30.4%
	35-49	14.9%	9.6%	14.9%
	50-64	33.8%	38.3%	33.8%
	65 +	21.1%	44.2%	21.1%
Race	White	91.2%	95·7 [%]	91.2%
	American Indian	3.2%	3.6%	3.2%
	Other	5.6%	0.7%	5.6%
2017	< \$50,000	47.6%	44·7 [%]	47.6%
Household	\$50,000 - \$99,999	31.6%	38.3%	31.6%
Income	\$100,000 +	20.8%	17.0%	20.8%
Education	HS diploma or less	36.1%	18.9%	36.1%
Attainment	Some college or AA degree	37.5%	49.1%	37.5%
	Bachelors +	26.4%	32.0%	26.4%

Data Set Preparation

Following collection and data entry, 100% of mailed questionnaires were verified for data entry accuracy. Appropriate data labels were added as well as composite variables and flags to facilitate analysis. Missing values for the weighting variables, necessary for comparison to the 2016 ACS 5-year estimates, were imputed using the hot deck method which substitutes the responses of similar cases for missing data. Data were processed using three statistical software packages: IBM SPSS Statistics Version 25 (2017), SAS Version 9.4 (2016), and Statistics Canada's G-EST Version 2.01 (2017).

Weighting

Weights for the 2018-2019 U.S.D.A. Forest Service Region 1 Social Surveys were calculated using a three-step process. In step 1 a base weight was calculated to account for the probability of selection of each individual in the sample. The population control total was based on the U.S. Census Bureau's American Community Survey 2017 5-year estimate for the population of persons age 18 and older. In step 2 the base weight was modified to adjust for nonresponse. In step 3 the nonresponse-adjusted weight was calibrated to population control totals derived from the U.S. Census Bureau's American Community Survey 2017 5-year estimates for the population of persons age 18 and older.

Survey weight calibration was conducted using the Gest_Calibration module of Generalized Estimation System version 2.01 (March 2017) developed by Statistics Canada. The 2018-2019 U.S.D.A. Forest Service Region 1 Social Survey weights were calibrated to population control totals by:

- 1. Gender
- 2. Age
- 3. Race
- 4. 2017 household income
- 5. Educational attainment
- 6. Sampling strata population

Two survey weights were provided by BBER on the dataset: a population weight useful for estimating the number of adults in the study population who have a particular characteristic, and a sample weight needed for most statistical packages to calculate standard errors.

Structure of the Remainder of this Report

The remainder of this report is divided into two sections. The first section presents extensive crosstabulations of the responses to these surveys. The second section displays answers to the open-ended questions asked in these surveys.

Crosstabulations

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total		
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count		
Gender_wt	Total	1.0%	2.7%	6.6%	29.5%	60.2%	2187		
	Male	1.5%	4.2%	7.5%	35.2%	51.6%	1267		
	Female	0.5%	1.3%	5.7%	23.5%	69.1%	913		
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	6.5%	74.8%	18.7%	7		
Age_wt	18-34	0.8%	3.3%	6.4%	28.4%	61.2%	171		
	35-44	3.0%	1.2%	10.6%	18.1%	67.1%	212		
	45-64	0.1%	3.8%	5.2%	35.0%	56.0%	844		
	65 and over	1.2%	1.4%	6.3%	30.5%	60.6%	960		
Race_wt	White	1.1%	2.8%	6.7%	29.8%	59.8%	2076		
	American Indian	0.0%	7.4%	1.8%	24.9%	65.9%	86		
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%	27.9%	64.1%	25		
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	0.7%	4.0%	6.3%	29.7%	59.3%	413		
	Some college or associates degree	1.8%	3.4%	7.1%	29.4%	58.3%	1070		
	Bachelors degree or more	0.2%	0.2%	6.3%	29.3%	64.0%	704		
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	1.4%	1.9%	5.2%	24.2%	67.2%	976		
	50000 to 99999	0.8%	3.0%	7.2%	35.8%	53.2%	836		
	100000 or more	0.2%	4.2%	8.6%	32.0%	54.9%	375		
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	0.0%	3.5%	12.5%	31.3%	52.7%	1448		
	Urban	1.3%	2.5%	4.7%	28.9%	62.6%	739		

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands? a. Protecting water quality

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	1.8%	3.4%	21.0%	32.7%	41.3%	2185
	Male	3.5%	3.8%	19.4%	32.3%	41.1%	1266
	Female	0.1%	3.0%	22.1%	33.2%	41.7%	912
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	93.5%	6.5%	0.0%	7
Age_wt	18-34	1.5%	5.5%	22.8%	27.0%	43.2%	172
	35-44	0.2%	2.6%	17.6%	26.2%	53.4%	212
	45-64	2.6%	2.4%	19.3%	36.0%	39.7%	842
	65 and over	1.8%	2.4%	23.4%	40.4%	32.1%	959
Race_wt	White	1.7%	2.9%	21.3%	33.1%	41.0%	2074
	American Indian	0.0%	17.0%	18.8%	28.1%	36.1%	86
	Other	4.0%	4.0%	16.0%	27.9%	48.0%	25
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	0.9%	3.1%	20.4%	37.4%	38.2%	411
	Some college or associates degree	3.1%	4.7%	21.1%	30.3%	40.8%	1070
	Bachelors degree or more	1.1%	1.8%	21.5%	29.5%	46.0%	704
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	1.6%	4.7%	19.9%	36.4%	37.3%	975
	50000 to 99999	0.8%	1.9%	20.6%	28.2%	48.5%	835
	100000 or more	3.5%	2.5%	23.8%	31.0%	39.3%	375
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	0.3%	5.9%	19.1%	32.3%	42.5%	1449
	Urban	2.2%	2.6%	21.5%	32.8%	40.9%	736

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands? b. Providing recreation opportunities

		Not at all important Row N %	Slightly important Row N %	Moderately important Row N %	Very important Row N %	Extremely important Row N %	Total Unweighted Count			
Gender_wt	Total	2.0%	3.8%	12.6%	29.2%	52.4%	2162			
Conder_wt	Male	3.3%	5.6%	16.1%	34.3%	40.6%	1248			
	Female	0.7%	2.0%	9.2%	24.2%	63.9%	907			
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	6.5%	0.0%	93.5%	7			
Age_wt	18-34	0.8%	3.2%	13.8%	24.5%	57.7%	171			
//go_wi	35-44	3.3%	5.0%	14.7%	16.2%	60.7%	208			
	45-64	2.7%	5.4%	12.9%	34.8%	44.2%	840			
	65 and over	1.9%	1.2%	8.9%	35.9%	52.1%	943			
Race_wt	White	2.0%	3.8%	13.0%	29.4%	51.8%	2053			
Ndoo_wi	American Indian	7.4%	2.2%	3.0%	21.1%	66.3%	85			
	Other	0.0%	4.2%	12.5%	29.1%	54.2%	24			
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	2.8%	3.8%	12.0%	33.8%	47.6%	403			
Ludo_m	Some college or associates degree	2.5%	5.6%	13.9%	26.0%	52.0%	1060			
	Bachelors degree or more	0.3%	1.3%	11.7%	27.3%	59.4%	699			
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	2.1%	2.4%	8.9%	27.0%	59.7%	961			
_	50000 to 99999	1.9%	2.8%	16.9%	30.4%	47.9%	829			
	100000 or more	2.1%	8.5%	14.7%	32.2%	42.6%	372			
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	1.4%	5.6%	19.0%	28.9%	45.2%	1429			
	Urban	2.2%	3.3%	10.6%	29.3%	54.6%	733			

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands? c. Protecting air quality

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Gender_wt	Total	9.9%	18.4%	36.5%	25.7%	9.6%	2166
	Male	13.7%	19.5%	34.4%	24.4%	8.1%	1255
	Female	6.0%	17.3%	38.4%	27.2%	11.1%	904
	Other	6.5%	18.7%	74.8%	0.0%	0.0%	7
Age_wt	18-34	7.6%	24.4%	37.4%	24.9%	5.7%	172
	35-44	12.6%	18.1%	31.3%	26.7%	11.3%	210
	45-64	10.8%	14.0%	39.9%	24.4%	10.9%	836
	65 and over	9.7%	16.9%	33.4%	28.3%	11.8%	948
Race_wt	White	10.3%	18.7%	35.6%	25.5%	9.9%	2056
	American Indian	7.8%	20.7%	53.5%	10.0%	8.0%	86
	Other	4.2%	12.5%	41.7%	37.4%	4.2%	24
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	10.3%	15.6%	36.8%	23.9%	13.5%	408
	Some college or associates degree	12.6%	17.2%	36.0%	25.2%	8.9%	1061
	Bachelors degree or more	5.4%	23.8%	36.7%	28.9%	5.2%	697
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	8.5%	16.3%	38.1%	25.8%	11.3%	963
	50000 to 99999	9.8%	18.5%	37.7%	25.0%	8.9%	830
	100000 or more	12.9%	23.0%	30.9%	26.6%	6.7%	373
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	9.5%	22.6%	33.0%	25.9%	9.1%	1434
	Urban	10.0%	17.1%	37.6%	25.7%	9.7%	732

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands? d. Providing income for the tourist industry

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Gender_wt	Total	22.1%	18.3%	25.8%	20.8%	13.0%	2180
	Male	22.7%	18.5%	23.5%	20.6%	14.7%	1261
	Female	21.5%	18.1%	28.3%	20.7%	11.3%	912
	Other	18.7%	0.0%	0.0%	74.8%	6.5%	7
Age_wt	18-34	27.7%	19.5%	24.0%	17.8%	11.0%	172
	35-44	23.7%	20.0%	23.0%	18.3%	15.0%	212
	45-64	19.9%	17.0%	28.2%	20.5%	14.3%	843
	65 and over	16.0%	17.3%	26.6%	27.7%	12.3%	953
Race_wt	White	22.2%	19.2%	24.9%	20.8%	12.9%	2069
	American Indian	14.2%	9.0%	42.5%	17.5%	16.8%	86
	Other	24.0%	7.9%	32.0%	24.0%	12.0%	25
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	13.0%	13.9%	28.5%	26.8%	17.8%	408
	Some college or associates degree	22.4%	18.0%	27.0%	19.0%	13.7%	1070
	Bachelors degree or more	33.7%	24.5%	20.6%	15.5%	5.7%	702
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	22.7%	17.6%	26.3%	21.4%	12.0%	969
	50000 to 99999	18.0%	18.7%	27.2%	22.0%	14.1%	835
	100000 or more	26.7%	19.1%	22.8%	17.9%	13.6%	376
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	20.1%	11.3%	28.5%	24.2%	16.0%	1445
	Urban	22.7%	20.5%	25.0%	19.8%	12.0%	735

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands? e. Providing income for the natural resource extraction industries (oil /gas /coal /minerals)

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Gender_wt	Total	9.9%	16.5%	29.1%	25.9%	18.6%	2174
	Male	10.1%	12.9%	28.0%	27.8%	21.2%	1257
	Female	9.6%	20.2%	29.8%	24.1%	16.2%	910
	Other	18.7%	0.0%	74.8%	6.5%	0.0%	7
Age_wt	18-34	12.6%	21.7%	28.5%	23.8%	13.4%	172
	35-44	8.4%	24.1%	25.4%	21.1%	21.0%	211
	45-64	10.2%	12.9%	30.7%	26.4%	19.7%	841
	65 and over	6.4%	9.0%	29.9%	31.7%	23.0%	950
Race_wt	White	9.9%	16.8%	28.6%	26.1%	18.5%	2063
	American Indian	4.7%	22.2%	28.9%	32.1%	12.1%	86
	Other	12.0%	8.0%	36.1%	19.9%	24.0%	25
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	3.4%	9.0%	29.2%	32.6%	25.8%	406
	Some college or associates degree	12.9%	15.2%	27.5%	25.7%	18.8%	1067
	Bachelors degree or more	14.5%	28.4%	31.0%	17.2%	8.8%	701
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	9.6%	13.9%	30.3%	28.5%	17.8%	967
	50000 to 99999	8.4%	14.7%	27.5%	26.9%	22.4%	833
	100000 or more	12.8%	25.0%	28.7%	18.5%	15.0%	374
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	9.2%	13.1%	21.5%	30.1%	26.1%	1441
	Urban	10.1%	17.5%	31.4%	24.6%	16.3%	733

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands? f. Providing income for the timber industry

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	4.8%	8.4%	18.9%	26.4%	41.5%	2182
	Male	7.0%	9.8%	22.1%	29.0%	32.1%	1263
	Female	2.6%	7.1%	15.9%	23.4%	50.9%	912
	Other	6.5%	0.0%	0.0%	74.8%	18.7%	7
Age_wt	18-34	2.7%	3.3%	12.5%	27.1%	54.5%	172
	35-44	5.9%	11.4%	16.1%	22.2%	44.3%	212
	45-64	6.7%	8.9%	24.0%	26.9%	33.5%	841
	65 and over	4.1%	13.2%	22.3%	27.4%	33.0%	957
Race_wt	White	4.6%	8.7%	19.6%	26.5%	40.5%	2072
	American Indian	11.3%	7.1%	3.3%	17.6%	60.6%	86
	Other	4.2%	4.2%	16.7%	29.2%	45.8%	24
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	5.6%	8.9%	23.3%	30.2%	32.0%	408
	Some college or associates degree	5.8%	9.7%	16.6%	25.8%	42.1%	1069
	Bachelors degree or more	2.3%	6.0%	16.4%	22.0%	53.3%	705
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	2.6%	7.0%	18.2%	26.2%	46.1%	974
	50000 to 99999	4.8%	9.2%	19.9%	27.4%	38.8%	834
	100000 or more	9.9%	10.6%	19.4%	25.2%	34.9%	374
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	6.7%	9.0%	20.1%	25.0%	39.2%	1445
	Urban	4.2%	8.2%	18.6%	26.8%	42.1%	737

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands? g. Protecting rare and endangered plant and animal species

		3							
		Not at all important Row N %	Slightly important Row N %	Moderately important Row N %	Very important Row N %	Extremely important Row N %	Total Unweighted Count		
Gender_wt	Total	1.5%	4.3%	12.3%	29.8%	52.1%	2168		
Gender_wt	Male	2.7%	4.1%	14.8%	35.9%	42.4%	1256		
	Female	0.3%	4.1%	9.8%	23.5%	62.0%	905		
• •	Other	0.0%	6.5%	0.0%	74.8%	18.7%	7		
Age_wt	18-34	0.0%	4.3%	9.7%	23.4%	62.6%	172		
	35-44	1.8%	3.7%	16.9%	21.5%	56.1%	212		
	45-64	2.4%	4.4%	13.0%	35.4%	44.7%	833		
	65 and over	1.9%	4.6%	11.5%	36.5%	45.6%	951		
Race_wt	White	1.5%	4.4%	12.6%	29.9%	51.6%	2057		
	American Indian	1.7%	3.0%	10.9%	16.8%	67.6%	86		
	Other	0.0%	4.0%	8.0%	36.0%	52.0%	25		
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	2.0%	4.5%	12.0%	35.8%	45.7%	407		
	Some college or associates degree	1.8%	3.9%	15.3%	27.5%	51.5%	1060		
	Bachelors degree or more	0.3%	4.6%	8.3%	25.2%	61.5%	701		
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	1.7%	3.3%	10.0%	30.3%	54.6%	972		
	50000 to 99999	0.9%	3.7%	11.3%	30.9%	53.2%	824		
	100000 or more	1.7%	7.4%	18.8%	27.1%	45.0%	372		
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	1.1%	7.4%	15.9%	23.3%	52.4%	1440		
	Urban	1.6%	3.3%	11.1%	31.9%	52.0%	728		

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands? h. Protecting wildlife habitat

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Gender_wt	Total	5.6%	6.6%	14.5%	25.5%	47.8%	2189
	Male	7.9%	6.6%	18.8%	22.9%	43.8%	1266
	Female	3.3%	6.6%	10.3%	27.8%	51.9%	916
	Other	6.5%	0.0%	0.0%	74.8%	18.7%	7
Age_wt	18-34	2.6%	5.0%	13.5%	22.4%	56.5%	172
	35-44	5.4%	7.8%	10.8%	21.3%	54.6%	211
	45-64	7.3%	6.7%	14.3%	27.0%	44.7%	843
	65 and over	7.4%	7.9%	19.1%	30.7%	35.0%	963
Race_wt	White	5.2%	6.5%	14.7%	25.7%	47.8%	2078
	American Indian	4.8%	0.0%	5.1%	29.8%	60.3%	86
	Other	12.0%	12.0%	16.0%	20.0%	39.9%	25
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	6.0%	8.4%	14.9%	26.9%	43.8%	412
	Some college or associates degree	7.3%	5.9%	14.5%	28.8%	43.5%	1072
	Bachelors degree or more	2.7%	5.2%	14.1%	18.9%	59.1%	705
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	4.7%	6.3%	15.1%	28.6%	45.4%	977
	50000 to 99999	6.1%	6.4%	14.8%	19.7%	53.0%	837
	100000 or more	7.0%	7.7%	12.8%	27.2%	45.4%	375
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	5.6%	6.5%	16.0%	19.7%	52.2%	1450
	Urban	5.6%	6.6%	14.1%	27.3%	46.4%	739

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands? i. Knowing that in the future I will have the option to visit a primitive or wilderness area of my choice

				j. i romanig op	intual inspiration		
		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Gender_wt	Total	24.3%	19.8%	21.6%	17.7%	16.7%	2168
	Male	31.2%	21.6%	23.6%	13.2%	10.3%	1258
	Female	17.5%	18.1%	19.6%	22.3%	22.5%	903
	Other	6.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	93.5%	7
Age_wt	18-34	18.5%	18.5%	22.4%	19.5%	21.0%	171
	35-44	25.8%	16.2%	23.3%	11.5%	23.1%	210
	45-64	29.0%	19.0%	21.2%	18.6%	12.2%	837
	65 and over	24.1%	25.6%	19.5%	18.2%	12.7%	950
Race_wt	White	24.8%	19.9%	21.6%	17.9%	15.8%	2059
	American Indian	26.3%	7.3%	15.4%	22.9%	28.2%	84
	Other	16.0%	23.9%	24.0%	12.0%	24.0%	25
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	25.1%	22.2%	19.1%	19.3%	14.4%	403
	Some college or associates degree	27.9%	20.1%	18.4%	19.0%	14.6%	1062
	Bachelors degree or more	18.3%	16.0%	29.2%	14.0%	22.5%	703
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	20.1%	17.0%	20.0%	22.4%	20.6%	966
	50000 to 99999	23.8%	23.0%	25.9%	11.8%	15.6%	828
	100000 or more	34.7%	21.2%	18.7%	16.0%	9.4%	374
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	23.3%	26.1%	17.4%	12.7%	20.4%	1435
	Urban	24.6%	17.8%	22.8%	19.3%	15.5%	733

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands? j. Providing spiritual inspiration

			-	-	· · ·		
		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	3.7%	6.3%	10.8%	25.8%	53.4%	2182
-	Male	5.5%	6.3%	13.7%	26.4%	48.1%	1263
	Female	1.9%	6.4%	7.9%	25.3%	58.5%	912
	Other	6.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	93.5%	7
Age_wt	18-34	1.7%	4.0%	9.0%	21.0%	64.3%	171
	35-44	1.7%	9.4%	6.1%	20.8%	61.9%	210
	45-64	5.8%	6.4%	13.8%	27.1%	47.0%	841
	65 and over	4.6%	7.2%	11.9%	34.3%	42.0%	960
Race_wt	White	3.5%	6.5%	10.8%	25.9%	53.3%	2072
	American Indian	1.7%	3.0%	9.4%	26.1%	59.8%	85
	Other	8.0%	4.0%	12.0%	24.0%	52.0%	25
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	4.3%	7.9%	11.7%	29.8%	46.3%	410
	Some college or associates degree	4.1%	6.8%	12.2%	25.1%	51.8%	1070
	Bachelors degree or more	2.2%	3.4%	7.6%	21.4%	65.4%	702
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	1.8%	5.5%	10.0%	26.9%	55.9%	973
	50000 to 99999	6.3%	5.6%	12.2%	23.0%	53.0%	835
	100000 or more	4.1%	9.2%	10.5%	27.6%	48.6%	374
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	3.7%	7.1%	12.4%	25.7%	51.2%	1446
	Urban	3.7%	6.0%	10.3%	25.8%	54.2%	736

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands? k. Knowing that future generations will have primitive or wilderness areas

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Gender_wt	Total	6.4%	4.8%	17.6%	28.2%	43.0%	2182
	Male	8.0%	4.5%	22.6%	28.7%	36.1%	1265
	Female	4.7%	5.2%	12.8%	27.3%	49.9%	910
	Other	6.5%	0.0%	0.0%	74.8%	18.7%	7
Age_wt	18-34	2.5%	2.3%	22.1%	27.9%	45.2%	170
	35-44	6.6%	9.3%	12.2%	18.9%	53.1%	211
	45-64	10.5%	3.9%	15.4%	30.8%	39.5%	841
	65 and over	5.1%	6.9%	18.8%	31.1%	38.1%	960
Race_wt	White	6.2%	4.8%	17.8%	28.2%	43.1%	2073
	American Indian	2.5%	1.2%	9.2%	28.5%	58.6%	84
	Other	12.0%	8.0%	20.0%	27.9%	32.1%	25
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	5.2%	5.7%	13.2%	33.0%	42.9%	410
	Some college or associates degree	6.5%	4.0%	21.8%	25.8%	41.8%	1070
	Bachelors degree or more	7.7%	4.8%	17.8%	25.1%	44.6%	702
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	2.1%	5.6%	15.7%	30.3%	46.3%	972
	50000 to 99999	9.5%	3.5%	20.4%	24.7%	41.8%	834
	100000 or more	11.4%	4.9%	18.0%	28.4%	37.3%	376
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	5.8%	6.7%	16.3%	29.0%	42.2%	1445
	Urban	6.6%	4.3%	18.1%	27.9%	43.2%	737

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands? I. Just knowing that primitive or wilderness areas exist

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Gender_wt	Total	2.2%	5.1%	16.8%	36.4%	39.4%	2171
	Male	3.3%	6.3%	18.7%	38.5%	33.1%	1254
	Female	1.2%	3.9%	15.0%	34.6%	45.2%	910
	Other	6.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	93.5%	7
Age_wt	18-34	0.9%	4.6%	17.3%	32.4%	44.8%	170
	35-44	3.9%	5.9%	14.7%	33.8%	41.7%	212
	45-64	2.4%	5.7%	15.4%	39.8%	36.7%	839
	65 and over	2.7%	4.4%	19.9%	38.7%	34.2%	950
Race_wt	White	2.5%	4.8%	16.8%	37.6%	38.3%	2063
	American Indian	0.0%	9.9%	19.3%	10.4%	60.5%	83
	Other	0.0%	8.0%	16.0%	31.9%	44.1%	25
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	3.2%	6.0%	14.0%	40.9%	35.9%	410
	Some college or associates degree	2.0%	5.1%	20.9%	33.4%	38.6%	1063
	Bachelors degree or more	1.3%	4.0%	14.9%	34.7%	45.1%	698
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	2.1%	4.3%	16.1%	37.0%	40.4%	971
	50000 to 99999	2.2%	4.4%	19.1%	36.6%	37.8%	827
	100000 or more	2.6%	8.1%	15.0%	35.0%	39.3%	373
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	2.0%	4.9%	20.4%	35.2%	37.5%	1438
	Urban	2.3%	5.2%	15.7%	36.8%	40.0%	733

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands? m. Providing scenic beauty

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	7.2%	11.7%	22.2%	27.8%	31.0%	2182
	Male	10.8%	15.0%	25.1%	25.1%	24.1%	1265
	Female	3.7%	7.9%	19.5%	30.7%	38.2%	910
	Other	6.5%	93.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7
Age_wt	18-34	5.7%	9.8%	18.7%	27.4%	38.4%	172
	35-44	6.1%	11.5%	22.0%	23.7%	36.7%	211
	45-64	8.4%	13.0%	26.2%	27.7%	24.7%	842
	65 and over	8.5%	12.6%	20.9%	31.6%	26.4%	957
Race_wt	White	7.5%	11.4%	22.2%	28.8%	30.1%	2072
	American Indian	6.2%	12.1%	19.5%	22.3%	39.9%	85
	Other	4.0%	16.0%	24.0%	15.9%	40.0%	25
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	10.1%	14.1%	22.6%	31.6%	21.7%	410
	Some college or associates degree	7.3%	11.8%	26.6%	20.9%	33.4%	1069
	Bachelors degree or more	3.4%	8.5%	15.5%	32.4%	40.2%	703
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	4.8%	9.8%	22.5%	29.5%	33.4%	973
	50000 to 99999	8.8%	16.0%	23.9%	23.7%	27.7%	833
	100000 or more	10.5%	9.6%	19.1%	30.3%	30.5%	376
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	7.1%	16.0%	24.5%	28.7%	23.7%	1445
	Urban	7.3%	10.4%	21.5%	27.5%	33.3%	737

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands? n. Preserving natural areas for scientific study

				0. 0			
		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	0.0%	0.5%	1.1%	7.4%	91.0%	221
	Male	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	6.8%	91.2%	139
	Female	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	8.7%	90.2%	81
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	<5
Age_wt	18-34	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	7.1%	89.9%	17
	35-44	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	97.8%	21
	45-64	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.0%	91.0%	91
	65 and over	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.0%	84.0%	92
Race_wt	White	0.0%	0.6%	1.3%	5.8%	92.4%	204
	American Indian	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	14
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	<5
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.9%	89.1%	30
	Some college or associates degree	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.2%	90.8%	104
	Bachelors degree or more	0.0%	1.3%	2.9%	3.6%	92.1%	87
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	18.4%	80.0%	90
	50000 to 99999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.9%	95.1%	81
	100000 or more	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%	96.6%	50
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	7.5%	88.2%	158
	Urban	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	7.4%	91.9%	63

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands? o. Other (Please specify below)

		Strongly oppose	Oppose	Neither oppose or support	Support	Strongly support	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	19.1%	28.9%	31.6%	17.1%	3.3%	2179
	Male	21.5%	32.4%	24.9%	18.7%	2.5%	1265
	Female	16.8%	25.6%	38.1%	15.4%	4.1%	907
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	81.3%	18.7%	0.0%	7
Age_wt	18-34	18.3%	25.0%	37.2%	17.8%	1.7%	174
	35-44	21.8%	35.1%	28.0%	14.7%	0.4%	211
	45-64	20.3%	28.0%	29.6%	17.4%	4.7%	842
	65 and over	16.4%	31.8%	29.3%	17.1%	5.4%	952
Race_wt	White	18.3%	30.2%	32.2%	16.9%	2.4%	2069
	American Indian	40.7%	22.2%	13.1%	16.7%	7.3%	85
	Other	20.0%	12.0%	32.1%	19.9%	16.0%	25
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	21.4%	32.6%	27.7%	13.6%	4.7%	413
	Some college or associates degree	21.7%	25.1%	32.4%	18.2%	2.7%	1062
	Bachelors degree or more	12.4%	29.4%	35.8%	20.1%	2.3%	704
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	19.5%	30.3%	29.5%	16.7%	4.0%	966
	50000 to 99999	21.0%	28.5%	33.7%	13.8%	3.0%	836
	100000 or more	15.2%	26.6%	33.2%	22.8%	2.2%	377
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	23.1%	30.4%	31.4%	11.6%	3.6%	1437
	Urban	17.9%	28.5%	31.7%	18.8%	3.2%	742

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. a. Closing underused campgrounds

		Strongly oppose	Oppose	Neither oppose or support	Support	Strongly support	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Gender_wt	Total	21.5%	22.0%	18.2%	30.9%	7.4%	2182
	Male	26.7%	21.3%	17.5%	29.9%	4.6%	1268
	Female	16.3%	22.6%	19.0%	31.8%	10.2%	908
	Other	0.0%	0.1%	25.9%	74.0%	0.0%	6
Age_wt	18-34	19.0%	21.0%	23.0%	29.8%	7.3%	172
	35-44	21.4%	21.8%	16.5%	33.9%	6.5%	213
	45-64	27.9%	22.4%	15.2%	27.1%	7.4%	839
	65 and over	15.1%	22.8%	17.5%	36.4%	8.2%	958
Race_wt	White	21.0%	22.0%	18.9%	31.6%	6.6%	2072
	American Indian	39.5%	30.7%	9.3%	14.3%	6.2%	86
	Other	20.8%	16.7%	12.5%	29.1%	20.9%	24
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	26.0%	20.8%	20.2%	26.6%	6.4%	410
	Some college or associates degree	22.9%	26.2%	14.9%	28.6%	7.4%	1064
	Bachelors degree or more	13.6%	17.7%	20.3%	39.8%	8.7%	708
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	20.3%	22.4%	18.7%	30.8%	7.8%	970
	50000 to 99999	24.7%	24.2%	17.6%	28.9%	4.5%	837
	100000 or more	19.5%	17.5%	18.2%	34.1%	10.7%	375
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	19.6%	21.9%	22.6%	28.8%	7.0%	1438
	Urban	22.1%	22.0%	16.9%	31.5%	7.5%	744

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. b. Requiring permits to recreate in very popular areas

		Strongly oppose	Oppose	Neither oppose or support	Support	Strongly support	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Gender_wt	Total	29.4%	31.3%	20.5%	15.8%	3.1%	2167
-	Male	32.5%	28.7%	20.1%	16.3%	2.4%	1258
	Female	25.7%	34.3%	21.0%	15.2%	3.9%	902
	Other	74.8%	0.0%	6.5%	18.7%	0.0%	7
Age_wt	18-34	30.5%	34.1%	18.5%	14.5%	2.3%	172
	35-44	34.4%	24.0%	19.4%	18.6%	3.6%	211
	45-64	28.7%	30.6%	22.3%	14.6%	3.8%	842
	65 and over	24.9%	33.7%	21.1%	17.6%	2.8%	942
Race_wt	White	29.3%	31.3%	21.5%	15.5%	2.5%	2058
	American Indian	27.4%	46.1%	14.4%	9.4%	2.6%	84
	Other	32.0%	23.9%	8.0%	24.0%	12.0%	25
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	30.3%	29.9%	25.8%	12.0%	2.1%	406
	Some college or associates degree	33.4%	31.8%	16.5%	14.9%	3.3%	1056
	Bachelors degree or more	22.5%	32.5%	18.9%	21.9%	4.1%	705
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	30.6%	31.7%	18.1%	15.3%	4.2%	962
	50000 to 99999	31.7%	32.9%	23.3%	10.5%	1.6%	830
	100000 or more	23.1%	28.0%	21.3%	24.6%	3.0%	375
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	32.6%	31.1%	21.0%	12.2%	3.1%	1432
	Urban	28.3%	31.4%	20.3%	16.9%	3.1%	735

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. c. Introducing parking fees

		Strongly oppose	Oppose	Neither oppose or support	Support	Strongly support	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Gender_wt	Total	21.5%	22.5%	20.7%	32.1%	3.3%	2171
	Male	27.2%	22.2%	19.4%	29.2%	2.0%	1255
	Female	15.8%	22.9%	21.6%	35.0%	4.7%	909
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	81.3%	18.7%	0.0%	7
Age_wt	18-34	21.4%	20.8%	20.3%	35.9%	1.6%	172
	35-44	23.5%	23.9%	13.2%	34.3%	5.1%	210
	45-64	23.9%	21.7%	22.2%	29.2%	3.1%	838
	65 and over	16.1%	25.1%	24.4%	29.5%	4.8%	951
Race_wt	White	21.8%	22.1%	21.5%	31.9%	2.7%	2062
	American Indian	22.3%	31.6%	12.6%	29.3%	4.2%	84
	Other	16.0%	23.9%	12.0%	36.0%	12.0%	25
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	25.4%	21.8%	24.7%	25.9%	2.2%	407
	Some college or associates degree	24.8%	22.9%	17.4%	31.0%	3.8%	1065
	Bachelors degree or more	11.6%	22.6%	20.0%	41.7%	4.0%	699
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	21.4%	24.9%	20.6%	28.9%	4.1%	973
	50000 to 99999	26.4%	22.8%	18.7%	30.5%	1.6%	829
	100000 or more	14.1%	16.4%	23.9%	41.6%	4.0%	369
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	22.4%	24.5%	20.0%	29.7%	3.5%	1434
	Urban	21.2%	21.8%	20.9%	32.8%	3.3%	737

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. d. Introducing camping fees

		Strongly oppose	Oppose	Neither oppose or support	Support	Strongly support	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Gender_wt	Total	17.3%	19.4%	34.5%	22.6%	6.2%	2186
	Male	22.7%	21.9%	29.4%	20.2%	5.8%	1266
	Female	12.0%	16.4%	39.9%	25.1%	6.6%	913
	Other	0.0%	93.5%	0.0%	6.5%	0.0%	7
Age_wt	18-34	14.6%	18.0%	32.7%	26.9%	7.8%	170
	35-44	16.3%	21.8%	33.5%	24.0%	4.4%	213
	45-64	18.5%	19.7%	33.1%	22.5%	6.3%	845
	65 and over	20.0%	19.2%	40.3%	15.5%	5.0%	958
Race_wt	White	17.0%	20.1%	35.6%	21.6%	5.8%	2077
	American Indian	28.5%	17.7%	19.0%	18.6%	16.1%	85
	Other	16.7%	8.3%	25.0%	41.6%	8.3%	24
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	22.5%	19.7%	32.4%	16.1%	9.3%	412
	Some college or associates degree	16.3%	15.9%	34.1%	29.4%	4.2%	1067
	Bachelors degree or more	11.8%	23.7%	37.9%	21.8%	4.8%	707
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	16.0%	18.2%	36.4%	20.5%	8.8%	971
	50000 to 99999	21.1%	18.2%	33.9%	21.1%	5.7%	837
	100000 or more	14.5%	23.8%	31.3%	29.4%	1.1%	378
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	21.7%	21.3%	30.4%	19.2%	7.5%	1446
	Urban	15.9%	18.8%	35.8%	23.6%	5.8%	740

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. e. Allowing more outfitters and guides

		Strongly oppose	Oppose	Neither oppose or support	Support	Strongly support	Total Unweighted
	T ()	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Gender_wt	Total	4.6%	19.2%	31.9%	39.9%	4.4%	2193
	Male	6.0%	21.0%	32.0%	36.1%	4.8%	1271
	Female	3.2%	17.5%	31.4%	43.8%	4.0%	915
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	81.3%	18.7%	0.0%	7
Age_wt	18-34	4.5%	16.2%	31.0%	44.7%	3.6%	174
	35-44	6.2%	24.6%	25.5%	37.6%	6.1%	212
	45-64	3.4%	20.6%	29.9%	40.4%	5.8%	847
	65 and over	5.5%	17.6%	41.1%	33.5%	2.3%	960
Race_wt	White	4.5%	19.5%	32.2%	39.5%	4.3%	2083
	American Indian	0.0%	24.1%	37.4%	37.3%	1.3%	85
	Other	8.0%	12.0%	23.9%	48.1%	8.0%	25
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	6.7%	18.0%	35.0%	36.4%	3.9%	411
	Some college or associates degree	4.4%	18.3%	34.3%	37.8%	5.2%	1075
	Bachelors degree or more	2.0%	22.2%	24.3%	47.5%	4.0%	707
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	5.6%	18.9%	32.8%	39.7%	3.0%	976
	50000 to 99999	3.7%	18.8%	34.1%	38.7%	4.7%	840
	100000 or more	3.6%	20.6%	26.5%	42.0%	7.3%	377
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	9.3%	22.9%	33.8%	30.2%	3.8%	1447
	Urban	3.1%	18.1%	31.3%	42.9%	4.6%	746

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. f. Maintaining underused trails less frequently

		Strongly oppose	Oppose	Neither oppose or support	Support	Strongly support	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Gender_wt	Total	10.1%	13.6%	17.9%	34.9%	23.4%	2189
	Male	9.5%	10.0%	14.6%	38.5%	27.4%	1269
	Female	10.2%	17.4%	21.4%	31.4%	19.5%	913
	Other	93.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.5%	7
Age_wt	18-34	20.3%	16.8%	22.3%	19.8%	20.9%	174
	35-44	8.3%	16.5%	16.3%	39.1%	19.8%	213
	45-64	5.3%	13.0%	15.3%	38.0%	28.3%	846
	65 and over	4.3%	8.0%	17.0%	49.0%	21.7%	956
Race_wt	White	9.3%	13.7%	18.5%	36.1%	22.4%	2077
	American Indian	23.7%	21.6%	13.0%	18.8%	22.8%	87
	Other	16.0%	8.0%	12.0%	23.9%	40.0%	25
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	7.0%	12.9%	12.8%	41.2%	26.1%	410
	Some college or associates degree	10.6%	17.2%	17.8%	31.1%	23.3%	1072
	Bachelors degree or more	13.7%	9.6%	25.1%	31.7%	19.9%	707
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	11.1%	14.4%	16.7%	33.9%	24.0%	977
	50000 to 99999	11.2%	15.5%	14.4%	34.2%	24.7%	837
	100000 or more	6.3%	9.1%	26.3%	38.1%	20.2%	375
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	8.9%	14.9%	16.7%	31.4%	28.2%	1446
	Urban	10.5%	13.3%	18.3%	36.0%	21.9%	743

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. g. Increasing thinning of forests near communities

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. h. Harvesting dead trees following natural wildfire, beetle kill, or other natural disturbance

		Strongly oppose	Oppose	Neither oppose or support	Support	Strongly support	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Gender_wt	Total	1.7%	2.8%	7.9%	35.9%	51.7%	2203
	Male	1.9%	3.7%	6.7%	34.0%	53.8%	1278
	Female	1.5%	2.0%	9.3%	37.6%	49.7%	918
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	74.8%	25.2%	7
Age_wt	18-34	3.4%	3.8%	11.9%	32.9%	47.9%	175
	35-44	0.3%	4.5%	7.1%	33.2%	54.8%	213
	45-64	1.9%	1.2%	6.9%	37.4%	52.6%	849
	65 and over	0.1%	2.7%	4.3%	39.6%	53.3%	966
Race_wt	White	1.6%	2.8%	7.5%	36.6%	51.4%	2091
	American Indian	7.3%	0.0%	0.0%	27.9%	64.8%	87
	Other	0.0%	4.0%	20.0%	28.0%	47.9%	25
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	1.3%	1.3%	6.2%	33.2%	57.9%	414
	Some college or associates degree	0.9%	2.7%	6.5%	36.2%	53.7%	1079
	Bachelors degree or more	3.3%	5.0%	12.4%	39.0%	40.3%	710
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	2.0%	2.1%	7.3%	37.0%	51.6%	981
	50000 to 99999	2.4%	1.5%	6.8%	36.0%	53.2%	844
	100000 or more	0.0%	6.3%	11.1%	33.2%	49.4%	378
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	1.6%	1.2%	6.3%	28.5%	62.4%	1453
	Urban	1.8%	3.3%	8.5%	38.2%	48.3%	750

		Strongly oppose	Oppose	Neither oppose or support	Support	Strongly support	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	3.6%	5.2%	18.1%	44.5%	28.6%	2194
	Male	4.2%	7.5%	16.6%	43.5%	28.2%	1271
	Female	3.1%	3.0%	19.7%	45.1%	29.1%	916
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	81.3%	18.7%	7
Age_wt	18-34	2.4%	4.4%	19.7%	40.3%	33.3%	174
	35-44	4.2%	2.1%	14.7%	48.8%	30.2%	213
	45-64	5.4%	7.2%	16.4%	45.4%	25.6%	847
	65 and over	2.0%	5.4%	21.1%	46.0%	25.6%	960
Race_wt	White	3.5%	5.5%	17.9%	45.5%	27.6%	2082
	American Indian	14.6%	5.0%	22.0%	21.8%	36.6%	87
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	40.0%	39.9%	25
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	5.0%	3.5%	17.7%	47.7%	26.1%	412
	Some college or associates degree	3.7%	6.5%	18.9%	39.5%	31.4%	1073
	Bachelors degree or more	1.6%	5.7%	17.5%	46.9%	28.2%	709
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	2.5%	3.8%	20.0%	45.3%	28.4%	978
	50000 to 99999	6.0%	6.2%	16.5%	41.7%	29.5%	838
	100000 or more	2.5%	6.8%	16.2%	46.7%	27.8%	378
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	3.5%	4.2%	17.3%	41.2%	33.9%	1446
	Urban	3.7%	5.5%	18.4%	45.5%	27.0%	748

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. i. Using prescribed fires for fire prevention near communities

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. j. Using prescribed fires for maintaining forest health in areas farther away from communities

		Strongly oppose	Oppose	Neither oppose or support	Support	Strongly support	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	3.2%	6.2%	16.4%	45.6%	28.5%	2195
	Male	4.2%	6.4%	16.8%	42.0%	30.5%	1275
	Female	2.1%	6.1%	16.1%	49.1%	26.6%	913
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	81.3%	18.7%	7
Age_wt	18-34	2.2%	6.0%	13.9%	44.2%	33.7%	174
	35-44	1.2%	5.3%	14.0%	49.1%	30.4%	213
	45-64	5.0%	4.2%	18.3%	46.7%	25.8%	849
	65 and over	3.0%	10.5%	19.0%	43.4%	24.1%	959
Race_wt	White	3.2%	6.1%	16.9%	46.2%	27.6%	2083
	American Indian	7.3%	13.9%	25.0%	17.7%	36.1%	87
	Other	0.0%	4.0%	4.0%	52.1%	39.9%	25
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	4.1%	7.2%	17.0%	49.1%	22.4%	412
	Some college or associates degree	2.7%	6.1%	15.8%	40.7%	34.7%	1073
	Bachelors degree or more	2.5%	5.0%	16.5%	47.8%	28.2%	710
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	2.9%	6.0%	16.5%	46.8%	27.8%	977
	50000 to 99999	3.5%	6.2%	15.6%	44.8%	29.9%	840
	100000 or more	3.2%	6.8%	17.6%	44.3%	28.1%	378
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	3.1%	7.1%	15.7%	39.4%	34.8%	1447
	Urban	3.2%	6.0%	16.7%	47.6%	26.6%	748

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. k. Allowing natural wildfires to burn if they dont threaten peoples lives or property

		Strongly oppose	Oppose	Neither oppose or support	Support	Strongly support	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Gender_wt	Total	12.2%	17.4%	18.9%	33.6%	17.9%	2202
	Male	15.5%	20.4%	15.5%	29.9%	18.8%	1276
	Female	8.5%	14.5%	22.4%	37.6%	17.0%	919
	Other	81.3%	18.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7
Age_wt	18-34	9.6%	13.9%	17.9%	35.1%	23.4%	175
	35-44	10.0%	12.1%	19.5%	34.5%	23.8%	213
	45-64	15.1%	18.8%	16.9%	33.7%	15.5%	851
	65 and over	12.9%	24.2%	23.0%	30.4%	9.4%	963
Race_wt	White	11.5%	17.4%	19.3%	34.2%	17.6%	2090
	American Indian	11.0%	20.4%	18.8%	12.4%	37.5%	87
	Other	24.0%	16.0%	12.0%	36.0%	12.0%	25
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	12.0%	27.1%	22.0%	25.8%	12.9%	414
	Some college or associates degree	17.1%	14.9%	17.3%	32.4%	18.4%	1078
	Bachelors degree or more	5.6%	7.9%	16.8%	45.7%	23.9%	710
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	9.1%	20.7%	19.4%	32.8% 18.0%	18.0%	982
	50000 to 99999	17.0%	17.9%	17.1%	27.9%	20.1%	842
	100000 or more	12.1%	9.3%	20.3%	44.0%	14.3%	378
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	15.1%	20.4%	23.3%	24.5%	16.6%	1452
	Urban	11.3%	16.5%	17.5%	36.4%	18.3%	750

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Gender_wt	Total	52.7%	39.1%	8.1%	2203
	Male	61.8%	34.4%	3.7%	1278
	Female	43.3%	44.1%	12.6%	918
	Other	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7
Age_wt	18-34	57.4%	37.6%	5.0%	175
	35-44	59.2%	35.9%	4.9%	212
	45-64	51.8%	41.0%	7.3%	846
	65 and over	42.8%	40.8%	16.4%	970
Race_wt	White	53.3%	39.3%	7.4%	2092
	American Indian	51.9%	32.8%	15.3%	86
	Other	44.0%	40.0%	16.0%	25
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	49.9%	37.4%	12.8%	415
	Some college or associates degree	56.5%	38.6%	5.0%	1078
	Bachelors degree or more	51.4%	42.3%	6.3%	710
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	49.4%	39.9%	10.7%	979
	50000 to 99999	55.4%	39.6%	5.1%	848
	100000 or more	56.4%	36.7%	6.9%	376
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	55.2%	31.8%	13.0%	1453
	Urban	52.0%	41.5%	6.6%	750

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? a. Wildlife encounter (e.g. bear, lion, snake, etc.)

		Not at all concerned Row N %	Moderately concerned Row N %	Very concerned	Total Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	68.0%	27.1%	4.9%	2189
	Male	73.3%	23.6%	3.0%	1274
	Female	62.3%	30.8%	6.9%	908
	Other	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7
Age_wt	18-34	69.8%	26.2%	4.1%	174
	35-44	73.3%	22.6%	4.1%	209
	45-64	70.9%	25.7%	3.5%	846
	65 and over	56.8%	34.1%	9.2%	960
Race_wt	White	68.4%	27.7%	3.9%	2082
	American Indian	76.2%	23.4%	0.4%	82
	Other	55.9%	20.0%	24.0%	25
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	62.9%	29.8%	7.3%	412
	Some college or associates degree	73.4%	23.7%	2.9%	1070
	Bachelors degree or more	67.3%	28.2%	4.6%	707
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	61.1%	32.1%	6.8%	968
	50000 to 99999	73.7%	22.8%	3.5%	844
	100000 or more	74.9%	22.4%	2.7%	377
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	68.1%	24.5%	7.4%	1441
	Urban	67.9%	27.9%	4.2%	748

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? b. Bad weather

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	63.1%	29.7%	7.2%	2196
	Male	72.4%	23.1%	4.5%	1278
	Female	53.4%	36.6%	10.0%	911
	Other	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7
Age_wt	18-34	64.7%	28.2%	7.0%	175
	35-44	68.5%	27.6%	3.9%	211
	45-64	64.7%	29.4%	5.9%	843
	65 and over	54.2%	33.9%	11.9%	967
Race_wt	White	63.9%	29.5%	6.6%	2086
	American Indian	54.3%	38.2%	7.5%	85
	Other	55.9%	28.0%	16.0%	25
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	57.1%	33.4%	9.6%	409
	Some college or associates degree	68.1%	26.6%	5.2%	1077
	Bachelors degree or more	64.1%	29.1%	6.8%	710
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	53.0%	35.6%	11.3%	971
	50000 to 99999	71.4%	23.9%	4.6%	848
	100000 or more	73.2%	25.1%	1.7%	377
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	73.9%	18.1%	8.0%	1447
	Urban	59.8%	33.3%	7.0%	749

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? c. May get lost

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	42.6%	46.2%	11.1%	2195
	Male	50.4%	40.7%	8.9%	1278
	Female	34.4%	52.2%	13.5%	910
	Other	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7
Age_wt	18-34	49.0%	43.5%	7.4%	175
	35-44	50.0%	45.9%	4.2%	212
	45-64	41.6%	45.5%	12.8%	842
	65 and over	29.5%	51.7%	18.8%	966
Race_wt	White	43.5%	46.0%	10.5%	2083
	American Indian	38.2%	49.1%	12.7%	87
	Other	32.1%	47.9%	20.0%	25
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	37.2%	47.2%	15.6%	409
	Some college or associates degree	42.8%	46.5%	10.7%	1075
	Bachelors degree or more	49.7%	44.5%	5.8%	711
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	35.3%	49.6%	15.1%	975
	50000 to 99999	48.4%	41.2%	10.3%	844
	100000 or more	50.5%	46.3%	3.3%	376
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	40.5%	47.2%	12.3%	1447
	Urban	43.3%	45.9%	10.7%	748

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? d. Poor road conditions

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	48.9%	40.3%	10.8%	2197
	Male	54.6%	37.2%	8.2%	1275
	Female	42.9%	43.7%	13.4%	915
	Other	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7
Age_wt	18-34	60.7%	31.1%	8.2%	174
	35-44	54.2%	41.9%	3.8%	211
	45-64	45.3%	44.8%	9.9%	846
	65 and over	33.6%	45.4%	21.1%	966
Race_wt	White	50.6%	39.3%	10.2%	2085
	American Indian	39.8%	42.2%	18.0%	87
	Other	28.0%	55.9%	16.0%	25
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	40.9%	44.5%	14.5%	410
	Some college or associates degree	51.0%	38.8%	10.1%	1075
	Bachelors degree or more	56.6%	36.8%	6.6%	712
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	41.7%	41.4%	17.0%	975
	50000 to 99999	55.3%	38.9%	5.8%	845
	100000 or more	55.8%	40.1%	4.1%	377
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	46.4%	43.2%	10.5%	1451
	Urban	49.7%	39.4%	10.8%	746

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? e. Poor trail conditions

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Gender_wt	Total	60.9%	28.4%	10.6%	2199
	Male	69.2%	24.1%	6.7%	1278
	Female	52.3%	33.0%	14.7%	914
	Other	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7
Age_wt	18-34	62.7%	27.3%	10.0%	174
	35-44	68.4%	29.2%	2.3%	212
	45-64	63.1%	25.4%	11.5%	846
	65 and over	49.5%	34.5%	16.0%	967
Race_wt	White	61.7%	28.0%	10.3%	2087
	American Indian	62.6%	26.8%	10.6%	87
	Other	47.9%	36.0%	16.0%	25
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	53.4%	30.7%	15.9%	409
	Some college or associates degree	63.7%	28.9%	7.4%	1080
	Bachelors degree or more	67.0%	24.9%	8.1%	710
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	53.0%	31.7%	15.3%	976
	50000 to 99999	67.8%	23.7%	8.5%	846
	100000 or more	68.4%	28.3%	3.2%	377
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	65.2%	24.7%	10.1%	1451
	Urban	59.6%	29.6%	10.8%	748

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? f. Run out of gas or supplies in remote area

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Gender_wt	Total	55.9%	30.6%	13.4%	2196
	Male	61.8%	28.2%	10.1%	1277
	Female	49.8%	33.4%	16.9%	912
	Other	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7
Age_wt	18-34	56.3%	32.7%	11.0%	174
-	35-44	67.2%	26.4%	6.4%	212
	45-64	58.5%	29.9%	11.7%	848
	65 and over	43.0%	32.1%	24.9%	962
Race_wt	White	56.5%	31.3%	12.2%	2084
	American Indian	67.5%	15.9%	16.6%	87
	Other	40.1%	28.0%	31.9%	25
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	48.8%	31.9%	19.3%	411
	Some college or associates degree	60.9%	28.8%	10.4%	1074
	Bachelors degree or more	58.5%	31.6%	9.8%	711
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	50.9%	31.0%	18.1%	974
	50000 to 99999	61.5%	27.9%	10.7%	846
	100000 or more	59.1%	34.0%	6.9%	376
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	61.0%	23.6%	15.4%	1447
	Urban	54.4%	32.8%	12.8%	749

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? g. Lack of cell phone coverage

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Gender_wt	Total	38.6%	46.2%	15.2%	2196
	Male	43.2%	44.8%	11.9%	1273
	Female	33.5%	47.8%	18.7%	916
	Other	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7
Age_wt	18-34	44.2%	46.5%	9.3%	175
	35-44	52.5%	38.9%	8.6%	212
	45-64	35.9%	48.8%	15.3%	848
	65 and over	24.7%	46.7%	28.6%	961
Race_wt	White	39.7%	46.0%	14.3%	2085
	American Indian	25.7%	54.6%	19.7%	86
	Other	28.0%	44.0%	27.9%	25
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	27.5%	49.1%	23.4%	411
	Some college or associates degree	41.0%	46.8%	12.2%	1077
	Bachelors degree or more	50.2%	41.2%	8.6%	708
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	30.6%	47.4%	22.0%	974
	50000 to 99999	43.4%	47.1%	9.5%	846
	100000 or more	49.6%	41.8%	8.6%	376
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	37.5%	47.9%	14.6%	1447
	Urban	38.9%	45.6%	15.4%	749

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? h. Needing emergency services

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	47.0%	36.4%	16.5%	2203
	Male	53.5%	34.1%	12.5%	1280
	Female	40.3%	39.0%	20.8%	916
	Other	93.4%	6.6%	0.0%	7
Age_wt	18-34	58.4%	32.6%	9.0%	176
	35-44	52.2%	41.5%	6.3%	212
	45-64	46.3%	33.0%	20.6%	847
	65 and over	27.7%	43.9%	28.3%	968
Race_wt	White	47.7%	36.5%	15.8%	2091
	American Indian	40.2%	35.6%	24.3%	87
	Other	39.9%	36.0%	24.0%	25
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	36.0%	38.8%	25.2%	412
	Some college or associates degree	52.5%	32.8%	14.7%	1079
	Bachelors degree or more	54.2%	38.3%	7.5%	712
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	38.4%	39.9%	21.7%	978
	50000 to 99999	54.9%	30.7%	14.3%	848
	100000 or more	54.7%	37.2%	8.0%	377
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	45.4%	33.8%	20.8%	1452
	Urban	47.5%	37.2%	15.2%	751

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? i. Forest fire

		Not at all concerned Row N %	Moderately concerned Row N %	Very concerned Row N %	Total Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	53.3%	28.6%	18.1%	2186
	Male	57.6%	27.5%	14.9%	1270
	Female	48.8%	29.9%	21.3%	909
	Other	74.8%	6.5%	18.7%	7
Age_wt	18-34	65.2%	26.2%	8.5%	176
	35-44	62.9%	21.7%	15.4%	210
	45-64	49.7%	29.0%	21.4%	843
	65 and over	34.0%	36.8%	29.2%	957
Race_wt	White	54.0%	29.3%	16.6%	2078
	American Indian	62.8%	10.2%	27.0%	83
	Other	36.1%	27.9%	36.0%	25
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	47.7%	29.0%	23.4%	407
	Some college or associates degree	55.6%	26.3%	18.1%	1072
	Bachelors degree or more	57.6%	31.4%	11.0%	707
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	45.3%	32.7%	22.0%	969
	50000 to 99999	58.0%	26.2%	15.7%	844
	100000 or more	64.3%	23.0%	12.7%	373
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	58.6%	20.6%	20.7%	1445
	Urban	51.6%	31.1%	17.2%	741

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? j. Poor air quality

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Gender_wt	Total	55.3%	29.5%	15.2%	2203
	Male	67.1%	25.4%	7.5%	1278
	Female	43.1%	33.8%	23.2%	918
	Other	93.5%	6.5%	0.0%	7
Age_wt	18-34	64.0%	23.3%	12.7%	175
	35-44	68.6%	24.6%	6.8%	212
	45-64	53.4%	33.8%	12.8%	845
	65 and over	36.2%	35.0%	28.7%	971
Race_wt	White	56.3%	28.8%	14.8%	2092
	American Indian	39.9%	35.8%	24.3%	86
	Other	48.1%	36.0%	15.9%	25
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	51.5%	29.8%	18.7%	415
	Some college or associates degree	59.0%	27.7%	13.3%	1079
	Bachelors degree or more	55.2%	31.6%	13.2%	709
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	47.6%	30.5%	21.9%	982
	50000 to 99999	61.3%	28.3%	10.4%	845
	100000 or more	63.9%	28.9%	7.2%	376
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	62.4%	20.8%	16.8%	1455
	Urban	53.1%	32.2%	14.7%	748

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? k. Shooting (not at a range)

		Not at all concerned Row N %	Moderately concerned Row N %	Very concerned Row N %	Total Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	49.8%	31.3%	18.9%	2182
	Male	51.7%	32.6%	15.7%	1263
	Female	47.5%	30.2%	22.3%	912
	Other	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7
Age_wt	18-34	68.4%	22.4%	9.1%	175
	35-44	61.3%	30.0%	8.7%	209
	45-64	41.9%	37.2%	20.9%	840
	65 and over	26.5%	35.8%	37.8%	958
Race_wt	White	50.4%	30.8%	18.9%	2072
	American Indian	43.2%	37.7%	19.2%	85
	Other	44.0%	36.0%	20.0%	25
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	39.3%	32.7%	28.0%	409
	Some college or associates degree	49.0%	32.7%	18.3%	1065
	Bachelors degree or more	64.9%	27.4%	7.7%	708
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	43.7%	32.8%	23.6%	971
	50000 to 99999	52.1%	30.3%	17.6%	837
	100000 or more	60.4%	29.4%	10.2%	374
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	50.9%	25.5%	23.6%	1439
	Urban	49.4%	33.1%	17.5%	743

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? I. Crime

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Gender_wt	Total	0.0%	12.1%	87.9%	112
	Male	0.0%	0.7%	99.3%	71
	Female	0.0%	26.5%	73.5%	39
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	<5
Age_wt	18-34	0.0%	2.5%	97.5%	10
	35-44	0.0%	39.5%	60.5%	11
	45-64	0.0%	8.5%	91.5%	48
	65 and over	0.0%	22.1%	77.9%	43
Race_wt	White	0.0%	15.9%	84.1%	101
	American Indian	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	9
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	<5
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	7
	Some college or associates degree	0.0%	15.9%	84.1%	60
	Bachelors degree or more	0.0%	13.2%	86.8%	45
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	0.0%	2.8%	97.2%	44
	50000 to 99999	0.0%	18.2%	81.8%	40
	100000 or more	0.0%	16.3%	83.7%	28
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	0.0%	5.4%	94.6%	77
	Urban	0.0%	13.7%	86.3%	35

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? m. Other? (please specify below)

		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree or disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Gender_wt	Total	6.2%	16.5%	28.9%	28.5%	8.6%	11.3%	2205
	Male	7.9%	15.9%	31.0%	28.6%	7.5%	9.2%	1278
	Female	4.6%	17.1%	26.9%	28.0%	9.7%	13.6%	920
	Other	0.0%	6.5%	0.0%	93.5%	0.0%	0.0%	7
Age_wt	18-34	2.8%	12.3%	34.3%	31.8%	4.3%	14.5%	176
	35-44	10.2%	20.7%	22.9%	21.2%	8.9%	16.1%	212
	45-64	8.4%	19.1%	28.4%	27.2%	9.8%	7.1%	848
	65 and over	4.8%	15.3%	25.9%	31.0%	12.8%	10.1%	969
Race_wt	White	6.0%	17.2%	29.2%	28.3%	7.9%	11.4%	2092
	American Indian	3.9%	18.1%	27.7%	27.6%	15.6%	7.1%	88
	Other	12.0%	4.0%	24.0%	31.9%	16.0%	12.0%	25
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	6.4%	16.8%	28.5%	24.7%	11.3%	12.3%	417
	Some college or associates degree	7.1%	17.4%	30.8%	27.4%	6.4%	10.8%	1077
	Bachelors degree or more	4.8%	14.7%	26.7%	35.2%	7.9%	10.8%	711
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	5.0%	16.0%	29.8%	29.7%	8.5%	11.0%	981
	50000 to 99999	6.1%	17.6%	29.1%	27.7%	10.4%	9.2%	846
	100000 or more	9.3%	15.8%	26.4%	27.1%	6.1%	15.3%	378
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	6.2%	16.5%	29.9%	26.6%	9.4%	11.3%	1461
	Urban	6.2%	16.5%	28.5%	29.1%	8.3%	11.3%	744

Q5. These questions ask for your opinion about management of local federal public lands. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree. a. People in my local community have been involved in decisions about land management

		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree or disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	7.1%	21.6%	29.3%	26.3%	4.6%	11.1%	2199
	Male	9.0%	23.1%	27.5%	27.4%	4.7%	8.3%	1275
	Female	5.3%	20.1%	31.3%	25.3%	4.5%	13.5%	917
	Other	6.5%	18.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	74.8%	7
Age_wt	18-34	3.2%	13.7%	36.2%	29.9%	1.7%	15.3%	176
	35-44	9.0%	26.2%	22.0%	26.8%	1.0%	14.9%	212
	45-64	7.7%	29.8%	27.6%	22.1%	6.7%	6.1%	846
	65 and over	10.8%	16.6%	27.0%	27.1%	8.1%	10.4%	965
Race_wt	White	6.7%	22.4%	30.0%	26.6%	4.4%	10.0%	2086
	American Indian	3.9%	16.9%	32.7%	15.3%	11.9%	19.4%	88
	Other	16.0%	12.0%	16.0%	27.9%	4.0%	24.0%	25
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	7.3%	18.9%	32.9%	22.6%	7.9%	10.4%	414
	Some college or associates degree	7.8%	23.5%	30.0%	25.2%	3.3%	10.2%	1077
	Bachelors degree or more	6.1%	22.6%	23.4%	32.6%	2.1%	13.3%	708
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	6.3%	19.5%	30.2%	25.8%	6.3%	11.9%	976
	50000 to 99999	6.8%	23.6%	31.9%	24.4%	3.4%	9.9%	846
	100000 or more	9.5%	23.4%	23.1%	30.2%	2.6%	11.2%	377
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	8.6%	19.3%	31.3%	27.7%	4.6%	8.5%	1456
	Urban	6.7%	22.3%	28.7%	25.8%	4.6%	11.9%	743

Q5. These questions ask for your opinion about management of local federal public lands. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree. b. Land management decisions balance the concerns of most people in my community

		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree or disagree	Agree	do a good job comn Strongly agree	No opinion	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	10.1%	23.0%	29.3%	23.7%	3.7%	10.2%	2203
	Male	12.2%	25.3%	27.6%	24.6%	2.9%	7.4%	1278
	Female	8.0%	20.8%	31.1%	22.9%	4.5%	12.7%	918
	Other	18.7%	6.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	74.8%	7
Age_wt	18-34	7.0%	20.8%	31.7%	26.6%	2.3%	11.6%	176
	35-44	15.2%	19.9%	27.9%	16.7%	3.9%	16.3%	212
-	45-64	11.1%	27.7%	27.2%	21.5%	4.4%	8.1%	847
	65 and over	9.7%	20.8%	30.0%	27.9%	4.4%	7.3%	968
Race_wt	White	9.3%	23.3%	30.1%	24.2%	3.5%	9.6%	2090
	American Indian	31.2%	26.8%	7.1%	21.8%	2.2%	10.9%	88
	Other	12.0%	16.0%	28.0%	15.9%	8.0%	20.0%	25
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	13.5%	18.4%	30.5%	23.7%	3.7%	10.3%	416
	Some college or associates degree	9.7%	24.7%	32.2%	21.3%	3.3%	8.8%	1078
	Bachelors degree or more	6.2%	26.9%	23.4%	27.1%	4.1%	12.3%	709
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	9.0%	19.1%	31.4%	23.8%	5.0%	11.7%	979
	50000 to 99999	10.9%	26.0%	31.9%	23.8%	0.8%	6.5%	846
	100000 or more	11.7%	27.4%	20.3%	23.1%	5.1%	12.5%	378
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	12.1%	22.4%	32.0%	21.6%	4.5%	7.4%	1460
	Urban	9.5%	23.2%	28.4%	24.3%	3.4%	11.1%	743

Q5. These questions ask for your opinion about management of local federal public lands. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree. c. Land managers in my area do a good job communicating with the public Strongly

		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree or disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	12.3%	21.5%	28.9%	26.1%	5.1%	6.1%	2201
	Male	16.2%	20.7%	27.5%	27.4%	3.4%	4.7%	1278
	Female	8.0%	22.3%	30.4%	25.0%	6.9%	7.5%	916
	Other	81.3%	18.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7
Age_wt	18-34	5.4%	19.0%	32.9%	29.7%	6.1%	6.9%	176
	35-44	12.5%	22.8%	31.0%	20.5%	3.3%	10.0%	211
	45-64	17.3%	22.5%	27.2%	23.8%	5.6%	3.6%	847
-	65 and over	14.4%	22.7%	24.2%	28.6%	4.2%	5.9%	967
Race_wt	White	11.2%	22.4%	29.6%	26.4%	5.0%	5.4%	2088
	American Indian	23.3%	13.1%	24.4%	13.8%	11.3%	14.0%	88
	Other	24.0%	12.0%	20.0%	27.9%	4.0%	12.0%	25
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	15.3%	18.6%	28.3%	24.9%	5.1%	7.8%	416
	Some college or associates degree	12.7%	21.5%	31.2%	24.9%	6.6%	3.0%	1075
	Bachelors degree or more	7.8%	25.4%	26.3%	29.5%	3.1%	7.9%	710
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	8.7%	19.5%	32.7%	25.0%	6.6%	7.4%	979
	50000 to 99999	14.1%	27.7%	24.4%	26.4%	2.6%	4.8%	844
	100000 or more	17.9%	16.7%	26.8%	28.2%	5.5%	4.8%	378
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	13.4%	21.2%	27.2%	26.2%	7.6%	4.4%	1457
	Urban	12.0%	21.6%	29.4%	26.1%	4.3%	6.6%	744

Q5. These questions ask for your opinion about management of local federal public lands. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree. d. I trust local land managers to make proper decisions about land management

		interests								
		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree or disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion	Total Unweighted		
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count		
Gender_wt	Total	12.5%	21.0%	27.1%	23.0%	5.2%	11.2%	2200		
	Male	16.6%	21.1%	24.6%	25.6%	3.5%	8.7%	1276		
	Female	8.5%	20.9%	29.3%	20.6%	6.8%	13.9%	917		
	Other	6.5%	18.7%	74.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7		
Age_wt	18-34	6.6%	14.1%	33.0%	22.8%	6.7%	16.8%	175		
	35-44	15.7%	21.4%	22.6%	23.1%	2.4%	14.8%	212		
	45-64	18.7%	26.1%	26.2%	19.8%	3.7%	5.5%	845		
	65 and over	8.8%	22.4%	23.2%	28.6%	7.3%	9.7%	968		
Race_wt	White	11.5%	22.0%	28.0%	22.7%	5.1%	10.6%	2087		
	American Indian	19.2%	8.2%	21.0%	17.8%	7.5%	26.3%	88		
	Other	24.0%	12.0%	16.0%	31.9%	4.0%	12.0%	25		
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	13.3%	18.7%	26.5%	26.8%	4.2%	10.4%	416		
	Some college or associates degree	12.7%	22.5%	29.0%	19.0%	5.7%	11.1%	1075		
	Bachelors degree or more	11.1%	22.0%	25.1%	23.6%	5.6%	12.5%	709		
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	9.8%	18.9%	26.5%	23.2%	6.7%	15.0%	978		
	50000 to 99999	12.9%	21.5%	32.0%	23.9%	3.2%	6.5%	844		
	100000 or more	17.9%	25.0%	21.2%	21.4%	4.7%	9.8%	378		
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	12.7%	23.4%	29.9%	21.1%	5.4%	7.5%	1457		
	Urban	12.4%	20.3%	26.2%	23.6%	5.1%	12.4%	743		

Q5. These questions ask for your opinion about management of local federal public lands. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree. e. Land management decisions balance the concerns of both local communities and national interests

			lands?	
		Yes	No	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	90.5%	9.5%	2218
	Male	93.3%	6.7%	1285
	Female	87.5%	12.5%	926
	Other	100.0%	0.0%	7
Age_wt	18-34	90.4%	9.6%	176
	35-44	94.1%	5.9%	212
	45-64	93.6%	6.4%	851
	65 and over	83.0%	17.0%	979
Race_wt	White	91.3%	8.7%	2105
	American Indian	93.1%	6.9%	88
	Other	76.0%	24.0%	25
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	85.0%	15.0%	419
	Some college or associates degree	94.1%	5.9%	1085
	Bachelors degree or more	92.7%	7.3%	714
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	86.1%	13.9%	990
	50000 to 99999	93.2%	6.8%	849
	100000 or more	96.2%	3.8%	379
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	88.7%	11.3%	1466
	Urban	91.0%	9.0%	752

Q6. Have you ever visited your local federal public

		0 times	1 time	2 to 4 times	5 to 10 times	11 to 30 times	More than 30 times	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	5.4%	5.4%	25.8%	24.3%	19.0%	20.1%	2064
	Male	3.9%	4.6%	25.4%	22.2%	22.5%	21.6%	1207
	Female	7.2%	6.2%	25.9%	26.7%	15.5%	18.6%	851
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	74.8%	0.0%	6.5%	18.7%	6
Age_wt	18-34	4.4%	4.6%	24.0%	25.9%	17.2%	23.9%	162
	35-44	0.8%	0.8%	15.8%	25.8%	19.6%	37.1%	203
	45-64	4.6%	7.0%	30.3%	23.4%	20.3%	14.4%	800
-	65 and over	12.4%	7.3%	28.6%	21.9%	19.3%	10.6%	899
Race_wt	White	5.6%	5.5%	25.4%	25.3%	18.5%	19.7%	1961
	American Indian	0.5%	8.0%	28.9%	22.2%	9.6%	30.8%	84
	Other	5.3%	0.0%	31.4%	5.3%	36.9%	21.1%	19
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	6.1%	7.0%	27.5%	23.3%	22.3%	13.8%	364
	Some college or associates degree	6.3%	5.3%	26.8%	22.8%	17.4%	21.4%	1013
	Bachelors degree or more	3.4%	3.3%	22.1%	27.6%	17.5%	26.1%	687
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	7.9%	5.4%	26.5%	23.7%	19.1%	17.4%	901
	50000 to 99999	2.7%	7.2%	22.4%	24.9%	19.8%	22.9%	799
	100000 or more	4.4%	2.6%	29.2%	24.5%	17.8%	21.5%	364
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	4.8%	7.2%	23.4%	18.3%	20.1%	26.2%	1367
	Urban	5.6%	4.8%	26.5%	26.1%	18.7%	18.2%	697

Q7. Please tell us how many times you have visited your local federal public lands in the past 12 months? Do not count visits that include commuting through public lands and working in public lands.

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	14.6%	37.2%	36.5%	7.9%	3.8%	2050
	Male	12.4%	40.5%	35.6%	7.2%	4.3%	1198
	Female	16.9%	34.0%	37.0%	8.7%	3.4%	846
	Other	18.7%	0.0%	81.3%	0.0%	0.0%	6
Age_wt	18-34	16.2%	42.0%	36.8%	4.7%	0.2%	162
	35-44	25.8%	51.4%	18.4%	1.9%	2.5%	202
	45-64	12.0%	32.5%	41.6%	9.2%	4.7%	795
-	65 and over	7.5%	26.7%	41.1%	15.5%	9.2%	891
Race_wt	White	14.1%	36.9%	36.9%	8.3%	3.8%	1951
	American Indian	24.4%	39.7%	23.5%	9.7%	2.7%	80
	Other	15.8%	42.1%	36.8%	0.0%	5.3%	19
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	9.0%	32.3%	39.1%	11.9%	7.7%	362
	Some college or associates degree	16.4%	34.8%	39.5%	6.8%	2.5%	1008
	Bachelors degree or more	19.0%	46.8%	28.8%	4.6%	0.9%	680
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	13.8%	33.8%	37.9%	8.9%	5.7%	895
	50000 to 99999	16.1%	39.7%	34.3%	7.7%	2.2%	797
	100000 or more	14.0%	40.7%	36.8%	6.2%	2.4%	358
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	13.3%	36.1%	36.6%	8.1%	5.9%	1357
	Urban	15.0%	37.6%	36.4%	7.9%	3.2%	693

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - a. Hiking/walking

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	0.8%	2.7%	14.3%	22.0%	60.3%	2032
	Male	0.6%	1.6%	13.9%	26.6%	57.3%	1183
	Female	1.0%	3.3%	14.6%	17.2%	63.9%	843
	Other	0.0%	81.3%	18.7%	0.0%	0.0%	6
Age_wt	18-34	0.6%	2.5%	15.4%	21.1%	60.4%	161
	35-44	1.6%	0.2%	10.9%	38.4%	48.9%	202
	45-64	0.6%	3.8%	17.3%	16.5%	61.9%	789
-	65 and over	1.0%	2.9%	9.7%	20.0%	66.3%	880
Race_wt	White	0.9%	2.2%	14.6%	22.2%	60.2%	1933
	American Indian	0.0%	4.4%	18.5%	18.8%	58.3%	80
	Other	0.0%	10.6%	5.3%	21.0%	63.2%	19
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	0.9%	2.9%	18.7%	20.1%	57.3%	358
	Some college or associates degree	0.8%	2.2%	12.3%	23.1%	61.6%	1004
	Bachelors degree or more	0.8%	2.9%	11.5%	22.8%	62.0%	670
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	0.5%	1.3%	13.4%	22.5%	62.2%	889
	50000 to 99999	1.3%	4.3%	17.2%	22.4%	54.8%	789
	100000 or more	0.8%	2.9%	11.7%	20.4%	64.2%	354
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	2.1%	3.1%	20.3%	18.6%	55.8%	1339
	Urban	0.5%	2.5%	12.4%	23.0%	61.6%	693

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - b. Horseback riding

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	4.8%	6.1%	18.3%	18.0%	52.8%	2031
	Male	4.6%	7.4%	20.5%	18.9%	48.5%	1182
	Female	4.5%	4.8%	16.1%	17.1%	57.5%	843
	Other	74.8%	0.0%	0.0%	18.7%	6.5%	6
Age_wt	18-34	5.9%	11.0%	26.3%	15.3%	41.6%	160
	35-44	7.3%	6.1%	29.3%	23.8%	33.4%	203
	45-64	4.3%	4.3%	14.4%	18.8%	58.2%	783
-	65 and over	2.0%	1.6%	3.8%	16.3%	76.3%	885
Race_wt	White	4.7%	6.0%	18.5%	18.4%	52.5%	1932
	American Indian	7.4%	10.9%	16.5%	9.6%	55.6%	81
	Other	5.6%	5.5%	16.7%	16.7%	55.5%	18
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	0.8%	0.9%	16.2%	20.0%	62.1%	356
	Some college or associates degree	2.7%	8.4%	16.3%	19.3%	53.3%	995
	Bachelors degree or more	12.8%	9.3%	23.8%	13.8%	40.3%	680
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	2.3%	5.4%	15.2%	16.5%	60.6%	888
	50000 to 99999	5.4%	7.4%	22.1%	19.1%	46.1%	786
	100000 or more	9.1%	5.7%	19.3%	19.8%	46.2%	357
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	2.3%	4.8%	19.3%	17.3%	56.2%	1342
	Urban	5.6%	6.5%	18.0%	18.2%	51.7%	689

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - c. Downhill skiing or snowboarding

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	3.4%	6.9%	18.2%	17.2%	54.3%	2049
	Male	2.2%	4.5%	19.4%	17.3%	56.5%	1199
	Female	4.8%	9.6%	16.5%	17.0%	52.1%	844
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	74.8%	18.7%	6.5%	6
Age_wt	18-34	3.0%	8.6%	18.8%	17.5%	52.2%	161
	35-44	7.0%	9.1%	32.4%	15.2%	36.3%	203
	45-64	3.4%	6.7%	14.2%	20.2%	55.5%	792
_	65 and over	1.4%	3.0%	13.1%	12.7%	69.8%	893
Race_wt	White	3.7%	6.1%	19.0%	18.0%	53.2%	1948
	American Indian	0.0%	17.1%	0.0%	4.7%	78.2%	82
	Other	0.0%	15.8%	15.8%	10.6%	57.9%	19
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	1.2%	2.5%	12.5%	13.9%	70.0%	360
	Some college or associates degree	1.9%	6.0%	21.9%	20.5%	49.7%	1004
	Bachelors degree or more	8.5%	13.8%	20.0%	16.5%	41.1%	685
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	2.2%	4.8%	16.8%	14.1%	62.2%	898
	50000 to 99999	4.3%	9.5%	19.3%	19.4%	47.4%	793
	100000 or more	4.7%	7.6%	19.5%	20.1%	48.1%	358
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	3.4%	5.1%	11.2%	12.4%	67.8%	1348
	Urban	3.4%	7.5%	20.3%	18.6%	50.1%	701

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - d. Cross-country skiing or snowshoeing

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	2.4%	5.2%	10.5%	22.1%	59.9%	2050
	Male	2.2%	7.0%	12.2%	19.6%	59.0%	1197
	Female	2.1%	3.2%	8.7%	24.9%	61.1%	847
	Other	74.8%	0.0%	6.5%	0.0%	18.7%	6
Age_wt	18-34	2.7%	6.1%	7.7%	20.5%	63.0%	161
	35-44	1.8%	6.6%	17.2%	33.5%	40.9%	203
	45-64	2.5%	5.9%	12.1%	21.7%	57.9%	795
-	65 and over	2.3%	1.1%	6.4%	16.2%	74.0%	891
Race_wt	White	2.0%	4.8%	10.7%	22.3%	60.1%	1948
	American Indian	1.2%	7.4%	12.5%	31.3%	47.5%	83
	Other	10.6%	10.6%	5.3%	10.6%	63.1%	19
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	1.8%	5.6%	10.9%	22.7%	58.9%	360
	Some college or associates degree	3.2%	6.1%	11.6%	22.0%	57.2%	1007
	Bachelors degree or more	2.0%	3.2%	8.4%	21.5%	64.9%	683
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	1.3%	2.3%	8.9%	22.1%	65.4%	898
	50000 to 99999	3.6%	8.5%	13.5%	19.7%	54.8%	793
	100000 or more	2.9%	6.2%	9.4%	25.6%	55.9%	359
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	2.6%	5.4%	10.7%	26.2%	55.1%	1352
	Urban	2.4%	5.1%	10.4%	20.8%	61.3%	698

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - e. Snowmobiling

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	0.7%	0.4%	9.5%	15.0%	74.4%	2020
	Male	0.6%	0.1%	8.2%	13.1%	78.0%	1177
	Female	0.1%	0.7%	11.0%	17.2%	71.0%	837
	Other	93.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.5%	6
Age_wt	18-34	2.0%	0.0%	7.2%	11.7%	79.1%	160
	35-44	0.0%	0.0%	9.3%	20.1%	70.6%	200
	45-64	0.0%	1.1%	7.5%	17.7%	73.7%	784
	65 and over	0.6%	0.1%	17.2%	11.1%	71.1%	876
Race_wt	White	0.4%	0.4%	9.2%	15.1%	74.9%	1920
	American Indian	4.3%	0.0%	16.9%	10.7%	68.1%	81
	Other	5.3%	0.0%	10.4%	15.8%	68.5%	19
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	1.0%	0.0%	10.9%	14.0%	74.0%	346
	Some college or associates degree	0.3%	0.6%	7.5%	15.4%	76.2%	997
	Bachelors degree or more	1.1%	0.5%	10.7%	15.6%	72.2%	677
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	0.8%	0.4%	12.0%	14.1%	72.7%	883
	50000 to 99999	1.0%	0.6%	8.8%	17.5%	72.1%	782
	100000 or more	0.2%	0.0%	5.5%	13.2%	81.1%	355
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	0.5%	0.1%	9.6%	10.3%	79.6%	1335
	Urban	0.8%	0.5%	9.5%	16.4%	72.8%	685

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - f. Cultural ceremonies

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	11.3%	27.3%	31.3%	13.8%	16.3%	2062
	Male	14.2%	33.3%	32.8%	10.8%	8.9%	1204
	Female	8.3%	20.5%	29.8%	17.1%	24.3%	852
	Other	0.0%	74.8%	18.7%	0.0%	6.5%	6
Age_wt	18-34	11.1%	23.6%	30.9%	16.2%	18.1%	162
	35-44	23.5%	25.1%	32.1%	11.8%	7.4%	203
	45-64	7.1%	30.8%	32.8%	13.4%	15.9%	797
-	65 and over	9.4%	28.5%	28.7%	12.2%	21.3%	900
Race_wt	White	10.5%	26.7%	31.7%	14.1%	17.0%	1961
	American Indian	18.5%	33.7%	34.9%	9.3%	3.7%	83
	Other	22.2%	33.3%	22.2%	11.1%	11.1%	18
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	12.2%	32.0%	34.0%	9.4%	12.4%	364
	Some college or associates degree	12.5%	25.2%	28.7%	18.2%	15.4%	1016
	Bachelors degree or more	8.4%	24.3%	31.9%	13.0%	22.3%	682
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	11.2%	24.8%	32.0%	15.8%	16.1%	904
	50000 to 99999	13.3%	28.2%	32.1%	8.8%	17.6%	795
	100000 or more	8.7%	30.9%	28.7%	16.9%	14.7%	363
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	13.0%	31.3%	33.3%	9.3%	13.1%	1363
	Urban	10.8%	26.0%	30.7%	15.2%	17.2%	699

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - g. Fishing

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Gender_wt	Total	10.6%	15.8%	24.5%	10.8%	38.3%	2037
	Male	14.3%	21.0%	30.4%	9.7%	24.6%	1187
	Female	6.3%	10.3%	18.3%	12.1%	53.0%	844
	Other	74.8%	0.0%	18.7%	0.0%	6.5%	6
Age_wt	18-34	13.6%	10.4%	23.8%	8.5%	43.7%	161
	35-44	19.5%	22.7%	19.6%	14.9%	23.3%	201
	45-64	7.0%	18.2%	26.7%	11.4%	36.8%	789
	65 and over	5.5%	14.4%	25.6%	10.2%	44.3%	886
Race_wt	White	9.5%	16.6%	23.6%	11.2%	39.1%	1940
	American Indian	17.2%	5.3%	47.1%	8.3%	22.1%	79
	Other	27.8%	5.6%	27.7%	5.5%	33.4%	18
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	10.8%	19.6%	30.9%	10.6%	28.1%	354
	Some college or associates degree	9.4%	17.0%	25.5%	11.1%	37.0%	1005
	Bachelors degree or more	12.1%	9.2%	15.3%	10.7%	52.7%	678
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	8.9%	12.9%	22.7%	10.3%	45.1%	887
	50000 to 99999	14.2%	18.6%	26.2%	10.9%	30.1%	794
	100000 or more	8.7%	17.4%	25.7%	11.6%	36.6%	356
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	14.1%	22.8%	30.7%	7.9%	24.5%	1344
	Urban	9.5%	13.6%	22.6%	11.7%	42.5%	693

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - h. Hunting

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	4.2%	13.0%	24.5%	15.2%	43.1%	2052
	Male	2.6%	10.1%	21.0%	15.4%	51.0%	1198
	Female	6.0%	16.2%	28.4%	14.5%	34.9%	848
	Other	0.0%	18.7%	0.0%	74.8%	6.5%	6
Age_wt	18-34	7.0%	12.7%	25.9%	20.9%	33.5%	160
	35-44	4.4%	13.2%	28.6%	15.3%	38.6%	202
	45-64	2.9%	11.6%	25.7%	11.7%	48.1%	793
	65 and over	2.1%	16.0%	16.7%	12.4%	52.8%	897
Race_wt	White	4.0%	12.9%	24.7%	15.0%	43.4%	1950
	American Indian	7.8%	18.5%	24.6%	19.1%	30.1%	83
	Other	5.3%	10.6%	21.0%	15.8%	47.3%	19
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	1.4%	11.4%	26.2%	12.0%	49.0%	360
	Some college or associates degree	6.2%	10.7%	24.8%	13.8%	44.5%	1011
	Bachelors degree or more	4.8%	18.5%	21.9%	21.1%	33.7%	681
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	4.0%	13.3%	24.9%	14.7%	43.1%	898
_	50000 to 99999	6.4%	10.8%	28.2%	14.8%	39.7%	793
	100000 or more	1.3%	15.7%	18.3%	16.7%	48.0%	361
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	4.4%	9.9%	25.3%	16.6%	43.9%	1352
	Urban	4.2%	14.0%	24.2%	14.7%	42.9%	700

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - i. Creative arts (photography /drawing/ painting/

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	2.5%	19.2%	45.4%	16.5%	16.4%	2055
	Male	2.9%	17.6%	47.2%	15.4%	16.8%	1198
	Female	2.2%	20.6%	43.8%	17.6%	15.9%	851
	Other	0.0%	74.8%	0.0%	18.7%	6.5%	6
Age_wt	18-34	2.4%	23.1%	48.7%	13.1%	12.7%	161
	35-44	2.2%	17.6%	46.3%	18.3%	15.6%	202
	45-64	2.8%	18.1%	46.6%	17.8%	14.7%	796
	65 and over	2.4%	16.5%	37.4%	17.9%	25.7%	896
Race_wt	White	2.5%	18.0%	47.5%	16.6%	15.5%	1954
	American Indian	0.1%	36.5%	36.7%	14.3%	12.4%	82
	Other	5.3%	31.6%	10.6%	15.8%	36.8%	19
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	1.7%	17.2%	47.5%	14.3%	19.3%	359
	Some college or associates degree	4.2%	17.1%	43.6%	17.8%	17.2%	1010
	Bachelors degree or more	1.1%	24.8%	45.5%	17.3%	11.4%	686
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	3.0%	17.7%	43.8%	17.5%	18.0%	897
	50000 to 99999	1.8%	21.0%	51.5%	14.6%	11.1%	796
	100000 or more	2.7%	19.8%	39.7%	17.0%	20.7%	362
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	3.6%	20.1%	38.2%	19.4%	18.8%	1352
	Urban	2.2%	18.9%	47.6%	15.6%	15.6%	703

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - j. Developed camping (at official campground)

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	4.7%	19.6%	34.8%	19.7%	21.3%	2044
	Male	4.5%	23.7%	36.2%	19.2%	16.5%	1197
	Female	4.4%	15.4%	33.3%	20.3%	26.5%	841
	Other	74.8%	0.0%	18.7%	0.0%	6.5%	6
Age_wt	18-34	5.2%	21.5%	37.9%	18.7%	16.7%	160
	35-44	7.0%	28.0%	31.9%	18.1%	15.1%	201
	45-64	4.6%	19.2%	34.6%	23.1%	18.6%	796
	65 and over	2.3%	10.5%	32.4%	16.3%	38.3%	887
Race_wt	White	4.0%	19.6%	36.0%	20.0%	20.5%	1943
	American Indian	1.4%	34.4%	34.7%	9.5%	20.0%	82
	Other	21.1%	10.6%	10.6%	21.0%	36.8%	19
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	2.9%	18.3%	41.7%	16.8%	20.4%	353
	Some college or associates degree	4.1%	22.4%	30.9%	18.9%	23.7%	1007
	Bachelors degree or more	7.8%	17.2%	31.6%	24.4%	19.0%	684
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	3.1%	16.5%	38.7%	17.9%	23.8%	893
	50000 to 99999	5.6%	25.0%	36.0%	16.4%	16.9%	791
	100000 or more	6.6%	18.0%	25.1%	27.9%	22.4%	360
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	7.7%	15.5%	32.3%	23.7%	20.7%	1346
	Urban	3.8%	20.8%	35.5%	18.5%	21.4%	698

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - k. Dispersed camping (not at official campground)

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	18.4%	37.1%	32.4%	7.6%	4.5%	2057
	Male	17.1%	38.0%	35.1%	6.7%	3.2%	1206
	Female	19.5%	36.4%	29.6%	8.6%	5.9%	845
	Other	74.8%	0.0%	25.2%	0.0%	0.0%	6
Age_wt	18-34	15.0%	40.2%	29.2%	12.5%	3.1%	161
	35-44	22.5%	39.4%	29.4%	3.5%	5.2%	198
	45-64	17.0%	38.7%	35.9%	5.5%	2.9%	799
	65 and over	22.9%	27.6%	33.6%	7.0%	8.9%	899
Race_wt	White	17.0%	37.2%	33.6%	7.9%	4.3%	1957
	American Indian	38.0%	34.0%	23.9%	4.0%	0.0%	81
	Other	31.7%	36.7%	15.8%	5.3%	10.6%	19
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	17.1%	39.7%	32.1%	7.1%	4.0%	358
	Some college or associates degree	19.4%	34.7%	32.4%	8.4%	5.0%	1015
	Bachelors degree or more	18.6%	37.4%	32.7%	7.1%	4.2%	684
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	17.9%	36.6%	29.5%	10.5%	5.5%	898
	50000 to 99999	21.8%	40.5%	31.0%	5.1%	1.7%	796
	100000 or more	14.3%	33.3%	40.4%	5.5%	6.5%	363
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	20.9%	44.3%	25.9%	6.2%	2.7%	1356
	Urban	17.6%	34.9%	34.4%	8.0%	5.0%	701

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - I. Viewing wildlife

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	20.2%	39.3%	30.7%	6.2%	3.6%	2048
	Male	14.4%	43.5%	31.3%	8.8%	1.9%	1193
	Female	25.9%	35.0%	30.2%	3.5%	5.4%	849
	Other	93.5%	0.1%	6.5%	0.0%	0.0%	6
Age_wt	18-34	21.1%	39.9%	28.2%	8.8%	2.1%	160
	35-44	20.4%	47.3%	28.7%	1.1%	2.5%	202
	45-64	18.1%	38.4%	35.1%	5.8%	2.6%	795
	65 and over	22.7%	33.4%	28.3%	6.9%	8.8%	891
Race_wt	White	19.3%	38.4%	32.6%	6.4%	3.4%	1946
	American Indian	36.8%	44.6%	16.2%	2.4%	0.0%	83
	Other	26.4%	52.5%	5.3%	5.3%	10.6%	19
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	19.6%	39.6%	29.6%	7.5%	3.7%	355
	Some college or associates degree	20.9%	36.6%	31.5%	6.6%	4.3%	1014
	Bachelors degree or more	20.1%	42.6%	30.9%	4.0%	2.5%	679
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	22.8%	34.7%	31.0%	7.0%	4.6%	898
	50000 to 99999	19.5%	44.2%	28.7%	6.2%	1.4%	787
	100000 or more	16.1%	41.5%	32.9%	4.6%	4.8%	363
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	19.3%	43.9%	26.6%	6.8%	3.4%	1354
	Urban	20.5%	37.9%	32.0%	6.0%	3.7%	694

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - m. Relaxing

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	17.5%	34.6%	33.5%	7.8%	6.5%	2050
	Male	14.3%	34.5%	36.0%	11.2%	4.0%	1196
	Female	20.5%	34.9%	31.1%	4.3%	9.2%	848
	Other	74.8%	18.7%	6.5%	0.0%	0.0%	6
Age_wt	18-34	19.5%	31.5%	31.0%	12.6%	5.4%	160
	35-44	17.3%	45.5%	28.3%	1.4%	7.6%	203
	45-64	12.8%	33.7%	40.2%	7.7%	5.6%	794
	65 and over	23.2%	32.5%	29.4%	5.7%	9.3%	893
Race_wt	White	16.8%	34.8%	33.8%	8.5%	6.2%	1950
	American Indian	32.4%	27.3%	29.1%	0.1%	11.0%	81
	Other	21.1%	36.7%	31.7%	0.0%	10.6%	19
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	20.1%	39.6%	26.8%	7.9%	5.6%	360
	Some college or associates degree	21.4%	30.6%	34.7%	7.5%	5.7%	1009
	Bachelors degree or more	8.6%	34.2%	40.1%	8.2%	8.9%	681
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	21.1%	31.9%	31.6%	8.7%	6.7%	897
	50000 to 99999	16.5%	38.9%	32.3%	7.3%	5.0%	792
	100000 or more	11.7%	34.0%	39.2%	6.7%	8.4%	361
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	21.1%	39.3%	28.6%	7.0%	4.0%	1356
	Urban	16.4%	33.2%	35.0%	8.1%	7.3%	694

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - n. Driving for pleasure

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	2.3%	5.7%	14.7%	20.5%	56.8%	2047
	Male	1.3%	7.6%	15.1%	19.4%	56.6%	1197
	Female	3.4%	3.6%	13.9%	21.8%	57.4%	844
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	74.8%	18.7%	6.5%	6
Age_wt	18-34	1.9%	6.5%	16.8%	25.5%	49.3%	161
	35-44	2.2%	4.4%	23.7%	25.8%	43.9%	201
	45-64	3.1%	6.9%	12.4%	18.0%	59.6%	794
	65 and over	1.6%	3.0%	8.5%	13.0%	73.8%	891
Race_wt	White	2.5%	5.5%	14.0%	20.4%	57.6%	1948
	American Indian	0.3%	9.6%	17.7%	24.4%	48.1%	80
	Other	0.0%	5.3%	26.3%	21.1%	47.3%	19
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	1.8%	2.0%	11.2%	19.5%	65.5%	360
	Some college or associates degree	2.8%	6.1%	14.3%	18.8%	57.9%	1008
	Bachelors degree or more	2.2%	9.6%	19.7%	24.3%	44.3%	679
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	2.5%	2.9%	12.5%	22.6%	59.4%	897
	50000 to 99999	2.6%	7.1%	16.8%	20.8%	52.6%	791
	100000 or more	1.4%	9.0%	16.3%	15.9%	57.5%	359
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	0.5%	3.8%	17.1%	17.2%	61.3%	1349
	Urban	2.8%	6.2%	14.0%	21.5%	55.4%	698

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - o. Road bicycling

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	2.8%	3.9%	14.4%	17.2%	61.8%	2037
	Male	2.9%	4.9%	16.5%	18.6%	57.1%	1191
—	Female	2.6%	2.3%	12.2%	15.8%	67.1%	840
	Other	0.0%	74.8%	0.0%	18.7%	6.5%	6
Age_wt	18-34	3.3%	5.3%	17.9%	17.7%	55.7%	161
	35-44	4.1%	3.4%	25.9%	22.3%	44.3%	203
	45-64	2.3%	4.6%	10.7%	17.5%	64.9%	785
	65 and over	1.6%	0.7%	5.9%	11.7%	80.1%	888
Race_wt	White	2.7%	3.4%	13.8%	17.9%	62.2%	1935
	American Indian	7.7%	7.4%	12.1%	16.8%	55.9%	83
	Other	0.0%	10.6%	26.3%	5.3%	57.9%	19
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	1.0%	1.2%	9.6%	18.5%	69.7%	356
	Some college or associates degree	3.2%	4.0%	16.5%	15.5%	60.7%	1004
	Bachelors degree or more	4.3%	6.9%	17.1%	18.2%	53.5%	677
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	3.1%	2.3%	10.5%	13.7%	70.5%	895
	50000 to 99999	2.4%	5.1%	18.6%	22.3%	51.6%	784
	100000 or more	2.5%	5.3%	16.3%	17.2%	58.6%	358
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	2.2%	3.3%	15.2%	9.3%	70.0%	1348
	Urban	2.9%	4.0%	14.1%	19.7%	59.2%	689

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - p. Mountain bicycling

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	3.7%	8.6%	28.6%	23.5%	35.6%	2055
	Male	3.5%	9.4%	32.2%	24.1%	30.7%	1200
	Female	3.5%	7.8%	24.8%	22.9%	41.0%	849
	Other	74.8%	0.0%	18.7%	0.0%	6.5%	6
Age_wt	18-34	2.1%	8.2%	21.3%	30.1%	38.3%	161
	35-44	6.6%	11.0%	35.9%	19.6%	26.9%	203
	45-64	4.9%	8.9%	31.3%	22.0%	32.9%	793
	65 and over	1.9%	6.8%	29.3%	18.8%	43.1%	898
Race_wt	White	3.5%	8.4%	28.3%	24.6%	35.3%	1953
	American Indian	8.5%	5.9%	55.5%	10.8%	19.3%	83
	Other	5.3%	15.8%	15.8%	10.5%	52.6%	19
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	2.7%	9.3%	38.1%	21.8%	28.1%	362
	Some college or associates degree	6.2%	8.9%	26.3%	23.8%	34.8%	1011
	Bachelors degree or more	1.5%	7.4%	19.9%	25.0%	46.2%	682
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	2.8%	6.7%	33.0%	22.4%	35.2%	904
	50000 to 99999	5.1%	11.3%	24.1%	27.9%	31.7%	790
	100000 or more	3.6%	8.9%	26.2%	19.2%	42.0%	361
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	4.5%	13.2%	31.9%	18.9%	31.5%	1358
	Urban	3.5%	7.2%	27.6%	24.8%	36.8%	697

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - q. Firewood collecting

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	2.8%	9.5%	37.5%	16.8%	33.4%	2055
	Male	2.4%	7.5%	41.1%	17.9%	31.1%	1198
	Female	2.8%	11.7%	33.8%	15.7%	35.9%	851
	Other	74.8%	0.0%	18.7%	0.0%	6.5%	6
Age_wt	18-34	3.1%	9.0%	31.4%	23.0%	33.5%	160
	35-44	5.7%	14.7%	43.2%	12.7%	23.7%	203
	45-64	2.0%	9.5%	37.8%	15.0%	35.7%	798
	65 and over	1.6%	6.2%	41.9%	13.6%	36.7%	894
Race_wt	White	2.5%	8.9%	37.1%	17.6%	33.9%	1952
	American Indian	9.2%	16.7%	56.6%	2.8%	14.6%	84
	Other	5.3%	15.8%	31.6%	10.3%	36.9%	19
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	1.0%	8.4%	43.6%	15.1%	31.9%	359
	Some college or associates degree	4.7%	10.3%	36.3%	16.3%	32.4%	1012
	Bachelors degree or more	2.4%	9.8%	31.7%	19.5%	36.6%	684
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	2.3%	9.1%	39.9%	16.6%	32.1%	898
	50000 to 99999	5.0%	10.1%	35.8%	19.3%	29.9%	795
	100000 or more	0.8%	9.5%	35.2%	13.5%	41.0%	362
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	3.4%	11.2%	38.3%	18.4%	28.6%	1353
	Urban	2.7%	9.0%	37.2%	16.3%	34.8%	702

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - r. Foraging for berries, mushroom, etc.

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	4.1%	20.5%	47.3%	15.5%	12.6%	2056
	Male	2.5%	19.8%	46.8%	18.5%	12.4%	1200
	Female	5.3%	21.4%	48.0%	12.5%	12.8%	850
	Other	74.8%	0.0%	18.7%	0.0%	6.5%	6
Age_wt	18-34	4.0%	18.3%	44.0%	21.6%	12.2%	159
	35-44	6.0%	22.0%	52.7%	10.6%	8.8%	202
	45-64	4.7%	21.3%	48.7%	14.4%	11.0%	797
	65 and over	1.7%	21.3%	45.5%	12.2%	19.3%	898
Race_wt	White	3.6%	19.3%	48.6%	15.7%	12.8%	1955
	American Indian	9.9%	29.9%	41.2%	8.9%	10.1%	82
	Other	10.6%	36.9%	26.3%	15.7%	10.6%	19
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	2.0%	19.0%	51.1%	13.7%	14.2%	360
	Some college or associates degree	5.0%	22.4%	43.0%	15.8%	13.8%	1011
	Bachelors degree or more	5.4%	19.7%	48.7%	17.3%	8.8%	685
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	2.9%	23.8%	44.8%	14.6%	13.9%	901
	50000 to 99999	6.0%	18.1%	48.5%	14.1%	13.4%	792
	100000 or more	3.8%	17.4%	50.5%	19.4%	8.9%	363
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	5.9%	19.1%	50.2%	15.4%	9.4%	1355
	Urban	3.5%	20.9%	46.4%	15.6%	13.6%	701

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - s. Picnicking

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	40.4%	39.4%	20.3%	0.0%	0.0%	150
	Male	43.1%	40.3%	16.6%	0.0%	0.0%	88
	Female	36.4%	39.0%	24.6%	0.0%	0.0%	61
	Other	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	<5
Age_wt	18-34	36.7%	38.0%	25.3%	0.0%	0.0%	17
	35-44	56.9%	34.5%	8.6%	0.0%	0.0%	20
	45-64	36.1%	44.8%	19.1%	0.0%	0.0%	66
	65 and over	27.8%	33.7%	38.5%	0.0%	0.0%	47
Race_wt	White	36.4%	39.6%	23.9%	0.0%	0.0%	137
	American Indian	21.0%	79.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9
	Other	75.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	<5
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	36.1%	35.3%	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%	17
	Some college or associates degree	29.8%	47.2%	23.0%	0.0%	0.0%	74
	Bachelors degree or more	58.4%	31.2%	10.4%	0.0%	0.0%	59
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	50.1%	24.6%	25.3%	0.0%	0.0%	57
	50000 to 99999	31.7%	51.4%	16.9%	0.0%	0.0%	59
	100000 or more	44.2%	35.7%	20.2%	0.0%	0.0%	34
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	47.6%	47.0%	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%	99
	Urban	37.3%	36.2%	26.5%	0.0%	0.0%	51

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - t. Other (please specify below)

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	1.2%	2.0%	6.4%	10.8%	79.7%	2061
	Male	1.6%	0.9%	7.3%	13.9%	76.3%	1205
	Female	0.7%	2.7%	5.4%	7.5%	83.7%	850
	Other	0.0%	74.8%	0.0%	0.0%	25.2%	6
Age_wt	18-34	0.0%	3.1%	4.4%	8.7%	83.7%	160
	35-44	2.7%	3.2%	4.5%	13.8%	75.8%	202
	45-64	1.7%	1.6%	7.7%	10.0%	79.1%	795
	65 and over	0.8%	0.1%	8.5%	12.9%	77.8%	904
Race_wt	White	1.2%	1.4%	6.6%	11.0%	79.7%	1960
	American Indian	1.3%	5.7%	7.6%	3.5%	81.9%	82
	Other	0.0%	10.6%	0.0%	10.6%	78.9%	19
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	2.1%	0.0%	9.4%	13.4%	75.1%	365
	Some college or associates degree	1.2%	3.0%	4.8%	10.8%	80.3%	1011
	Bachelors degree or more	0.0%	3.1%	4.8%	7.4%	84.7%	685
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	1.2%	1.1%	5.5%	11.0%	81.2%	902
	50000 to 99999	1.7%	3.2%	6.9%	12.5%	75.7%	796
	100000 or more	0.2%	2.2%	7.3%	7.7%	82.5%	363
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	2.0%	4.1%	6.2%	13.4%	74.4%	1364
	Urban	0.9%	1.4%	6.4%	10.0%	81.3%	697

Q9. How often, if at all, do you participate in income generating activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - a. Harvesting timber

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Gender_wt	Total	1.2%	0.7%	0.9%	5.0%	92.2%	2058
	Male	2.4%	1.3%	0.8%	5.0%	90.6%	1200
	Female	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	4.6%	94.4%	852
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	74.8%	25.2%	6
Age_wt	18-34	0.8%	0.8%	0.2%	5.1%	93.0%	160
	35-44	0.0%	1.6%	1.7%	1.0%	95.7%	202
	45-64	1.9%	0.4%	1.3%	6.9%	89.6%	795
	65 and over	1.7%	0.1%	0.5%	4.8%	93.0%	901
Race_wt	White	1.3%	0.7%	0.7%	4.8%	92.5%	1957
	American Indian	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	96.6%	82
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	10.6%	84.2%	19
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	1.7%	0.0%	1.2%	5.0%	92.0%	363
	Some college or associates degree	1.1%	1.7%	0.1%	4.6%	92.6%	1008
	Bachelors degree or more	0.9%	0.0%	1.6%	5.6%	91.9%	687
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	0.7%	0.1%	0.7%	3.6%	94.9%	899
	50000 to 99999	1.0%	1.9%	0.3%	7.9%	88.9%	795
	100000 or more	2.7%	0.0%	2.2%	3.6%	91.5%	364
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	1.4%	1.1%	2.8%	4.2%	90.5%	1360
	Urban	1.2%	0.5%	0.3%	5.3%	92.7%	698

Q9. How often, if at all, do you participate in income generating activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - b. Mining

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	0.6%	0.2%	0.7%	2.3%	96.3%	2055
	Male	0.9%	0.4%	1.1%	2.6%	95.0%	1201
	Female	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	2.0%	97.6%	848
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	6
Age_wt	18-34	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	4.1%	95.5%	160
	35-44	1.1%	0.0%	0.3%	0.7%	97.9%	202
	45-64	0.7%	0.5%	0.9%	1.2%	96.8%	791
	65 and over	0.2%	0.1%	1.6%	2.8%	95.3%	902
Race_wt	White	0.5%	0.2%	0.7%	2.5%	96.0%	1955
	American Indian	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	97.8%	81
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	19
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	0.6%	0.5%	0.8%	1.5%	96.5%	363
	Some college or associates degree	0.4%	0.0%	0.9%	1.5%	97.2%	1008
	Bachelors degree or more	0.8%	0.0%	0.2%	4.4%	94.6%	684
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	1.0%	98.9%	896
	50000 to 99999	0.2%	0.6%	1.1%	2.8%	95.4%	794
	100000 or more	2.3%	0.0%	1.2%	4.2%	92.3%	365
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	1.4%	0.8%	0.1%	3.6%	94.1%	1355
	Urban	0.3%	0.0%	0.8%	1.9%	96.9%	700

Q9. How often, if at all, do you participate in income generating activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - c. Oil/gas extraction

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	0.7%	0.1%	2.7%	7.4%	89.2%	2056
	Male	1.1%	0.2%	1.8%	8.7%	88.1%	1201
	Female	0.2%	0.0%	3.0%	5.9%	90.8%	849
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	74.8%	0.0%	25.2%	6
Age_wt	18-34	1.3%	0.0%	4.8%	5.8%	88.1%	160
	35-44	1.2%	0.6%	1.6%	11.9%	84.7%	202
	45-64	0.2%	0.0%	1.8%	8.0%	90.0%	793
	65 and over	0.2%	0.0%	1.6%	4.9%	93.2%	901
Race_wt	White	0.8%	0.1%	2.3%	7.0%	89.8%	1955
	American Indian	0.0%	0.0%	7.4%	11.8%	80.7%	82
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	10.6%	84.2%	19
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	0.1%	0.0%	1.5%	6.0%	92.3%	364
	Some college or associates degree	1.0%	0.2%	3.9%	7.6%	87.3%	1008
	Bachelors degree or more	0.9%	0.0%	2.3%	8.7%	88.0%	684
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	1.2%	0.2%	2.4%	7.8%	88.5%	897
	50000 to 99999	0.5%	0.0%	4.1%	6.1%	89.2%	796
	100000 or more	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	8.3%	90.8%	363
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	0.8%	0.0%	4.9%	6.6%	87.7%	1359
	Urban	0.7%	0.1%	2.0%	7.6%	89.7%	697

Q9. How often, if at all, do you participate in income generating activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - d. Outfitter/guiding

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	34.3%	25.9%	25.8%	13.9%	0.0%	76
	Male	40.6%	21.9%	36.6%	0.9%	0.0%	50
	Female	26.2%	32.3%	8.7%	32.8%	0.0%	25
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	<5
Age_wt	18-34	91.7%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	5
	35-44	10.2%	44.9%	22.4%	22.4%	0.0%	11
	45-64	27.4%	13.3%	48.0%	11.3%	0.0%	30
	65 and over	12.9%	35.5%	39.1%	12.5%	0.0%	30
Race_wt	White	35.6%	24.3%	25.7%	14.4%	0.0%	74
	American Indian	0.0%	69.5%	30.5%	0.0%	0.0%	<5
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	<5
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	63.0%	11.2%	23.0%	2.7%	0.0%	12
	Some college or associates degree	44.3%	49.9%	0.0%	5.7%	0.0%	33
	Bachelors degree or more	3.5%	0.0%	64.4%	32.0%	0.0%	31
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	42.4%	7.2%	6.0%	44.4%	0.0%	26
	50000 to 99999	42.1%	46.3%	10.7%	1.0%	0.0%	36
	100000 or more	0.1%	0.0%	99.9%	0.0%	0.0%	14
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	61.6%	10.2%	25.8%	2.4%	0.0%	61
	Urban	26.8%	30.3%	25.9%	17.0%	0.0%	15

Q9. How often, if at all, do you participate in income generating activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - e. Other (please specify below)

Q10. Have there been activities that you wanted to do during your visit(s) to local federal public lands that you were not able to?

		Yes	No	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	19.6%	80.4%	1983
	Male	22.0%	78.0%	1154
	Female	17.0%	83.0%	823
	Other	18.7%	81.3%	6
Age_wt	18-34	14.8%	85.2%	155
	35-44	29.6%	70.4%	197
	45-64	19.0%	81.0%	778
	65 and over	19.9%	80.1%	853
Race_wt	White	19.5%	80.5%	1887
	American Indian	20.3%	79.7%	77
	Other	21.1%	78.9%	19
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	22.0%	78.0%	341
	Some college or associates degree	20.0%	80.0%	977
	Bachelors degree or more	16.0%	84.0%	665
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	18.3%	81.7%	856
	50000 to 99999	23.8%	76.2%	771
	100000 or more	15.8%	84.2%	356
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	17.9%	82.1%	1322
	Urban	20.1%	79.9%	661

		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree or disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Gender_wt	Total	1.9%	3.6%	29.6%	37.4%	12.2%	15.3%	2025
	Male	2.3%	5.5%	29.4%	36.4%	10.2%	16.2%	1184
	Female	1.4%	1.6%	30.0%	38.7%	13.8%	14.4%	835
	Other	18.7%	0.0%	0.0%	6.5%	74.8%	0.0%	6
Age_wt	18-34	3.5%	3.5%	30.6%	39.5%	10.3%	12.6%	159
	35-44	0.9%	6.6%	34.0%	29.4%	9.9%	19.2%	201
	45-64	0.9%	2.9%	30.4%	39.6%	13.0%	13.1%	789
	65 and over	2.0%	2.7%	23.0%	36.7%	15.4%	20.3%	876
Race_wt	White	2.0%	3.5%	29.6%	37.3%	12.3%	15.4%	1923
	American Indian	3.1%	13.1%	12.4%	48.3%	3.5%	19.5%	83
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	42.1%	31.5%	15.8%	10.6%	19
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	2.0%	4.8%	26.6%	36.6%	9.5%	20.6%	352
	Some college or associates degree	2.4%	5.0%	31.3%	34.5%	11.6%	15.2%	998
	Bachelors degree or more	1.1%	0.3%	30.9%	42.6%	16.3%	8.8%	675
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	2.7%	2.0%	28.6%	40.8%	9.6%	16.2%	880
	50000 to 99999	2.1%	7.1%	30.9%	30.0%	13.9%	16.1%	788
	100000 or more	0.0%	1.8%	29.8%	41.5%	14.8%	12.1%	357
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	2.8%	3.7%	28.9%	35.1%	11.4%	18.2%	1340
	Urban	1.6%	3.6%	29.8%	38.1%	12.4%	14.4%	685

Q14. We would like to know how you feel about visiting local federal public lands. Please rate how much you think it describes your typical experience. a. I have access to areas of cultural/traditional significance

		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree or disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Gender_wt	Total	1.0%	2.7%	17.3%	58.8%	17.0%	3.1%	2033
	Male	0.6%	3.1%	15.8%	61.4%	15.0%	4.0%	1189
	Female	1.4%	2.3%	18.5%	56.3%	19.3%	2.2%	838
	Other	18.7%	0.0%	74.8%	6.5%	0.0%	0.0%	6
Age_wt	18-34	1.1%	3.5%	14.1%	59.9%	19.4%	2.0%	160
	35-44	0.0%	3.3%	21.8%	62.2%	11.1%	1.7%	201
	45-64	0.8%	2.3%	17.8%	57.5%	18.2%	3.3%	795
	65 and over	2.1%	1.7%	17.9%	56.6%	16.1%	5.6%	877
Race_wt	White	0.9%	2.6%	16.9%	59.5%	16.8%	3.2%	1931
	American Indian	5.4%	2.9%	22.9%	47.2%	16.7%	4.9%	83
	Other	0.0%	5.3%	21.1%	52.6%	21.1%	0.0%	19
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	0.7%	1.4%	17.4%	58.5%	16.0%	6.0%	353
	Some college or associates degree	2.0%	4.4%	20.4%	54.3%	17.3%	1.5%	1000
	Bachelors degree or more	0.1%	1.9%	12.8%	65.4%	17.9%	1.9%	680
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	1.2%	1.3%	18.1%	58.5%	16.0%	4.9%	885
	50000 to 99999	0.8%	4.7%	18.6%	54.1%	19.8%	1.9%	788
	100000 or more	1.1%	2.7%	13.6%	66.2%	15.2%	1.1%	360
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	1.2%	2.3%	20.4%	58.7%	12.8%	4.6%	1343
	Urban	1.0%	2.8%	16.4%	58.8%	18.3%	2.7%	690

Q14. We would like to know how you feel about visiting local federal public lands. Please rate how much you think it describes your typical experience. b. I have pleasant interactions with other visitors

		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree or disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Gender_wt	Total	12.1%	31.7%	33.7%	13.3%	4.0%	5.1%	2028
	Male	13.1%	28.9%	33.3%	15.4%	4.4%	4.9%	1187
	Female	11.0%	35.0%	34.0%	11.2%	3.5%	5.3%	835
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	74.8%	6.5%	18.7%	0.0%	6
Age_wt	18-34	13.1%	38.5%	30.9%	10.9%	3.6%	2.9%	160
	35-44	8.4%	31.0%	33.7%	15.5%	3.7%	7.7%	201
	45-64	11.8%	29.1%	38.7%	13.3%	2.9%	4.3%	792
	65 and over	13.9%	26.4%	29.2%	15.6%	6.9%	7.9%	875
Race_wt	White	12.2%	31.7%	33.7%	13.4%	4.2%	4.8%	1926
	American Indian	24.7%	25.4%	17.0%	15.1%	5.0%	12.8%	83
	Other	0.0%	36.9%	47.3%	10.6%	0.0%	5.3%	19
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	15.4%	24.6%	32.0%	13.4%	6.0%	8.6%	352
	Some college or associates degree	11.9%	32.2%	36.7%	12.9%	3.6%	2.7%	997
	Bachelors degree or more	8.2%	39.9%	31.7%	14.0%	2.1%	4.1%	679
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	12.1%	29.9%	31.3%	12.8%	6.4%	7.5%	881
	50000 to 99999	12.1%	29.6%	38.0%	15.7%	2.7%	1.8%	788
	100000 or more	12.0%	38.7%	32.4%	10.9%	1.2%	4.8%	359
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	10.5%	26.9%	35.0%	15.3%	5.1%	7.2%	1339
	Urban	12.6%	33.2%	33.3%	12.8%	3.7%	4.4%	689

Q14. We would like to know how you feel about visiting local federal public lands. Please rate how much you think it describes your typical experience. c. I experience conflict with users using different modes of transportation Strongly

		Too few Row N %	Adequate amount Row N %	Too many Row N %	Don't know Row N %	Total Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	21.7%	52.3%	7.8%	18.1%	2036
	Male	21.0%	55.3%	9.9%	13.7%	1189
	Female	22.5%	48.9%	5.5%	23.0%	841
	Other	6.5%	74.8%	18.7%	0.0%	6
Age_wt	18-34	19.7%	59.5%	3.5%	17.2%	161
	35-44	18.7%	53.6%	12.7%	15.0%	201
	45-64	23.2%	50.6%	9.9%	16.3%	793
	65 and over	24.6%	42.7%	7.0%	25.7%	881
Race_wt	White	21.9%	51.9%	7.5%	18.6%	1934
	American Indian	16.2%	62.9%	11.7%	9.2%	83
	Other	21.1%	52.5%	10.6%	15.8%	19
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	23.4%	47.9%	10.3%	18.4%	355
	Some college or associates degree	20.6%	51.6%	8.4%	19.5%	1000
	Bachelors degree or more	21.3%	58.8%	3.9%	15.9%	681
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	25.7%	48.5%	7.5%	18.3%	890
	50000 to 99999	17.9%	54.3%	5.7%	22.1%	788
	100000 or more	19.2%	57.2%	11.6%	12.0%	358
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	23.5%	50.1%	7.0%	19.4%	1348
	Urban	21.2%	53.0%	8.1%	17.8%	688

Q15. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are TOO FEW, an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MANY, or DONT KNOW. a. Rangers patrolling the area

		Too few	Adequate amount	Too many	Don't know	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Gender_wt	Total	25.9%	40.0%	9.9%	24.2%	2039
	Male	34.1%	41.2%	9.7%	15.0%	1194
	Female	17.2%	38.5%	10.0%	34.4%	839
	Other	0.0%	74.8%	25.2%	0.0%	6
Age_wt	18-34	16.2%	51.1%	8.4%	24.3%	161
	35-44	29.7%	37.0%	8.7%	24.6%	201
	45-64	31.7%	33.4%	12.2%	22.7%	792
	65 and over	27.9%	36.5%	8.9%	26.6%	885
Race_wt	White	25.8%	39.9%	10.2%	24.1%	1937
	American Indian	19.8%	54.8%	7.6%	17.8%	83
	Other	31.6%	31.4%	5.3%	31.7%	19
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	36.1%	34.8%	8.8%	20.3%	357
	Some college or associates degree	26.3%	43.0%	8.5%	22.1%	1001
	Bachelors degree or more	12.5%	42.2%	13.2%	32.1%	681
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	23.4%	41.8%	9.4%	25.4%	891
	50000 to 99999	30.1%	37.2%	9.0%	23.7%	789
	100000 or more	24.9%	40.3%	12.1%	22.6%	359
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	26.1%	42.4%	8.3%	23.2%	1350
	Urban	25.9%	39.2%	10.3%	24.6%	689

Q15. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are TOO FEW, an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MANY, or DONT KNOW. b. Trails for all terrain vehicles

Q15. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are TOO FEW, an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MANY, or DONT KNOW. c. Areas open to snow machines

		Too few Row N %	Adequate amount Row N %	Too many Row N %	Don't know Row N %	Total Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	13.5%	40.3%	7.1%	39.1%	2041
	Male	18.1%	42.7%	6.8%	32.4%	1191
	Female	8.7%	38.0%	7.3%	46.0%	844
	Other	0.0%	6.5%	18.7%	74.8%	6
Age_wt	18-34	8.5%	42.7%	8.3%	40.5%	161
	35-44	17.3%	33.9%	6.0%	42.8%	201
	45-64	18.6%	38.3%	6.2%	36.8%	794
	65 and over	9.0%	45.4%	7.5%	38.0%	885
Race_wt	White	12.9%	41.0%	7.1%	39.1%	1939
	American Indian	11.8%	58.1%	2.3%	27.7%	83
	Other	26.3%	15.8%	10.5%	47.3%	19
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	15.2%	45.6%	3.3%	35.9%	358
	Some college or associates degree	16.8%	40.6%	5.8%	36.9%	1004
	Bachelors degree or more	6.7%	33.4%	13.6%	46.3%	679
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	10.1%	43.6%	7.7%	38.7%	893
	50000 to 99999	18.0%	39.0%	5.2%	37.8%	788
	100000 or more	14.0%	35.6%	8.6%	41.8%	360
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	13.2%	43.2%	6.8%	36.8%	1350
	Urban	13.6%	39.5%	7.2%	39.8%	691

Q15. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are TOO FEW, an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MANY, or DONT KNOW. d. Accessible (e.g., for wheelchairs) sites

		Too few	Adequate amount	Too many	Don't know	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Gender_wt	Total	25.3%	29.3%	1.4%	44.0%	2037
	Male	24.2%	32.2%	1.7%	41.8%	1190
	Female	26.5%	26.5%	1.0%	46.0%	841
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	18.7%	81.3%	6
Age_wt	18-34	21.1%	27.6%	1.7%	49.6%	160
	35-44	31.9%	22.7%	0.2%	45.2%	200
	45-64	23.4%	31.4%	1.9%	43.4%	793
	65 and over	30.0%	33.7%	1.1%	35.2%	884
Race_wt	White	24.9%	29.0%	1.2%	44.9%	1936
	American Indian	47.2%	28.9%	3.1%	20.8%	82
	Other	15.8%	36.7%	5.3%	42.2%	19
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	29.5%	29.9%	0.0%	40.6%	354
	Some college or associates degree	25.3%	29.5%	1.6%	43.6%	1001
	Bachelors degree or more	19.9%	28.4%	2.9%	48.7%	682
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	32.8%	25.8%	0.1%	41.2%	888
	50000 to 99999	22.8%	27.3%	1.7%	48.2%	789
	100000 or more	13.1%	39.6%	3.7%	43.5%	360
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	24.3%	29.7%	2.0%	44.0%	1347
	Urban	25.6%	29.2%	1.3%	44.0%	690

		Too few	Adequate amount	Too many	Don't know	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Gender_wt	Total	18.2%	68.8%	1.9%	11.1%	2025
	Male	15.2%	73.5%	2.8%	8.5%	1184
	Female	21.6%	64.2%	0.8%	13.5%	835
	Other	0.0%	0.1%	18.7%	81.3%	6
Age_wt	18-34	14.2%	73.4%	2.0%	10.4%	161
	35-44	17.5%	70.6%	1.9%	10.0%	199
	45-64	17.7%	71.0%	2.6%	8.7%	788
	65 and over	25.9%	55.9%	0.4%	17.7%	877
Race_wt	White	17.9%	69.6%	1.7%	10.7%	1924
	American Indian	29.0%	61.4%	1.9%	7.8%	82
	Other	15.8%	57.8%	5.3%	21.1%	19
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	25.7%	62.9%	0.3%	11.0%	355
	Some college or associates degree	15.0%	70.9%	3.0%	11.0%	992
	Bachelors degree or more	13.6%	72.9%	2.1%	11.4%	678
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	21.9%	65.4%	0.4%	12.3%	885
	50000 to 99999	15.0%	73.6%	1.4%	10.0%	781
	100000 or more	15.3%	68.5%	5.6%	10.5%	359
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	18.2%	68.2%	1.7%	11.9%	1342
	Urban	18.2%	69.0%	1.9%	10.9%	683

Q15. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are TOO FEW, an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MANY, or DONT KNOW. e. Bathrooms

		Too few Row N %	Adequate amount Row N %	Too many Row N %	Don't know Row N %	Total Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	9.0%	42.1%	4.4%	44.5%	2033
	Male	12.0%	48.7%	6.3%	32.9%	1188
	Female	5.8%	35.3%	2.2%	56.8%	839
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	18.7%	81.3%	6
Age_wt	18-34	6.8%	47.2%	2.7%	43.3%	160
	35-44	13.5%	42.3%	3.6%	40.6%	201
	45-64	10.2%	40.0%	4.7%	45.1%	793
	65 and over	6.6%	37.7%	7.1%	48.5%	879
Race_wt	White	8.7%	40.6%	4.4%	46.4%	1932
	American Indian	16.0%	61.8%	3.4%	18.8%	82
	Other	10.6%	57.9%	5.3%	26.2%	19
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	7.7%	42.0%	7.2%	43.2%	358
	Some college or associates degree	12.6%	41.1%	3.2%	43.1%	996
	Bachelors degree or more	5.5%	43.6%	2.6%	48.3%	679
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	9.2%	43.3%	4.4%	43.2%	888
	50000 to 99999	9.6%	41.5%	4.4%	44.6%	788
	100000 or more	7.8%	40.6%	4.3%	47.3%	357
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	8.8%	43.6%	1.9%	45.8%	1343
	Urban	9.0%	41.7%	5.1%	44.2%	690

Q15. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are TOO FEW, an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MANY, or DONT KNOW. f. Trails for mountain bikers

Q15. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are TOO FEW, an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MANY, or DONT KNOW. g. Travel information on forest websites to plan for trips

		Too few Row N %	Adequate amount Row N %	Too many Row N %	Don't know Row N %	Total Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	18.5%	54.7%	0.8%	26.0%	2033
	Male	18.0%	56.5%	1.0%	24.5%	1185
	Female	19.2%	53.1%	0.5%	27.2%	842
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	18.7%	81.3%	6
Age_wt	18-34	24.5%	53.8%	1.0%	20.6%	161
	35-44	17.2%	63.0%	1.0%	18.7%	199
	45-64	17.5%	52.0%	1.0%	29.5%	791
	65 and over	11.7%	54.4%	0.1%	33.8%	882
Race_wt	White	19.2%	54.7%	0.5%	25.5%	1933
	American Indian	24.4%	49.3%	3.2%	23.1%	81
	Other	0.0%	57.8%	5.3%	36.9%	19
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	16.8%	52.7%	0.6%	30.0%	358
	Some college or associates degree	15.2%	56.0%	1.6%	27.2%	999
	Bachelors degree or more	25.2%	55.3%	0.1%	19.4%	676
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	20.9%	51.0%	1.1%	27.0%	890
	50000 to 99999	15.9%	60.2%	0.3%	23.6%	786
	100000 or more	17.3%	54.3%	1.2%	27.3%	357
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	16.2%	52.1%	0.8%	30.9%	1349
	Urban	19.2%	55.5%	0.9%	24.5%	684

		Too few	Adequate amount	Too many	Don't know	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Gender_wt	Total	57.4%	0.9%	40.0%	1.7%	81
	Male	55.5%	0.0%	41.3%	3.2%	48
	Female	59.4%	1.9%	38.6%	0.0%	33
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	<5
Age_wt	18-34	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	<5
	35-44	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5
	45-64	68.7%	0.0%	31.3%	0.0%	45
	65 and over	12.1%	5.3%	73.1%	9.6%	29
Race_wt	White	49.4%	1.1%	47.5%	2.0%	76
	American Indian	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	<5
	Other	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	<5
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	15.5%	0.0%	74.3%	10.1%	9
	Some college or associates degree	59.7%	1.7%	38.5%	0.0%	45
	Bachelors degree or more	76.4%	0.0%	23.6%	0.0%	27
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	45.4%	3.8%	44.0%	6.9%	31
	50000 to 99999	62.7%	0.0%	37.3%	0.0%	37
	100000 or more	56.2%	0.0%	43.8%	0.0%	13
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	54.4%	0.0%	40.1%	5.5%	59
	Urban	58.7%	1.3%	40.0%	0.0%	22

Q15. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are TOO FEW, an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MANY, or DONT KNOW. h. Other (please specify below)

Q16. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are NOT ENOUGH, an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MUCH, or DONT KNOW. a. Designated wilderness (preserved wildlands)

		Not enough Row N %	Adequate amount Row N %	Too much Row N %	Don't know Row N %	Total Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	29.9%	43.1%	12.7%	14.4%	2171
	Male	26.6%	48.4%	17.6%	7.3%	1267
	Female	32.8%	37.9%	7.6%	21.7%	897
	Other	93.5%	0.0%	6.5%	0.0%	7
Age_wt	18-34	36.5%	41.9%	8.4%	13.3%	174
	35-44	42.0%	36.4%	13.7%	7.9%	211
	45-64	26.1%	45.9%	15.5%	12.6%	837
	65 and over	17.5%	45.2%	13.8%	23.5%	949
Race_wt	White	29.1%	43.5%	12.9%	14.5%	2058
	American Indian	34.3%	57.2%	8.3%	0.1%	88
	Other	40.0%	27.9%	12.0%	20.0%	25
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	19.6%	46.1%	14.9%	19.4%	408
	Some college or associates degree	28.7%	47.0%	13.6%	10.7%	1060
	Bachelors degree or more	45.3%	33.7%	8.3%	12.7%	703
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	33.8%	39.2%	9.0%	18.0%	969
	50000 to 99999	24.5%	50.2%	14.1%	11.2%	829
	100000 or more	29.3%	41.2%	18.7%	10.8%	373
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	25.8%	43.7%	15.4%	15.1%	1437
	Urban	31.1%	42.9%	11.8%	14.1%	734

Q16. For each o	f the following, plea	ase indicate if you	feel that there are	NOT ENOUGH,			
an ADEQUAT	E AMOUNT, TOO	MUCH, or DONT	KNOW. b. Wildfin	e suppression			
	activities i.e. management to put out wildfires						
	Adequate						

		Not enough	Adequate amount	Too much	Don't know	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Gender_wt	Total	34.5%	35.7%	6.1%	23.7%	2172
	Male	38.3%	41.4%	6.8%	13.4%	1269
	Female	30.1%	30.0%	5.4%	34.5%	896
	Other	99.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7
Age_wt	18-34	30.6%	37.3%	8.1%	24.0%	172
	35-44	35.9%	34.1%	7.4%	22.6%	209
	45-64	34.6%	35.6%	6.5%	23.3%	839
	65 and over	39.0%	34.7%	1.5%	24.9%	952
Race_wt	White	34.6%	35.8%	5.8%	23.7%	2059
	American Indian	28.9%	32.0%	16.7%	22.5%	88
	Other	36.0%	36.0%	4.0%	23.9%	25
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	38.8%	32.0%	3.2%	26.1%	407
	Some college or associates degree	37.0%	39.1%	5.6%	18.3%	1062
	Bachelors degree or more	25.3%	36.0%	10.7%	28.0%	703
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	40.1%	29.9%	4.6%	25.4%	968
	50000 to 99999	31.1%	41.3%	8.2%	19.4%	832
	100000 or more	26.9%	40.4%	6.1%	26.6%	372
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	38.5%	32.6%	6.1%	22.8%	1436
	Urban	33.2%	36.7%	6.1%	24.0%	736

Q16. For each of the following, pl	lease indicate if you feel that there are NOT ENOUGH,
an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TO	OO MUCH, or DONT KNOW. c. Wildfire mitigation
activities i.e.	e. management to prevent wildfires
Adequate	
Auequale	

		Not enough Row N %	Adequate amount Row N %	Too much Row N %	Don't know Row N %	Total Unweighted Count
Gender wt	Total	48.6%	23.7%	2.2%	25.5%	2171
	Male	56.6%	26.8%	4.0%	12.7%	1265
	Female	40.0%	20.7%	0.4%	38.9%	899
	Other	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7
Age_wt	18-34	47.2%	23.5%	3.2%	26.0%	172
-	35-44	55.7%	23.9%	2.0%	18.5%	210
	45-64	50.7%	20.9%	2.3%	26.1%	840
	65 and over	41.8%	28.4%	0.9%	28.9%	949
Race_wt	White	48.2%	23.9%	2.2%	25.7%	2058
	American Indian	60.9%	23.3%	6.9%	8.9%	88
	Other	48.0%	19.9%	0.0%	32.1%	25
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	46.2%	26.8%	1.8%	25.2%	407
	Some college or associates degree	49.5%	24.3%	2.0%	24.2%	1063
	Bachelors degree or more	50.5%	18.7%	3.1%	27.7%	701
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	51.0%	21.9%	1.1%	26.0%	967
	50000 to 99999	51.0%	24.5%	1.9%	22.6%	832
	100000 or more	39.6%	26.4%	5.3%	28.7%	372
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	50.3%	26.9%	2.6%	20.2%	1439
	Urban	48.0%	22.7%	2.1%	27.2%	732

Q22. Would you or any member of your household prefer to receive information about public lands, services, etc. in languages other than English?

		Yes	No	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender_wt	Total	1.0%	99.0%	2140
	Male	0.3%	99.7%	1244
	Female	1.7%	98.3%	889
	Other	18.7%	81.3%	7
Age_wt	18-34	1.9%	98.1%	173
	35-44	0.0%	100.0%	207
	45-64	0.6%	99.4%	830
	65 and over	1.3%	98.7%	930
Race_wt	White	0.8%	99.2%	2029
	American Indian	3.1%	96.9%	86
	Other	4.0%	96.0%	25
Educ_wt	HS diploma or less	0.7%	99.3%	415
	Some college or associates degree	1.4%	98.6%	1029
	Bachelors degree or more	1.0%	99.0%	696
HHInc_wt	Less than 50000	1.8%	98.2%	956
	50000 to 99999	0.1%	99.9%	818
	100000 or more	0.7%	99.3%	366
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	1.3%	98.7%	1422
	Urban	1.0%	99.0%	718

				a. Fiolecting	water quality		
		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	0.1%	2.2%	4.1%	32.3%	61.4%	682
Bitterroot	1	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%	30.3%	64.7%	458
Custer Gallatin	1	1.1%	3.3%	8.2%	34.7%	52.7%	609
Flathead	1	2.5%	2.7%	5.9%	20.0%	68.8%	384
Grasslands	1	0.0%	11.1%	7.3%	41.5%	40.1%	274
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	0.2%	2.2%	4.3%	27.1%	66.3%	608
Idaho Panhandle	1	0.7%	0.5%	7.9%	24.9%	66.0%	530
Kootenai	1	2.5%	3.2%	4.5%	19.1%	70.6%	402
Lolo	1	2.3%	2.5%	5.4%	24.4%	65.5%	622
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	0.8%	0.2%	7.2%	27.9%	63.9%	697

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands? a. Protecting water quality

				bit forfalling foord	adon opportantitoo		
		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	2.3%	2.1%	16.7%	37.1%	41.8%	682
Bitterroot	1	0.4%	2.9%	20.9%	31.9%	43.9%	457
Custer Gallatin	1	1.5%	3.7%	20.4%	30.9%	43.6%	612
Flathead	1	0.2%	2.9%	15.4%	30.5%	51.0%	384
Grasslands	1	2.9%	9.5%	27.1%	41.9%	18.7%	273
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	2.1%	3.6%	15.3%	34.7%	44.3%	607
Idaho Panhandle	1	0.8%	2.5%	25.2%	33.5%	38.0%	527
Kootenai	1	1.3%	1.9%	12.7%	26.3%	57.8%	400
Lolo	1	0.2%	2.8%	16.4%	30.3%	50.4%	620
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	0.3%	3.0%	25.0%	34.6%	37.1%	694

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands? b. Providing recreation opportunities

				C. FIOLECIII	ig all quality		
		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	2.8%	2.9%	8.9%	31.6%	53.9%	676
Bitterroot	1	2.3%	0.0%	8.5%	30.6%	58.6%	454
Custer Gallatin	1	1.7%	5.4%	15.5%	31.9%	45.5%	605
Flathead	1	2.6%	2.7%	11.1%	23.9%	59.7%	382
Grasslands	1	2.0%	10.5%	18.6%	31.6%	37.2%	271
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	1.9%	3.4%	7.1%	28.9%	58.7%	601
Idaho Panhandle	1	0.8%	0.7%	13.2%	29.2%	56.0%	522
Kootenai	1	2.6%	3.2%	11.5%	21.0%	61.6%	397
Lolo	1	2.3%	2.4%	11.8%	24.7%	58.8%	618
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	1.7%	0.4%	9.5%	29.2%	59.3%	689

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands? c. Protecting air quality

		Not at all important	Slightly important Row N %	Moderately important Row N %	Very important	Extremely important Row N %	Total Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	11.4%	18.4%	31.4%	30.6%	8.2%	672
Bitterroot	1	8.7%	17.2%	27.6%	33.2%	13.3%	447
Custer Gallatin	1	9.9%	17.3%	38.4%	25.4%	9.0%	607
Flathead	1	10.4%	17.3%	26.7%	32.0%	13.5%	377
Grasslands	1	11.0%	13.3%	47.2%	22.5%	6.0%	274
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	8.2%	17.9%	33.1%	31.1%	9.7%	602
Idaho Panhandle	1	8.8%	23.6%	36.8%	23.8%	7.1%	526
Kootenai	1	12.6%	17.9%	31.1%	26.9%	11.4%	395
Lolo	1	9.9%	18.0%	25.9%	30.9%	15.4%	611
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	6.4%	20.8%	34.3%	28.5%	10.1%	688

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands? d. Providing income for the tourist industry

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	23.0%	20.1%	22.8%	23.0%	11.1%	678
Bitterroot	1	25.0%	23.4%	20.7%	17.7%	13.3%	456
Custer Gallatin	1	22.7%	17.1%	21.9%	23.2%	15.1%	608
Flathead	1	23.2%	17.5%	21.5%	22.1%	15.7%	384
Grasslands	1	10.3%	24.6%	37.5%	18.5%	9.1%	275
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	19.9%	18.6%	25.0%	26.0%	10.6%	606
Idaho Panhandle	1	27.3%	19.5%	24.8%	19.3%	9.1%	525
Kootenai	1	30.9%	13.6%	22.4%	17.3%	15.8%	400
Lolo	1	22.5%	17.8%	19.2%	24.6%	16.0%	621
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	26.2%	21.8%	26.0%	16.0%	9.9%	694

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands? e. Providing income for the natural resource extraction industries (oil /gas /coal /minerals)

		Not at all important Row N %	Slightly important Row N %	Moderately important Row N %	Very important Row N %	Extremely important Row N %	Total Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	11.4%	14.9%	30.5%	27.7%	15.5%	679
Bitterroot	1	10.2%	16.2%	28.9%	27.1%	17.6%	457
Custer Gallatin	1	12.4%	14.2%	26.1%	30.1%	17.1%	607
Flathead	1	10.0%	16.9%	21.8%	28.2%	23.1%	383
Grasslands	1	9.5%	32.0%	38.1%	15.6%	4.7%	272
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	8.0%	16.1%	29.4%	30.3%	16.1%	606
Idaho Panhandle	1	9.8%	17.7%	28.2%	22.7%	21.6%	522
Kootenai	1	12.4%	15.3%	18.6%	28.4%	25.3%	398
Lolo	1	10.3%	13.0%	23.6%	28.7%	24.4%	621
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	7.4%	20.1%	31.0%	22.6%	18.8%	694

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands? f. Providing income for the timber industry

		Not at all important	Slightly important Row N %	Moderately important Row N %	Very important	Extremely important Row N %	Total Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	2.6%	8.6%	17.1%	28.4%	43.2%	678
Bitterroot	1	0.4%	3.9%	20.3%	30.6%	44.8%	455
Custer Gallatin	1	7.2%	10.7%	15.1%	28.7%	38.2%	611
Flathead	1	5.6%	5.9%	19.2%	26.6%	42.7%	382
Grasslands	1	4.8%	11.4%	15.5%	22.0%	46.2%	273
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	4.4%	7.4%	14.0%	29.5%	44.7%	605
Idaho Panhandle	1	2.6%	8.8%	23.6%	22.1%	42.9%	529
Kootenai	1	5.5%	6.5%	23.5%	20.1%	44.4%	401
Lolo	1	5.1%	5.3%	19.6%	27.6%	42.4%	620
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	1.9%	4.2%	20.2%	29.1%	44.5%	696

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands? g. Protecting rare and endangered plant and animal species

	n. i fotooting widine habitat										
		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted				
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count				
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	1.6%	2.4%	10.3%	32.3%	53.4%	676				
Bitterroot	1	0.0%	0.3%	11.3%	28.3%	60.2%	456				
Custer Gallatin	1	1.8%	6.4%	12.2%	29.1%	50.5%	606				
Flathead	1	0.2%	3.0%	14.8%	26.3%	55.8%	384				
Grasslands	1	3.2%	5.2%	10.7%	29.8%	51.1%	270				
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	1.8%	3.0%	10.1%	31.8%	53.1%	602				
Idaho Panhandle	1	0.9%	2.7%	14.0%	29.6%	53.0%	525				
Kootenai	1	0.0%	2.7%	18.5%	19.5%	59.4%	400				
Lolo	1	0.1%	2.6%	12.6%	27.5%	57.2%	620				
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	0.8%	1.7%	11.4%	30.0%	56.1%	690				

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands? h. Protecting wildlife habitat

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	6.8%	5.5%	14.2%	27.2%	46.3%	684
Bitterroot	1	3.7%	0.9%	8.4%	34.4%	52.5%	457
Custer Gallatin	1	7.6%	6.1%	13.7%	22.4%	50.2%	612
Flathead	1	3.2%	6.3%	14.7%	23.8%	52.0%	385
Grasslands	1	7.9%	7.2%	14.4%	33.5%	37.0%	275
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	5.8%	5.0%	14.5%	27.3%	47.4%	609
Idaho Panhandle	1	3.4%	5.9%	17.9%	24.3%	48.5%	530
Kootenai	1	4.2%	7.6%	12.2%	17.0%	59.1%	402
Lolo	1	4.2%	5.0%	12.6%	25.9%	52.4%	623
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	4.4%	3.4%	16.0%	26.6%	49.5%	697

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands? i. Knowing that in the future I will have the option to visit a primitive or wilderness area of my choice

				J. I Toviding Spi	intual inspiration		
		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	23.1%	21.2%	22.2%	16.0%	17.5%	670
Bitterroot	1	18.6%	21.4%	18.3%	20.1%	21.6%	450
Custer Gallatin	1	26.7%	22.0%	23.7%	16.4%	11.2%	606
Flathead	1	25.0%	12.6%	20.0%	20.0%	22.4%	382
Grasslands	1	19.5%	26.1%	28.7%	17.0%	8.7%	273
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	19.4%	17.2%	22.9%	18.0%	22.6%	599
Idaho Panhandle	1	25.1%	18.0%	20.2%	17.8%	18.9%	525
Kootenai	1	29.0%	14.9%	19.9%	13.6%	22.7%	400
Lolo	1	25.3%	16.8%	18.1%	19.4%	20.4%	615
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	20.4%	18.2%	16.5%	23.5%	21.4%	689

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands? j. Providing spiritual inspiration

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	5.9%	4.9%	13.5%	26.4%	49.4%	680
Bitterroot	1	2.5%	1.7%	3.7%	28.1%	64.0%	457
Custer Gallatin	1	7.3%	5.7%	14.6%	24.7%	47.7%	609
Flathead	1	1.8%	4.5%	11.5%	16.5%	65.7%	383
Grasslands	1	3.1%	7.7%	10.5%	35.5%	43.1%	275
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	4.7%	4.2%	11.6%	23.7%	55.8%	604
Idaho Panhandle	1	1.3%	7.4%	7.5%	25.6%	58.2%	529
Kootenai	1	1.6%	5.6%	12.5%	17.9%	62.4%	402
Lolo	1	2.9%	4.4%	9.3%	19.7%	63.6%	620
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	2.2%	6.0%	4.4%	29.1%	58.3%	696

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands? k. Knowing that future generations will have primitive or wilderness areas

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	7.1%	4.5%	20.5%	28.7%	39.3%	679
Bitterroot	1	0.3%	3.5%	15.6%	33.2%	47.4%	456
Custer Gallatin	1	11.2%	5.0%	16.0%	30.1%	37.7%	611
Flathead	1	7.5%	1.5%	21.0%	21.4%	48.6%	385
Grasslands	1	5.2%	8.2%	15.4%	37.3%	33.8%	275
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	7.0%	4.6%	17.1%	29.3%	42.0%	604
Idaho Panhandle	1	3.2%	5.7%	17.9%	24.5%	48.7%	527
Kootenai	1	7.4%	1.7%	20.6%	17.7%	52.7%	402
Lolo	1	6.9%	2.1%	18.8%	24.1%	48.1%	621
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	1.8%	5.2%	16.5%	29.2%	47.3%	693

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands? I. Just knowing that primitive or wilderness areas exist

		In. I Toylding Scenic beauty								
		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted			
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count			
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	2.5%	3.2%	19.2%	36.4%	38.7%	676			
Bitterroot	1	2.8%	0.3%	16.4%	33.7%	46.7%	455			
Custer Gallatin	1	3.5%	5.1%	17.4%	41.6%	32.4%	608			
Flathead	1	1.3%	2.6%	20.2%	29.5%	46.4%	379			
Grasslands	1	0.0%	7.9%	13.3%	40.2%	38.6%	272			
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	1.7%	4.8%	17.4%	34.7%	41.4%	603			
Idaho Panhandle	1	1.4%	6.4%	15.4%	37.3%	39.5%	524			
Kootenai	1	1.3%	5.0%	18.0%	28.3%	47.3%	398			
Lolo	1	1.5%	2.5%	17.5%	31.1%	47.4%	615			
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	2.9%	3.7%	12.6%	37.9%	42.8%	691			

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands? m. Providing scenic beauty

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	10.0%	10.3%	21.9%	28.8%	29.0%	680
Bitterroot	1	4.9%	9.3%	16.9%	33.1%	35.9%	456
Custer Gallatin	1	10.9%	16.0%	21.3%	30.5%	21.4%	610
Flathead	1	3.7%	15.0%	18.0%	24.7%	38.6%	384
Grasslands	1	6.4%	11.6%	28.3%	31.1%	22.5%	273
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	7.9%	11.1%	21.2%	27.2%	32.6%	606
Idaho Panhandle	1	4.6%	9.6%	22.6%	27.1%	36.1%	529
Kootenai	1	3.3%	13.3%	18.4%	19.0%	46.0%	400
Lolo	1	4.0%	15.0%	17.4%	25.7%	37.9%	621
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	4.4%	9.3%	16.1%	32.1%	38.0%	697

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands? n. Preserving natural areas for scientific study

				0. 00101 (1 1000	c specify below)		
		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	5.6%	93.0%	80
Bitterroot	1	0.0%	5.5%	0.0%	7.0%	87.5%	57
Custer Gallatin	1	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	1.8%	94.5%	64
Flathead	1	0.0%	2.8%	0.0%	4.4%	92.9%	38
Grasslands	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	15
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	11.7%	87.0%	57
Idaho Panhandle	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%	95.2%	52
Kootenai	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.4%	95.6%	40
Lolo	1	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%	6.9%	90.7%	75
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	11.2%	87.1%	82

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands? o. Other (Please specify below)

		Strongly oppose Row N %	Oppose Row N %	Neither oppose or support Row N %	Support Row N %	Strongly support Row N %	Total Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	19.8%	30.8%	28.7%	16.5%	4.1%	678
Bitterroot	1	14.8%	29.2%	36.7%	13.4%	5.9%	456
Custer Gallatin	1	21.7%	21.7%	33.6%	20.3%	2.7%	611
Flathead	1	23.6%	32.2%	32.2%	7.5%	4.5%	382
Grasslands	1	8.5%	24.1%	36.1%	27.6%	3.7%	270
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	19.3%	32.4%	27.0%	17.9%	3.5%	607
Idaho Panhandle	1	17.7%	28.4%	33.2%	17.5%	3.2%	530
Kootenai	1	24.3%	32.2%	35.2%	6.8%	1.5%	401
Lolo	1	22.0%	30.9%	34.3%	8.3%	4.5%	615
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	13.4%	31.5%	33.7%	19.0%	2.5%	693

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. a. Closing underused campgrounds

		Strongly oppose Row N %	Oppose Row N %	Neither oppose or support Row N %	Support Row N %	Strongly support Row N %	Total Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	23.4%	23.0%	14.1%	30.0%	9.5%	682
Bitterroot	1	15.4%	27.8%	10.0%	33.3%	13.5%	457
Custer Gallatin	1	21.8%	18.8%	19.0%	29.2%	11.4%	616
Flathead	1	23.8%	21.6%	13.8%	30.9%	9.9%	384
Grasslands	1	5.8%	13.2%	49.4%	29.7%	1.9%	271
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	21.8%	21.3%	17.0%	30.9%	9.0%	607
Idaho Panhandle	1	19.6%	27.0%	13.0%	33.6%	6.7%	528
Kootenai	1	28.4%	19.6%	14.1%	28.2%	9.7%	399
Lolo	1	24.8%	23.9%	14.1%	27.0%	10.2%	617
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	16.6%	28.7%	11.9%	36.2%	6.6%	693

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. b. Requiring permits to recreate in very popular areas

		Strongly oppose	Oppose	Neither oppose or support	Support	Strongly support	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	29.6%	28.7%	16.5%	21.0%	4.3%	672
Bitterroot	1	26.0%	40.6%	9.7%	13.8%	9.9%	453
Custer Gallatin	1	32.8%	27.5%	21.6%	16.1%	2.0%	614
Flathead	1	31.1%	34.8%	13.7%	11.5%	8.9%	378
Grasslands	1	9.0%	42.5%	31.9%	15.4%	1.3%	273
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	26.6%	30.1%	19.1%	19.7%	4.5%	600
Idaho Panhandle	1	32.4%	31.3%	19.8%	15.2%	1.3%	523
Kootenai	1	33.4%	29.4%	21.8%	10.2%	5.1%	395
Lolo	1	34.3%	32.1%	14.7%	10.9%	8.1%	612
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	28.5%	36.0%	16.1%	15.5%	4.0%	687

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. c. Introducing parking fees

		Strongly oppose Row N %	Oppose Row N %	Neither oppose or support Row N %	Support Row N %	Strongly support Row N %	Total Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	23.6%	19.5%	17.3%	37.2%	2.4%	676
Bitterroot	1	20.8%	29.6%	10.0%	33.6%	6.0%	455
Custer Gallatin	1	24.3%	19.1%	22.7%	31.2%	2.6%	608
Flathead	1	28.9%	28.0%	8.6%	28.2%	6.4%	380
Grasslands	1	4.2%	15.2%	34.8%	44.3%	1.4%	273
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	22.0%	18.7%	19.2%	37.1%	3.0%	605
Idaho Panhandle	1	19.3%	28.3%	18.7%	30.4%	3.4%	525
Kootenai	1	30.9%	26.9%	15.0%	22.0%	5.3%	394
Lolo	1	30.1%	27.5%	10.5%	26.1%	5.7%	614
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	18.8%	28.4%	17.2%	31.6%	4.0%	695

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. d. Introducing camping fees

		Strongly oppose Row N %	Oppose Row N %	Neither oppose or support Row N %	Support Row N %	Strongly support Row N %	Total Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	24.3%	23.7%	27.9%	17.8%	6.2%	678
Bitterroot	1	16.9%	29.1%	24.3%	22.6%	7.1%	456
Custer Gallatin	1	22.9%	19.0%	31.8%	20.8%	5.6%	617
Flathead	1	21.2%	23.9%	24.4%	23.4%	7.1%	384
Grasslands	1	7.9%	11.2%	41.0%	37.9%	2.0%	273
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	25.5%	24.1%	27.3%	17.5%	5.6%	609
Idaho Panhandle	1	8.1%	15.8%	45.2%	24.6%	6.3%	530
Kootenai	1	17.3%	19.1%	34.2%	22.2%	7.1%	402
Lolo	1	20.3%	22.7%	26.0%	22.5%	8.5%	620
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	9.5%	20.8%	38.7%	24.3%	6.8%	690

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. e. Allowing more outfitters and guides

		Strongly oppose Row N %	Oppose Row N %	Neither oppose or support Row N %	Support Row N %	Strongly support Row N %	Total Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	5.5%	20.1%	26.6%	44.2%	3.7%	682
Bitterroot	1	4.8%	18.0%	34.4%	40.6%	2.2%	458
Custer Gallatin	1	4.1%	16.1%	32.0%	42.8%	4.9%	618
Flathead	1	5.5%	21.3%	29.3%	38.6%	5.3%	385
Grasslands	1	4.5%	17.3%	44.0%	33.7%	0.5%	272
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	4.6%	20.0%	29.7%	42.5%	3.2%	612
Idaho Panhandle	1	4.2%	21.1%	30.4%	41.5%	2.7%	531
Kootenai	1	6.7%	23.9%	29.1%	33.6%	6.7%	401
Lolo	1	5.8%	20.9%	31.4%	37.1%	4.9%	620
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	3.6%	19.6%	30.0%	43.0%	3.8%	695

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. f. Maintaining underused trails less frequently

		Strongly oppose Row N %	Oppose Row N %	Neither oppose or support Row N %	Support Row N %	Strongly support Row N %	Total Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	8.7%	10.3%	18.2%	34.8%	28.0%	677
Bitterroot	1	2.6%	7.8%	19.3%	40.1%	30.2%	455
Custer Gallatin	1	9.5%	14.3%	19.3%	35.0%	21.9%	615
Flathead	1	10.2%	5.9%	13.4%	32.8%	37.8%	385
Grasslands	1	17.4%	38.2%	27.6%	11.8%	4.9%	272
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	12.1%	11.4%	15.0%	35.3%	26.3%	609
Idaho Panhandle	1	9.8%	13.1%	18.9%	38.4%	19.7%	530
Kootenai	1	11.3%	9.3%	16.5%	28.9%	34.0%	401
Lolo	1	7.3%	5.3%	13.8%	36.4%	37.1%	619
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	7.7%	13.5%	15.8%	41.2%	21.8%	693

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. g. Increasing thinning of forests near communities

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. h. Harvesting dead trees following natural wildfire, beetle kill, or other natural disturbance

		Strongly oppose Row N %	Oppose Row N %	Neither oppose or support Row N %	Support Row N %	Strongly support Row N %	Total Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	3.5%	1.5%	9.5%	31.5%	54.0%	684
Bitterroot	1	2.3%	0.0%	7.0%	39.0%	51.8%	460
Custer Gallatin	1	1.4%	4.1%	9.7%	32.3%	52.4%	618
Flathead	1	2.7%	0.2%	7.3%	31.6%	58.3%	387
Grasslands	1	0.0%	0.2%	15.4%	58.9%	25.6%	275
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	2.7%	1.6%	9.5%	32.9%	53.4%	613
Idaho Panhandle	1	1.2%	5.1%	6.9%	38.4%	48.5%	534
Kootenai	1	3.4%	1.4%	9.4%	24.5%	61.2%	403
Lolo	1	2.5%	0.0%	5.3%	33.3%	58.9%	623
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	0.8%	3.3%	7.8%	41.7%	46.4%	700

		Strongly oppose Row N %	Oppose Row N %	Neither oppose or support Row N %	Support Row N %	Strongly support Row N %	Total Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	5.4%	8.3%	14.4%	43.9%	28.0%	679
Bitterroot	1	3.0%	4.0%	12.1%	45.7%	35.1%	457
Custer Gallatin	1	4.7%	7.8%	19.2%	41.7%	26.5%	615
Flathead	1	6.1%	3.6%	14.5%	34.5%	41.3%	385
Grasslands	1	2.0%	7.4%	38.0%	37.8%	14.8%	273
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	4.9%	6.8%	14.6%	45.5%	28.3%	610
Idaho Panhandle	1	2.1%	2.5%	16.2%	51.1%	28.1%	535
Kootenai	1	3.4%	2.5%	14.7%	34.3%	45.1%	405
Lolo	1	5.8%	4.4%	12.7%	36.5%	40.7%	621
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	2.5%	3.3%	16.8%	49.4%	28.0%	696

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. i. Using prescribed fires for fire prevention near communities

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. j. Using prescribed fires for maintaining forest health in areas farther away from communities

		Strongly oppose Row N %	Oppose Row N %	Neither oppose or support Row N %	Support Row N %	Strongly support Row N %	Total Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	5.6%	7.1%	16.4%	45.6%	25.4%	680
Bitterroot	1	1.0%	8.0%	16.4%	42.5%	32.1%	456
Custer Gallatin	1	3.9%	6.6%	21.7%	40.9%	27.0%	616
Flathead	1	4.0%	5.6%	15.5%	41.9%	33.0%	384
Grasslands	1	1.9%	6.2%	23.8%	50.7%	17.4%	273
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	5.0%	7.1%	14.0%	47.5%	26.3%	613
Idaho Panhandle	1	1.3%	5.7%	11.6%	50.0%	31.4%	532
Kootenai	1	1.9%	4.4%	13.3%	37.4%	43.0%	403
Lolo	1	4.0%	6.4%	15.1%	40.1%	34.4%	620
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	2.2%	6.4%	12.1%	52.9%	26.3%	695

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands.k. Allowing natural wildfires to burn if they dont threaten peoples lives or

property

		Strongly oppose Row N %	Oppose Row N %	Neither oppose or support Row N %	Support Row N %	Strongly support Row N %	Total Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	12.7%	15.2%	14.3%	34.6%	23.2%	683
Bitterroot	1	8.9%	16.8%	15.8%	33.1%	25.5%	459
Custer Gallatin	1	10.8%	16.0%	23.3%	31.4%	18.6%	618
Flathead	1	12.4%	15.9%	15.4%	31.6%	24.8%	388
Grasslands	1	8.8%	23.7%	21.4%	41.0%	5.1%	274
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	11.7%	17.6%	14.7%	33.9%	22.1%	614
Idaho Panhandle	1	12.7%	16.4%	18.1%	34.1%	18.7%	535
Kootenai	1	12.9%	12.9%	19.5%	26.7%	28.0%	404
Lolo	1	15.3%	13.9%	17.3%	29.7%	23.9%	624
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	12.3%	18.0%	14.9%	36.6%	18.3%	698

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	51.8%	39.5%	8.7%	684
Bitterroot	1	49.7%	32.6%	17.8%	462
Custer Gallatin	1	54.5%	39.6%	5.9%	621
Flathead	1	45.0%	39.2%	15.8%	387
Grasslands	1	48.6%	41.9%	9.5%	273
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	46.5%	43.0%	10.6%	611
Idaho Panhandle	1	53.3%	39.1%	7.6%	535
Kootenai	1	55.7%	33.5%	10.8%	405
Lolo	1	47.9%	37.5%	14.6%	625
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	49.7%	42.5%	7.8%	702

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? a. Wildlife encounter (e.g. bear, lion, snake, etc.)

		Not at all concerned Row N %	Moderately concerned Row N %	Very concerned Row N %	Total Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	67.1%	27.4%	5.5%	679
Bitterroot	1	70.1%	24.3%	5.6%	458
Custer Gallatin	1	65.3%	29.8%	4.9%	613
Flathead	1	65.8%	27.3%	6.8%	384
Grasslands	1	68.7%	26.8%	4.5%	272
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	59.8%	31.9%	8.3%	608
Idaho Panhandle	1	70.7%	25.7%	3.5%	531
Kootenai	1	73.8%	19.9%	6.3%	402
Lolo	1	70.3%	24.4%	5.3%	623
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	67.8%	28.1%	4.1%	699

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? b. Bad weather

				e. may get leet	
		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	63.4%	27.1%	9.5%	681
Bitterroot	1	64.2%	20.9%	14.9%	459
Custer Gallatin	1	73.7%	19.8%	6.5%	618
Flathead	1	64.1%	27.6%	8.3%	388
Grasslands	1	54.9%	43.0%	2.1%	271
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	57.7%	32.0%	10.3%	610
Idaho Panhandle	1	60.0%	32.5%	7.6%	533
Kootenai	1	72.1%	23.8%	4.1%	404
Lolo	1	68.4%	22.8%	8.8%	625
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	54.3%	35.4%	10.3%	701

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? c. May get lost

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	44.4%	43.0%	12.6%	681
Bitterroot	1	47.1%	36.3%	16.6%	458
Custer Gallatin	1	48.9%	39.8%	11.3%	618
Flathead	1	42.1%	44.0%	14.0%	386
Grasslands	1	34.5%	56.4%	9.0%	272
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	38.6%	48.1%	13.2%	608
Idaho Panhandle	1	42.8%	45.5%	11.7%	533
Kootenai	1	44.9%	41.1%	14.0%	404
Lolo	1	46.6%	39.2%	14.2%	624
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	38.9%	49.8%	11.3%	699

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? d. Poor road conditions

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	49.7%	37.1%	13.2%	682
Bitterroot	1	47.6%	33.6%	18.8%	460
Custer Gallatin	1	59.9%	31.2%	8.9%	616
Flathead	1	47.3%	39.9%	12.8%	388
Grasslands	1	43.7%	42.0%	14.3%	273
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	47.6%	38.9%	13.5%	609
Idaho Panhandle	1	48.3%	42.5%	9.2%	532
Kootenai	1	49.0%	40.5%	10.5%	405
Lolo	1	50.8%	37.0%	12.2%	627
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	43.7%	44.1%	12.1%	699

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? e. Poor trail conditions

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	58.1%	29.8%	12.1%	684
Bitterroot	1	59.0%	28.0%	13.0%	462
Custer Gallatin	1	65.7%	25.1%	9.1%	618
Flathead	1	63.6%	25.4%	11.0%	389
Grasslands	1	53.2%	32.6%	14.2%	270
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	54.6%	30.1%	15.2%	610
Idaho Panhandle	1	59.8%	31.4%	8.8%	534
Kootenai	1	67.0%	24.0%	9.0%	406
Lolo	1	65.0%	23.8%	11.2%	628
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	56.1%	34.5%	9.3%	702

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? f. Run out of gas or supplies in remote area

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	53.8%	30.3%	15.9%	682
Bitterroot	1	55.6%	22.9%	21.5%	458
Custer Gallatin	1	60.1%	29.7%	10.2%	618
Flathead	1	60.0%	26.8%	13.3%	387
Grasslands	1	41.9%	45.7%	12.4%	270
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	53.5%	30.8%	15.6%	610
Idaho Panhandle	1	54.8%	31.7%	13.5%	534
Kootenai	1	62.5%	29.9%	7.6%	404
Lolo	1	58.4%	26.9%	14.6%	624
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	53.0%	29.7%	17.3%	698

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? g. Lack of cell phone coverage

		Not at all concerned Row N %	Moderately concerned Row N %	Very concerned Row N %	Total Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	42.4%	39.8%	17.8%	685
Bitterroot	1	41.7%	41.7%	16.6%	460
Custer Gallatin	1	39.5%	46.7%	13.7%	619
Flathead	1	44.9%	39.9%	15.1%	386
Grasslands	1	28.9%	49.8%	21.3%	270
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	38.1%	41.1%	20.8%	611
Idaho Panhandle	1	35.3%	53.0%	11.7%	531
Kootenai	1	46.4%	41.4%	12.1%	402
Lolo	1	45.9%	38.7%	15.4%	624
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	33.8%	53.4%	12.9%	697

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? h. Needing emergency services

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	45.7%	40.3%	14.0%	684
Bitterroot	1	51.8%	28.7%	19.5%	462
Custer Gallatin	1	49.0%	37.0%	14.0%	618
Flathead	1	47.3%	31.8%	20.9%	388
Grasslands	1	56.7%	26.0%	17.3%	271
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	39.9%	41.4%	18.7%	610
Idaho Panhandle	1	45.9%	38.1%	16.0%	536
Kootenai	1	49.1%	30.3%	20.6%	406
Lolo	1	49.4%	31.1%	19.5%	627
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	46.2%	39.2%	14.6%	703

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? i. Forest fire

	public lands: j. i ool all quality					
		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total	
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count	
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	45.7%	37.0%	17.3%	680	
Bitterroot	1	44.9%	36.2%	18.9%	459	
Custer Gallatin	1	60.4%	25.4%	14.2%	617	
Flathead	1	51.9%	25.3%	22.8%	384	
Grasslands	1	58.2%	20.0%	21.8%	271	
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	44.6%	34.6%	20.8%	605	
Idaho Panhandle	1	52.3%	30.1%	17.6%	527	
Kootenai	1	55.0%	20.8%	24.2%	401	
Lolo	1	54.2%	25.7%	20.2%	619	
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	50.6%	35.3%	14.1%	697	

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? j. Poor air quality

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	52.5%	32.4%	15.1%	686
Bitterroot	1	51.1%	31.8%	17.1%	463
Custer Gallatin	1	61.8%	24.9%	13.3%	620
Flathead	1	45.1%	36.6%	18.3%	388
Grasslands	1	59.4%	26.6%	13.9%	272
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	47.3%	34.5%	18.1%	611
Idaho Panhandle	1	54.4%	29.5%	16.1%	534
Kootenai	1	51.9%	34.2%	13.9%	403
Lolo	1	50.5%	34.0%	15.5%	627
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	56.1%	28.7%	15.1%	703

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? k. Shooting (not at a range)

	Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total		
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count	
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	48.5%	34.6%	16.9%	679	
Bitterroot	1	51.5%	30.0%	18.5%	456	
Custer Gallatin	1	52.7%	28.6%	18.7%	612	
Flathead	1	54.3%	24.9%	20.8%	382	
Grasslands	1	51.2%	24.5%	24.3%	271	
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	47.2%	31.4%	21.4%	607	
Idaho Panhandle	1	46.8%	34.6%	18.6%	531	
Kootenai	1	57.1%	24.5%	18.4%	399	
Lolo	1	52.8%	28.6%	18.5%	620	
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	52.5%	29.7%	17.8%	694	

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? I. Crime

		Not at all concerned Row N %	Moderately concerned Row N %	Very concerned Row N %	Total Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	0.0%	18.0%	82.0%	44
Bitterroot	1	0.0%	0.1%	99.9%	26
Custer Gallatin	1	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	37
Flathead	1	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	15
Grasslands	1	0.0%	80.2%	19.8%	7
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	0.0%	15.2%	84.8%	31
Idaho Panhandle	1	0.0%	10.5%	89.5%	25
Kootenai	1	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	15
Lolo	1	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	31
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	0.0%	8.5%	91.4%	37

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? m. Other? (please specify below)

		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree or disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	6.4%	14.3%	21.0%	38.0%	9.2%	11.1%	690
Bitterroot	1	2.9%	17.5%	24.2%	37.7%	3.6%	14.1%	464
Custer Gallatin	1	7.9%	14.8%	30.9%	29.6%	8.7%	8.1%	622
Flathead	1	8.4%	19.3%	22.2%	26.2%	11.0%	12.9%	387
Grasslands	1	7.5%	8.9%	40.6%	24.1%	5.4%	13.5%	274
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	5.2%	15.2%	21.5%	35.6%	11.7%	10.8%	614
Idaho Panhandle	1	3.8%	18.8%	31.6%	24.6%	6.3%	15.0%	531
Kootenai	1	9.8%	16.3%	29.1%	23.1%	12.3%	9.5%	404
Lolo	1	7.8%	18.1%	24.6%	29.4%	7.3%	12.8%	628
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	2.4%	18.4%	29.6%	30.3%	5.1%	14.2%	700

Q5. These questions ask for your opinion about management of local federal public lands. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree. a. People in my local community have been involved in decisions about land management

		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree or disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	8.3%	17.7%	28.8%	29.2%	6.5%	9.7%	687
Bitterroot	1	8.1%	21.3%	28.3%	27.7%	6.0%	8.6%	462
Custer Gallatin	1	7.9%	16.5%	35.9%	27.1%	2.0%	10.5%	620
Flathead	1	7.7%	24.9%	25.4%	28.9%	6.3%	6.8%	385
Grasslands	1	6.6%	12.7%	34.1%	30.0%	3.2%	13.5%	273
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	7.2%	17.4%	26.7%	29.4%	6.6%	12.6%	611
Idaho Panhandle	1	5.4%	26.1%	26.7%	24.0%	4.1%	13.7%	531
Kootenai	1	7.9%	22.9%	28.1%	30.2%	5.7%	5.2%	403
Lolo	1	8.4%	25.0%	27.1%	24.1%	6.9%	8.5%	626
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	6.0%	29.2%	22.3%	25.3%	4.4%	12.8%	700

Q5. These questions ask for your opinion about management of local federal public lands. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree. b. Land management decisions balance the concerns of most people in my community

		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree or disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	8.2%	18.4%	26.8%	33.1%	3.2%	10.3%	688
Bitterroot	1	7.1%	18.4%	30.2%	29.2%	5.1%	10.0%	464
Custer Gallatin	1	9.1%	22.6%	34.1%	22.8%	3.2%	8.2%	620
Flathead	1	15.5%	17.0%	31.3%	25.8%	5.0%	5.3%	386
Grasslands	1	8.7%	15.6%	36.0%	24.8%	0.7%	14.2%	274
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	9.0%	16.5%	28.4%	30.4%	4.2%	11.5%	613
Idaho Panhandle	1	9.2%	27.5%	25.6%	20.4%	4.2%	13.1%	532
Kootenai	1	13.9%	20.5%	32.8%	25.0%	3.6%	4.1%	403
Lolo	1	14.1%	18.8%	33.0%	23.5%	3.4%	7.2%	627
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	8.9%	24.3%	24.9%	25.6%	3.7%	12.5%	701

Q5. These questions ask for your opinion about management of local federal public lands. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree. c. Land managers in my area do a good job communicating with the public

		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree or disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	12.0%	22.1%	26.4%	27.9%	4.2%	7.4%	688
Bitterroot	1	7.4%	20.7%	31.0%	29.7%	5.1%	6.1%	464
Custer Gallatin	1	11.6%	24.8%	27.5%	26.1%	6.4%	3.7%	619
Flathead	1	18.2%	18.4%	32.6%	23.3%	4.9%	2.6%	387
Grasslands	1	8.8%	16.8%	30.0%	26.3%	3.4%	14.6%	273
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	10.6%	21.8%	28.6%	27.5%	4.7%	6.8%	613
Idaho Panhandle	1	9.4%	21.3%	31.3%	27.4%	4.5%	6.2%	531
Kootenai	1	18.7%	19.4%	34.2%	22.2%	4.2%	1.3%	403
Lolo	1	19.1%	18.8%	30.9%	22.7%	4.7%	3.8%	628
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	7.4%	22.6%	28.5%	28.2%	6.1%	7.2%	701

Q5. These questions ask for your opinion about management of local federal public lands. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree. d. I trust local land managers to make proper decisions about land management

Q5. These questions ask for your opinion about management of local federal public lands. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree. e. Land management decisions balance the concerns of both local communities and national interests

		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree or disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	13.3%	19.8%	26.6%	23.7%	5.4%	11.3%	688
Bitterroot	1	10.9%	16.4%	35.2%	22.1%	7.5%	8.0%	464
Custer Gallatin	1	12.3%	22.2%	32.5%	23.4%	3.1%	6.5%	619
Flathead	1	20.1%	16.6%	24.9%	20.5%	7.3%	10.6%	387
Grasslands	1	5.8%	16.2%	29.9%	25.8%	5.1%	17.1%	272
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	12.2%	18.1%	23.6%	26.6%	6.8%	12.7%	612
Idaho Panhandle	1	10.5%	21.8%	25.9%	24.3%	4.4%	13.1%	532
Kootenai	1	17.7%	20.5%	27.6%	18.0%	4.2%	11.9%	404
Lolo	1	19.7%	18.2%	26.2%	19.7%	5.7%	10.5%	628
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	11.0%	20.3%	27.7%	24.0%	6.1%	11.0%	700

		Q6. Have you e	lands?	ai rederai public
		Yes	No	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	93.9%	6.1%	690
Bitterroot	1	94.2%	5.8%	465
Custer Gallatin	1	93.5%	6.5%	624
Flathead	1	92.5%	7.5%	389
Grasslands	1	77.9%	22.1%	274
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	92.4%	7.6%	615
Idaho Panhandle	1	88.9%	11.1%	539
Kootenai	1	93.8%	6.2%	407
Lolo	1	93.6%	6.4%	630
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	88.9%	11.1%	707

06 Have you ever visited your local federal public

		0 times	1 time	2 to 4 times	5 to 10 times	11 to 30 times	More than 30 times	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	3.3%	2.6%	23.8%	26.6%	21.1%	22.5%	666
Bitterroot	1	4.5%	3.0%	32.1%	18.8%	18.7%	22.8%	449
Custer Gallatin	1	5.7%	3.6%	22.8%	25.3%	20.9%	21.7%	595
Flathead	1	4.3%	3.5%	26.4%	19.2%	17.5%	29.1%	374
Grasslands	1	7.8%	19.2%	47.3%	14.8%	5.4%	5.5%	226
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	3.7%	3.1%	27.1%	26.8%	20.1%	19.2%	580
Idaho Panhandle	1	6.1%	5.7%	22.3%	26.4%	21.2%	18.2%	502
Kootenai	1	4.4%	5.1%	19.3%	19.5%	20.6%	31.1%	392
Lolo	1	4.3%	4.5%	27.9%	17.6%	16.9%	28.8%	604
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	5.5%	6.7%	28.0%	25.3%	17.2%	17.3%	669

Q7. Please tell us how many times you have visited your local federal public lands in the past 12 months? Do not count visits that include commuting through public lands and working in public lands.

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	19.2%	45.2%	25.1%	6.5%	4.0%	662
Bitterroot	1	19.4%	32.8%	36.5%	6.7%	4.5%	449
Custer Gallatin	1	16.2%	40.0%	32.8%	5.7%	5.3%	586
Flathead	1	19.7%	38.8%	33.0%	6.8%	1.7%	370
Grasslands	1	6.7%	13.0%	58.1%	15.9%	6.3%	227
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	17.6%	45.0%	25.9%	7.7%	3.8%	572
Idaho Panhandle	1	12.6%	37.9%	38.6%	8.6%	2.3%	503
Kootenai	1	22.0%	38.9%	33.0%	4.8%	1.3%	390
Lolo	1	18.1%	35.4%	37.4%	6.0%	3.0%	600
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	11.4%	38.0%	38.5%	8.4%	3.8%	667

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - a. Hiking/walking

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	0.6%	1.0%	17.9%	26.0%	54.5%	655
Bitterroot	1	1.3%	1.5%	15.5%	19.4%	62.3%	444
Custer Gallatin	1	0.8%	2.7%	21.3%	25.4%	49.8%	581
Flathead	1	1.3%	3.0%	7.0%	22.7%	66.0%	363
Grasslands	1	0.8%	3.6%	11.9%	9.1%	74.6%	225
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	0.2%	2.4%	20.2%	24.6%	52.6%	566
Idaho Panhandle	1	0.8%	1.3%	9.6%	21.5%	66.9%	499
Kootenai	1	1.8%	3.8%	8.8%	19.4%	66.1%	385
Lolo	1	1.0%	4.3%	7.8%	22.4%	64.5%	591
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	1.1%	0.8%	5.7%	24.0%	68.4%	661

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - b. Horseback riding

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	6.4%	8.8%	24.4%	16.6%	43.8%	656
Bitterroot	1	2.8%	8.0%	18.1%	14.6%	56.6%	448
Custer Gallatin	1	7.2%	8.6%	25.6%	15.9%	42.7%	581
Flathead	1	7.0%	6.5%	17.9%	12.7%	56.0%	364
Grasslands	1	0.0%	5.7%	8.7%	17.7%	67.9%	225
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	6.2%	6.1%	27.9%	13.5%	46.3%	568
Idaho Panhandle	1	2.2%	4.4%	12.4%	20.6%	60.3%	496
Kootenai	1	10.2%	4.9%	14.9%	14.8%	55.1%	383
Lolo	1	5.0%	5.7%	17.5%	15.5%	56.3%	594
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	2.2%	6.2%	13.2%	19.4%	59.0%	664

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - c. Downhill skiing or snowboarding

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	5.1%	7.9%	20.4%	18.7%	47.9%	660
Bitterroot	1	2.9%	7.3%	19.7%	14.8%	55.3%	446
Custer Gallatin	1	5.7%	7.0%	18.9%	14.2%	54.2%	586
Flathead	1	5.2%	13.3%	27.2%	17.3%	36.9%	368
Grasslands	1	3.7%	0.0%	5.1%	13.6%	77.6%	226
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	2.6%	8.0%	22.3%	18.0%	49.2%	575
Idaho Panhandle	1	0.9%	6.0%	19.7%	17.7%	55.8%	504
Kootenai	1	5.5%	15.3%	28.1%	15.2%	35.9%	387
Lolo	1	3.6%	12.0%	24.6%	16.6%	43.2%	595
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	1.9%	5.4%	15.6%	20.3%	56.8%	666

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - d. Cross-country skiing or snowshoeing

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	2.9%	7.6%	10.5%	20.2%	58.9%	664
Bitterroot	1	3.3%	3.3%	8.0%	15.7%	69.7%	449
Custer Gallatin	1	2.8%	9.0%	12.6%	24.6%	51.0%	585
Flathead	1	2.3%	3.0%	9.9%	19.0%	65.9%	367
Grasslands	1	0.2%	2.1%	4.8%	28.1%	64.8%	228
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	2.0%	6.9%	10.8%	21.0%	59.3%	574
Idaho Panhandle	1	2.5%	3.2%	10.4%	23.2%	60.7%	499
Kootenai	1	3.6%	4.0%	10.9%	22.6%	58.9%	383
Lolo	1	3.9%	2.7%	10.1%	20.4%	62.9%	595
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	2.4%	1.0%	7.1%	23.8%	65.6%	669

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - e. Snowmobiling

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	0.2%	0.6%	8.4%	16.6%	74.2%	652
Bitterroot	1	0.0%	0.0%	10.8%	9.3%	79.9%	444
Custer Gallatin	1	0.4%	0.8%	9.5%	13.2%	76.1%	574
Flathead	1	0.4%	0.0%	13.7%	16.7%	69.2%	365
Grasslands	1	0.0%	0.2%	6.7%	14.1%	79.1%	223
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	1.3%	0.6%	10.8%	17.9%	69.5%	561
Idaho Panhandle	1	0.9%	0.0%	10.1%	12.5%	76.5%	497
Kootenai	1	1.3%	0.0%	13.3%	15.5%	69.9%	387
Lolo	1	1.2%	0.0%	11.4%	14.4%	72.9%	592
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	0.1%	0.0%	8.7%	13.7%	77.4%	660

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - f. Cultural ceremonies

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	13.6%	28.9%	28.6%	12.4%	16.5%	663
Bitterroot	1	11.9%	30.3%	23.1%	21.1%	13.5%	448
Custer Gallatin	1	13.5%	22.7%	34.5%	11.4%	17.9%	590
Flathead	1	11.9%	30.0%	28.7%	16.5%	13.0%	372
Grasslands	1	4.7%	18.9%	34.5%	18.2%	23.7%	228
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	10.9%	28.9%	31.8%	12.2%	16.2%	576
Idaho Panhandle	1	9.8%	26.3%	28.8%	17.2%	17.9%	505
Kootenai	1	16.0%	29.6%	28.8%	8.5%	17.1%	392
Lolo	1	14.1%	30.9%	24.1%	15.8%	15.0%	602
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	10.4%	24.2%	28.3%	21.3%	15.8%	671

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - g. Fishing

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	10.7%	17.3%	23.4%	9.4%	39.2%	658
Bitterroot	1	9.6%	10.1%	26.6%	8.6%	45.1%	445
Custer Gallatin	1	10.2%	13.3%	30.9%	10.9%	34.7%	587
Flathead	1	8.7%	17.5%	25.6%	8.8%	39.5%	366
Grasslands	1	4.3%	17.6%	23.8%	13.0%	41.3%	221
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	13.4%	14.8%	23.5%	11.3%	37.0%	573
Idaho Panhandle	1	9.1%	16.4%	17.3%	10.7%	46.5%	500
Kootenai	1	10.8%	18.0%	32.5%	7.3%	31.4%	385
Lolo	1	9.7%	17.8%	25.2%	8.1%	39.2%	593
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	6.8%	13.7%	21.0%	11.5%	47.0%	664

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - h. Hunting

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	3.4%	12.8%	26.3%	16.2%	41.3%	662
Bitterroot	1	2.6%	10.1%	29.1%	28.3%	29.9%	446
Custer Gallatin	1	4.4%	9.4%	25.7%	15.5%	45.0%	588
Flathead	1	6.3%	13.7%	26.0%	11.7%	42.3%	371
Grasslands	1	3.6%	7.8%	26.5%	15.7%	46.4%	226
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	3.5%	12.2%	27.6%	16.0%	40.7%	577
Idaho Panhandle	1	4.5%	16.3%	21.7%	12.4%	45.2%	502
Kootenai	1	7.8%	13.2%	23.0%	10.1%	46.0%	389
Lolo	1	4.4%	11.6%	25.8%	16.9%	41.4%	599
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	2.7%	14.0%	20.6%	20.4%	42.3%	665

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - i. Creative arts (photography /drawing/ painting/

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	3.2%	25.1%	47.8%	11.7%	12.3%	662
Bitterroot	1	0.4%	21.2%	49.1%	16.4%	12.8%	445
Custer Gallatin	1	3.6%	19.0%	50.1%	15.3%	12.0%	587
Flathead	1	0.2%	22.7%	49.1%	16.6%	11.5%	369
Grasslands	1	0.7%	9.9%	45.7%	19.8%	23.8%	226
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	3.1%	25.7%	47.1%	10.4%	13.8%	577
Idaho Panhandle	1	1.5%	16.1%	45.3%	18.4%	18.7%	503
Kootenai	1	2.5%	21.2%	38.3%	19.3%	18.7%	390
Lolo	1	0.2%	22.8%	45.9%	17.2%	13.9%	597
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	2.5%	16.8%	46.3%	16.4%	17.9%	668

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - j. Developed camping (at official campground)

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	3.5%	28.0%	35.7%	15.8%	17.0%	659
Bitterroot	1	5.3%	18.4%	36.4%	16.4%	23.4%	443
Custer Gallatin	1	5.2%	24.8%	36.2%	17.6%	16.2%	587
Flathead	1	2.9%	23.5%	38.4%	18.9%	16.2%	370
Grasslands	1	0.5%	3.6%	14.1%	40.3%	41.5%	224
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	3.3%	25.8%	35.4%	17.1%	18.4%	575
Idaho Panhandle	1	4.7%	12.1%	40.5%	19.3%	23.3%	501
Kootenai	1	7.9%	24.8%	34.4%	16.9%	16.0%	388
Lolo	1	3.9%	20.4%	40.2%	18.0%	17.5%	596
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	6.3%	12.2%	40.9%	15.7%	24.9%	661

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - k. Dispersed camping (not at official campground)

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	20.2%	39.9%	30.0%	5.4%	4.5%	659
Bitterroot	1	18.9%	37.8%	31.1%	9.9%	2.2%	444
Custer Gallatin	1	16.9%	35.2%	38.1%	7.1%	2.7%	586
Flathead	1	25.9%	38.8%	26.3%	5.8%	3.1%	373
Grasslands	1	5.0%	27.2%	45.7%	16.0%	6.2%	228
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	20.0%	37.4%	31.9%	6.1%	4.6%	578
Idaho Panhandle	1	20.5%	34.4%	31.3%	9.7%	4.1%	507
Kootenai	1	30.3%	36.8%	23.3%	3.8%	5.9%	392
Lolo	1	24.5%	38.7%	27.6%	5.6%	3.6%	599
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	14.8%	37.3%	34.3%	9.9%	3.7%	666

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - I. Viewing wildlife

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	20.2%	43.9%	27.4%	4.9%	3.6%	661
Bitterroot	1	16.8%	43.8%	32.2%	3.6%	3.6%	446
Custer Gallatin	1	18.2%	36.7%	36.3%	6.9%	1.9%	590
Flathead	1	27.0%	39.7%	24.2%	3.9%	5.2%	370
Grasslands	1	12.2%	22.1%	49.7%	9.7%	6.3%	226
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	21.9%	41.8%	26.4%	4.7%	5.2%	576
Idaho Panhandle	1	19.9%	40.4%	30.6%	7.0%	2.1%	496
Kootenai	1	29.7%	41.2%	21.7%	3.2%	4.2%	383
Lolo	1	23.9%	40.0%	26.7%	4.4%	5.0%	594
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	17.3%	39.0%	34.6%	6.6%	2.5%	662

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - m. Relaxing

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	15.8%	40.6%	27.9%	8.9%	6.8%	662
Bitterroot	1	15.0%	35.5%	35.7%	7.0%	6.8%	447
Custer Gallatin	1	13.6%	32.9%	38.2%	9.9%	5.3%	586
Flathead	1	25.3%	30.6%	27.4%	8.1%	8.6%	371
Grasslands	1	11.5%	20.7%	57.9%	3.8%	6.1%	225
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	16.5%	40.5%	28.0%	8.5%	6.5%	575
Idaho Panhandle	1	17.3%	33.5%	34.3%	8.1%	6.8%	502
Kootenai	1	25.4%	36.9%	25.0%	4.4%	8.3%	390
Lolo	1	23.4%	32.4%	28.6%	7.7%	8.0%	601
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	17.9%	31.7%	37.0%	8.0%	5.4%	666

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - n. Driving for pleasure

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	1.7%	6.7%	18.0%	18.8%	54.9%	660
Bitterroot	1	2.0%	6.1%	19.8%	16.9%	55.2%	443
Custer Gallatin	1	2.0%	4.3%	11.1%	17.4%	65.2%	585
Flathead	1	5.8%	6.0%	18.4%	15.1%	54.7%	369
Grasslands	1	3.9%	2.7%	12.1%	28.6%	52.8%	226
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	2.9%	6.0%	17.4%	21.4%	52.3%	577
Idaho Panhandle	1	1.5%	7.1%	16.0%	20.2%	55.1%	502
Kootenai	1	4.9%	5.1%	20.2%	17.8%	52.0%	388
Lolo	1	4.1%	5.7%	19.5%	16.0%	54.7%	595
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	2.2%	9.2%	15.6%	19.2%	53.8%	662

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - o. Road bicycling

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	3.2%	4.4%	18.5%	16.3%	57.6%	655
Bitterroot	1	2.8%	3.3%	25.4%	7.8%	60.7%	441
Custer Gallatin	1	2.8%	2.6%	12.8%	13.6%	68.2%	585
Flathead	1	8.3%	3.2%	17.6%	7.0%	63.9%	369
Grasslands	1	0.0%	2.7%	4.5%	25.3%	67.5%	228
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	2.9%	4.6%	16.0%	16.1%	60.3%	572
Idaho Panhandle	1	1.5%	4.3%	15.6%	22.0%	56.6%	499
Kootenai	1	8.1%	3.7%	18.0%	11.8%	58.4%	388
Lolo	1	6.2%	4.5%	17.9%	8.4%	63.0%	594
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	1.8%	4.0%	19.1%	17.2%	58.0%	659

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - p. Mountain bicycling

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	3.6%	9.7%	27.6%	24.8%	34.3%	662
Bitterroot	1	1.1%	10.0%	20.4%	29.1%	39.4%	447
Custer Gallatin	1	2.0%	9.8%	27.7%	22.1%	38.5%	589
Flathead	1	4.6%	4.4%	28.4%	25.0%	37.6%	370
Grasslands	1	0.5%	2.7%	10.1%	20.0%	66.8%	227
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	4.4%	6.7%	27.0%	25.5%	36.3%	576
Idaho Panhandle	1	3.6%	10.3%	31.8%	23.3%	31.1%	503
Kootenai	1	5.6%	8.4%	34.4%	24.5%	27.2%	388
Lolo	1	4.4%	5.7%	30.6%	25.1%	34.2%	599
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	0.7%	9.1%	25.0%	27.4%	37.8%	671

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - q. Firewood collecting

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	0.8%	8.1%	37.3%	13.2%	40.7%	662
Bitterroot	1	0.8%	8.8%	40.0%	20.5%	29.8%	447
Custer Gallatin	1	1.2%	5.9%	29.6%	19.5%	43.8%	587
Flathead	1	4.1%	10.0%	49.1%	15.7%	21.1%	370
Grasslands	1	0.2%	0.0%	17.5%	9.8%	72.5%	226
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	3.5%	6.5%	36.3%	14.6%	39.1%	576
Idaho Panhandle	1	3.2%	15.4%	43.2%	17.6%	20.5%	504
Kootenai	1	4.1%	21.8%	48.2%	12.7%	13.1%	388
Lolo	1	3.6%	11.3%	47.0%	15.1%	23.0%	598
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	2.4%	9.5%	43.6%	21.0%	23.5%	668

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - r. Foraging for berries, mushroom, etc.

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	4.8%	21.1%	49.4%	15.8%	9.0%	665
Bitterroot	1	2.8%	23.0%	49.4%	18.0%	6.9%	447
Custer Gallatin	1	3.3%	19.2%	50.3%	16.3%	10.8%	592
Flathead	1	2.5%	23.8%	49.1%	15.4%	9.1%	371
Grasslands	1	0.5%	11.9%	49.1%	25.9%	12.7%	225
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	4.7%	20.0%	49.7%	14.6%	11.1%	576
Idaho Panhandle	1	3.5%	23.5%	42.5%	14.4%	16.0%	503
Kootenai	1	3.9%	27.7%	43.3%	13.6%	11.5%	391
Lolo	1	2.0%	24.3%	47.7%	15.3%	10.6%	599
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	3.8%	22.3%	45.3%	15.2%	13.5%	666

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - s. Picnicking

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	47.1%	28.2%	24.7%	0.0%	0.0%	70
Bitterroot	1	24.0%	45.9%	30.1%	0.0%	0.0%	45
Custer Gallatin	1	63.6%	13.8%	22.6%	0.0%	0.0%	39
Flathead	1	42.8%	44.2%	13.0%	0.0%	0.0%	23
Grasslands	1	0.0%	82.7%	17.3%	0.0%	0.0%	6
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	46.1%	33.3%	20.6%	0.0%	0.0%	40
Idaho Panhandle	1	25.1%	42.0%	33.0%	0.0%	0.0%	37
Kootenai	1	70.3%	29.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	23
Lolo	1	41.4%	42.0%	16.6%	0.0%	0.0%	52
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	17.2%	43.3%	39.5%	0.0%	0.0%	64

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - t. Other (please specify below)

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	0.4%	2.2%	5.4%	10.6%	81.4%	662
Bitterroot	1	1.1%	2.2%	3.5%	5.4%	87.8%	450
Custer Gallatin	1	0.5%	2.2%	8.7%	9.1%	79.5%	586
Flathead	1	2.1%	2.8%	5.3%	7.4%	82.4%	374
Grasslands	1	0.0%	0.2%	6.6%	4.7%	88.5%	229
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	0.8%	1.7%	4.3%	10.2%	82.9%	577
Idaho Panhandle	1	1.5%	2.6%	5.6%	13.3%	77.0%	504
Kootenai	1	2.0%	3.3%	5.6%	9.7%	79.4%	392
Lolo	1	2.1%	3.7%	6.4%	7.8%	80.0%	604
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	0.5%	0.4%	5.7%	11.3%	82.1%	671

Q9. How often, if at all, do you participate in income generating activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - a. Harvesting timber

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	2.0%	1.1%	0.5%	3.8%	92.6%	660
Bitterroot	1	0.5%	0.0%	0.7%	6.1%	92.7%	448
Custer Gallatin	1	3.3%	0.2%	0.3%	5.0%	91.2%	586
Flathead	1	0.2%	0.0%	1.1%	3.1%	95.5%	374
Grasslands	1	0.0%	3.6%	0.3%	3.8%	92.2%	229
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	1.0%	1.0%	1.1%	3.2%	93.6%	577
Idaho Panhandle	1	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	5.7%	93.2%	504
Kootenai	1	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	2.6%	95.5%	393
Lolo	1	0.3%	0.0%	1.4%	5.7%	92.7%	603
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	7.0%	92.5%	670

Q9. How often, if at all, do you participate in income generating activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - b. Mining

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	0.6%	0.0%	1.0%	1.6%	96.9%	658
Bitterroot	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%	97.5%	448
Custer Gallatin	1	1.0%	0.0%	1.1%	4.2%	93.7%	581
Flathead	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	1.0%	98.8%	373
Grasslands	1	2.9%	0.0%	0.3%	3.8%	92.9%	229
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%	1.0%	97.7%	575
Idaho Panhandle	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	98.4%	505
Kootenai	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.6%	99.2%	391
Lolo	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	1.9%	97.9%	603
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	98.0%	671

Q9. How often, if at all, do you participate in income generating activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - c. Oil/gas extraction

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	1.8%	0.0%	2.3%	6.4%	89.6%	659
Bitterroot	1	2.6%	0.0%	4.3%	3.8%	89.3%	447
Custer Gallatin	1	1.4%	0.0%	3.8%	7.0%	87.7%	584
Flathead	1	1.7%	0.0%	2.4%	5.9%	90.0%	373
Grasslands	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	10.3%	89.4%	227
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	1.1%	0.0%	3.2%	5.6%	90.2%	576
Idaho Panhandle	1	0.0%	0.3%	1.1%	9.9%	88.7%	504
Kootenai	1	0.4%	0.0%	2.4%	7.2%	90.1%	390
Lolo	1	1.5%	0.0%	3.8%	5.4%	89.2%	602
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	0.9%	0.0%	1.6%	5.6%	91.9%	670

Q9. How often, if at all, do you participate in income generating activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - d. Outfitter/guiding

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	42.6%	38.5%	17.0%	1.9%	0.0%	29
Bitterroot	1	41.2%	0.5%	58.1%	0.2%	0.0%	19
Custer Gallatin	1	56.0%	2.4%	41.6%	0.0%	0.0%	28
Flathead	1	0.2%	98.6%	0.7%	0.5%	0.0%	11
Grasslands	1	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	<5
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	43.0%	49.3%	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%	20
Idaho Panhandle	1	31.7%	24.2%	19.6%	24.6%	0.0%	18
Kootenai	1	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	11
Lolo	1	0.3%	56.7%	42.7%	0.4%	0.0%	20
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	69.6%	0.2%	30.2%	0.0%	0.0%	24

Q9. How often, if at all, do you participate in income generating activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - e. Other (please specify below)

Q10. Have there been activities that you wanted to do during your visit(s) to local federal public lands that you were not able to?

		Yes	No	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	16.3%	83.7%	641
Bitterroot	1	7.7%	92.3%	435
Custer Gallatin	1	17.8%	82.2%	562
Flathead	1	27.2%	72.8%	359
Grasslands	1	7.4%	92.6%	223
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	20.3%	79.7%	554
Idaho Panhandle	1	18.8%	81.2%	486
Kootenai	1	27.1%	72.9%	380
Lolo	1	21.1%	78.9%	586
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	15.8%	84.2%	643

		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree or disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	2.2%	3.7%	31.3%	33.4%	16.7%	12.7%	659
Bitterroot	1	2.3%	0.2%	38.4%	40.7%	7.9%	10.5%	446
Custer Gallatin	1	2.2%	4.1%	26.3%	36.2%	14.2%	17.0%	582
Flathead	1	1.4%	3.4%	29.5%	39.6%	8.2%	17.8%	368
Grasslands	1	0.0%	0.6%	34.0%	39.7%	6.9%	18.8%	223
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	1.1%	3.7%	30.6%	35.7%	16.2%	12.7%	569
Idaho Panhandle	1	2.6%	4.5%	26.2%	39.2%	11.5%	16.0%	490
Kootenai	1	1.4%	3.6%	29.0%	41.0%	6.5%	18.6%	381
Lolo	1	1.2%	3.4%	30.7%	40.3%	6.5%	17.9%	597
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	2.3%	1.7%	29.0%	42.3%	12.0%	12.6%	661

Q14. We would like to know how you feel about visiting local federal public lands. Please rate how much you think it describes your typical experience. a. I have access to areas of cultural/traditional significance

		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree or disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	0.4%	3.2%	12.3%	60.9%	20.8%	2.4%	663
Bitterroot	1	0.3%	2.4%	16.6%	64.5%	10.3%	6.0%	446
Custer Gallatin	1	0.4%	0.4%	18.7%	59.5%	17.3%	3.6%	586
Flathead	1	1.6%	4.2%	17.4%	61.1%	11.6%	4.1%	370
Grasslands	1	0.0%	0.7%	18.9%	54.3%	16.0%	10.0%	222
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	0.3%	3.0%	12.9%	61.4%	19.9%	2.5%	575
Idaho Panhandle	1	2.7%	2.6%	19.5%	56.4%	16.5%	2.3%	492
Kootenai	1	1.5%	4.6%	21.1%	54.4%	16.1%	2.3%	383
Lolo	1	1.5%	4.2%	18.9%	58.2%	13.3%	3.9%	598
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	0.9%	2.1%	18.0%	58.0%	18.1%	3.0%	663

Q14. We would like to know how you feel about visiting local federal public lands. Please rate how much you think it describes your typical experience. b. I have pleasant interactions with other visitors

		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree or disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	14.7%	29.6%	28.4%	15.1%	7.7%	4.6%	658
Bitterroot	1	11.5%	35.6%	30.5%	14.6%	0.7%	7.1%	444
Custer Gallatin	1	13.4%	27.2%	32.5%	11.1%	9.2%	6.6%	584
Flathead	1	10.2%	37.0%	26.3%	15.7%	3.2%	7.6%	369
Grasslands	1	11.4%	31.4%	43.4%	5.1%	1.9%	6.8%	222
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	13.5%	30.0%	27.9%	16.9%	6.2%	5.4%	574
Idaho Panhandle	1	11.1%	35.5%	36.1%	12.4%	1.3%	3.6%	491
Kootenai	1	12.1%	32.1%	29.5%	19.8%	2.0%	4.5%	382
Lolo	1	12.0%	34.7%	26.9%	15.9%	3.5%	7.0%	596
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	11.0%	38.3%	35.5%	10.1%	1.0%	4.0%	660

Q14. We would like to know how you feel about visiting local federal public lands. Please rate how much you think it describes your typical experience. c. I experience conflict with users using different modes of transportation

		Too few	Adequate amount	Too many	Don't know	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	25.4%	50.9%	6.7%	17.0%	661
Bitterroot	1	21.8%	54.3%	6.6%	17.3%	448
Custer Gallatin	1	23.2%	53.9%	7.5%	15.3%	585
Flathead	1	20.6%	50.5%	8.9%	20.0%	370
Grasslands	1	21.6%	42.7%	1.2%	34.5%	226
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	22.9%	52.8%	6.9%	17.4%	573
Idaho Panhandle	1	21.6%	50.5%	9.1%	18.8%	491
Kootenai	1	21.5%	53.8%	7.6%	17.1%	383
Lolo	1	21.2%	50.5%	8.7%	19.5%	598
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	21.9%	51.6%	8.1%	18.5%	664

Q15. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are TOO FEW, an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MANY, or DONT KNOW. a. Rangers patrolling the area

		Too few	Adequate amount	Too many	Don't know	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	30.2%	36.2%	12.5%	21.1%	663
Bitterroot	1	21.9%	42.6%	10.5%	25.0%	448
Custer Gallatin	1	29.1%	39.1%	10.4%	21.4%	586
Flathead	1	28.4%	36.8%	5.3%	29.5%	369
Grasslands	1	15.1%	28.6%	5.1%	51.2%	225
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	27.0%	37.5%	8.5%	27.1%	575
Idaho Panhandle	1	20.1%	46.1%	11.7%	22.1%	493
Kootenai	1	26.0%	39.3%	9.8%	24.9%	383
Lolo	1	29.7%	39.8%	5.5%	25.0%	597
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	18.1%	48.1%	11.7%	22.1%	664

Q15. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are TOO FEW, an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MANY, or DONT KNOW. b. Trails for all terrain vehicles

Q15. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are TOO FEW, an
ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MANY, or DONT KNOW. c. Areas open to snow
machines

		machines						
		Too few	Adequate amount	Too many	Don't know	Total Unweighted		
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count		
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	18.0%	38.9%	11.4%	31.6%	661		
Bitterroot	1	8.1%	43.1%	19.1%	29.7%	446		
Custer Gallatin	1	17.8%	36.5%	6.8%	38.9%	588		
Flathead	1	11.5%	32.2%	10.7%	45.7%	368		
Grasslands	1	2.7%	44.3%	3.5%	49.6%	226		
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	14.7%	36.7%	8.7%	39.9%	575		
Idaho Panhandle	1	9.4%	46.2%	7.3%	37.1%	495		
Kootenai	1	12.6%	36.5%	7.5%	43.4%	383		
Lolo	1	13.3%	37.5%	9.7%	39.5%	596		
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	7.9%	44.3%	10.6%	37.3%	664		

Q15. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are TOO FEW, an
ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MANY, or DONT KNOW. d. Accessible (e.g., for
wheelchairs) sites

		Too few	Adequate amount	Too many	Don't know	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	26.8%	27.9%	1.8%	43.5%	663
Bitterroot	1	26.8%	28.4%	0.0%	44.8%	447
Custer Gallatin	1	22.2%	30.4%	0.6%	46.7%	585
Flathead	1	29.7%	28.7%	0.0%	41.6%	368
Grasslands	1	28.2%	20.9%	0.0%	50.9%	224
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	27.3%	27.6%	1.7%	43.3%	575
Idaho Panhandle	1	22.6%	31.7%	1.7%	44.1%	493
Kootenai	1	26.7%	30.3%	2.7%	40.3%	382
Lolo	1	29.9%	26.3%	0.0%	43.8%	597
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	23.2%	29.5%	0.8%	46.4%	664

		Too few	Adequate amount	Too many	Don't know	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	16.1%	75.1%	2.5%	6.3%	659
Bitterroot	1	19.9%	67.2%	3.1%	9.8%	445
Custer Gallatin	1	18.5%	72.7%	2.0%	6.8%	581
Flathead	1	20.4%	63.0%	1.9%	14.6%	365
Grasslands	1	23.5%	58.4%	0.2%	17.9%	223
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	18.9%	69.6%	1.6%	9.9%	571
Idaho Panhandle	1	17.5%	70.7%	0.9%	10.9%	490
Kootenai	1	17.3%	65.3%	1.9%	15.6%	383
Lolo	1	17.2%	65.6%	1.7%	15.5%	595
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	16.3%	72.5%	1.3%	9.9%	661

Q15. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are TOO FEW, an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MANY, or DONT KNOW. e. Bathrooms

		Too few	Adequate amount	Too many	Don't know	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	7.4%	43.0%	7.6%	42.1%	658
Bitterroot	1	5.1%	49.5%	0.5%	44.9%	442
Custer Gallatin	1	8.3%	37.0%	7.3%	47.4%	584
Flathead	1	15.3%	38.1%	0.4%	46.2%	368
Grasslands	1	4.2%	30.6%	7.3%	58.0%	225
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	7.4%	45.5%	5.9%	41.3%	574
Idaho Panhandle	1	10.3%	42.1%	2.0%	45.5%	495
Kootenai	1	15.3%	46.1%	0.6%	38.1%	384
Lolo	1	13.8%	41.4%	0.6%	44.2%	594
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	7.9%	46.1%	1.4%	44.6%	660

Q15. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are TOO FEW, an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MANY, or DONT KNOW. f. Trails for mountain bikers

Q15. For each of the following, ple	ease indicate if you feel that there are TOO FEW, an
ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MAN	IY, or DONT KNOW. g. Travel information on forest
we	bsites to plan for trips
A -1 4	

		Too few	Adequate amount	Too many	Don't know	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	17.5%	60.5%	1.2%	20.8%	660
Bitterroot	1	18.7%	54.0%	0.5%	26.8%	448
Custer Gallatin	1	21.0%	52.3%	0.4%	26.3%	586
Flathead	1	22.9%	46.0%	0.3%	30.8%	367
Grasslands	1	7.1%	62.5%	3.8%	26.6%	224
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	19.0%	58.9%	0.3%	21.8%	569
Idaho Panhandle	1	20.6%	50.2%	0.5%	28.6%	494
Kootenai	1	18.6%	47.8%	0.7%	32.9%	384
Lolo	1	19.5%	49.0%	0.3%	31.1%	598
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	23.3%	54.6%	0.2%	21.9%	665

		Too few	Adequate amount	Too many	Don't know	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	36
Bitterroot	1	5.4%	0.0%	94.6%	0.0%	20
Custer Gallatin	1	96.7%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%	17
Flathead	1	36.3%	0.0%	56.2%	7.5%	18
Grasslands	1	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	<5
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	64.5%	2.0%	33.5%	0.0%	28
Idaho Panhandle	1	52.4%	0.0%	43.3%	4.3%	23
Kootenai	1	39.1%	0.0%	52.9%	8.0%	19
Lolo	1	7.3%	0.0%	82.1%	10.6%	31
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	19.3%	0.0%	80.7%	0.0%	28

Q15. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are TOO FEW, an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MANY, or DONT KNOW. h. Other (please specify below)

Q16. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are NOT ENOUGH, an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MUCH, or DONT KNOW. a. Designated wilderness (preserved wildlands)

		Not enough	Adequate amount	Too much	Don't know	Total Unweighted
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	36.3%	39.9%	13.5%	10.3%	678
Bitterroot	1	30.7%	47.0%	4.2%	18.0%	455
Custer Gallatin	1	32.2%	35.5%	17.1%	15.2%	610
Flathead	1	33.0%	43.9%	10.2%	12.9%	379
Grasslands	1	32.6%	40.0%	6.7%	20.7%	270
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	37.7%	39.8%	12.1%	10.5%	601
Idaho Panhandle	1	26.1%	46.4%	11.0%	16.6%	526
Kootenai	1	32.3%	45.6%	11.1%	11.0%	393
Lolo	1	33.1%	43.9%	9.9%	13.1%	615
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	26.4%	45.1%	11.1%	17.3%	694

Q16. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are NOT ENOUGH,						
an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MUCH, or DONT KNOW. b. Wildfire suppression						
activities i.e. management to put out wildfires						

		Not enough	Adequate amount	Too much	Don't know	Total Unweighted
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N % 18.2%	Count 678
Deavemeau Deanouge	1	55.9%	30.1%	9.0%	10.270	070
Bitterroot	1	43.5%	32.7%	7.0%	16.8%	457
Custer Gallatin	1	27.7%	40.0%	8.5%	23.8%	609
Flathead	1	49.6%	28.7%	7.3%	14.4%	381
Grasslands	1	13.7%	35.6%	1.1%	49.6%	270
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	41.0%	31.8%	9.9%	17.3%	605
Idaho Panhandle	1	34.0%	37.0%	3.2%	25.7%	524
Kootenai	1	41.2%	33.1%	8.0%	17.8%	394
Lolo	1	48.2%	27.9%	6.9%	17.0%	617
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	38.4%	34.6%	4.0%	23.0%	697

Q16. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are NOT ENOUGH, an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MUCH, or DONT KNOW. c. Wildfire mitigation activities i.e. management to prevent wildfires

		Not enough Row N %	Adequate amount Row N %	Too much Row N %	Don't know Row N %	Total Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	53.8%	22.5%	3.3%	20.4%	680
Bitterroot	1	51.5%	26.3%	3.7%	18.4%	459
Custer Gallatin	1	48.2%	26.8%	2.2%	22.8%	613
Flathead	1	65.4%	14.7%	2.7%	17.2%	380
Grasslands	1	15.7%	27.3%	4.8%	52.3%	272
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	58.8%	20.3%	2.3%	18.7%	603
Idaho Panhandle	1	45.3%	23.4%	0.6%	30.7%	520
Kootenai	1	56.4%	22.6%	1.9%	19.2%	391
Lolo	1	61.7%	16.4%	3.2%	18.7%	616
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	46.7%	23.3%	1.9%	28.1%	694

Q22. Would you or any member of your household prefer to receive information about public lands, services, etc. in languages other than English?

		Yes	No	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Beaverhead Dearlodge	1	0.7%	99.3%	665
Bitterroot	1	0.3%	99.7%	449
Custer Gallatin	1	0.6%	99.4%	604
Flathead	1	1.5%	98.5%	370
Grasslands	1	3.4%	96.6%	274
Helena-Lewis and Clark	1	1.3%	98.7%	590
Idaho Panhandle	1	0.9%	99.1%	515
Kootenai	1	1.5%	98.5%	390
Lolo	1	0.2%	99.8%	607
Nez Perce Clearwater	1	1.0%	99.0%	683

Open-Ended Questions

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Access, unspecified	21	.9	4.6	4.6
	Access roads	60	2.7	13.0	17.6
	ATV, Motorcycle, off-road, etc access	78	3.5	17.0	34.6
	Mountain biking, bicycling access	24	1.1	5.2	39.8
	Arrowhead collecting	3	.1	.7	40.4
	Backpacking	2	.1	.4	40.9
	Bathroom use	2	.1	.4	41.3
	Birdwatching	2	.1	.4	41.7
	Campfires	7	.3	1.5	43.3
	Camping	39	1.7	8.5	51.7
	Dog accompanied activities, dogs off-leash	3	.1	.7	52.4
	Firewood cutting, harvest wood	17	.8	3.7	56.1
	Fishing	26	1.2	5.7	61.7
	Foraging berries, mushrooms, etc	2	.1	.4	62.2
	Hiking, walking	45	2.0	9.8	72.0
	Horseback riding, packing	8	.4	1.7	73.7
	Hunting	41	1.8	8.9	82.6
	Logging, commercial	2	.1	.4	83.0
	Picnic	3	.1	.7	83.7
	Poison wolves	1	.0	.2	83.9
	Primitive, backpack, dispersed camping	4	.2	.9	84.8
	Rafting, floating, boating	7	.3	1.5	86.3
	Rock-hounding, metal detecting, prospecting	8	.4	1.7	88.0
	Scheduled events, talks, activities	5	.2	1.1	89.1
	Shooting	4	.2	.9	90.0
	Snowmobile	7	.3	1.5	91.5
-	Swimming	1	.0	.2	91.7
	Visit specific area, such as an archaeological site, McDonald Pass, landmarks	10	.4	2.2	93.9
	Zipline	1	.0	.2	94.1
	Boy Scouts	1	.0	.2	94.3

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Picking up deadfall, dead and dying timber	3	.1	.7	95.0
	Chainsaw in Wilderness	1	.0	.2	95.2
	Grazing livestock	1	.0	.2	95.4
	Cellphone use	1	.0	.2	95.7
	Commune with nature, escape from people	3	.1	.7	96.3
	Mining	5	.2	1.1	97.4
	Escape negativity of being an oppressed people	1	.0	.2	97.6
	Build a fence	1	.0	.2	97.8
	Caving, spelunking	1	.0	.2	98.0
	Fireworks	1	.0	.2	98.3
	Drone use	1	.0	.2	98.5
	Trapping	1	.0	.2	98.7
	Illegal hunting activities, ex. Spotlighting coyotes, hunt endangered species	3	.1	.7	99.3
	Kids playing ball in campground	1	.0	.2	99.6
	View wildlife	1	.0	.2	99.8
	RV dump	1	.0	.2	100.0
	Total	460	20.6	100.0	
Missing	-99	1769	79.4		
Total		2229	100.0		

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Access, unspecified	3	.1	2.5	2.5
	Access roads	7	.3	5.9	8.4
	ATV, Motorcycle, off-road, etc access	10	.4	8.4	16.8
	Mountain biking, bicycling access	4	.2	3.4	20.2
	Backpacking	1	.0	.8	21.0
	Camping	16	.7	13.4	34.5
	Firewood cutting, harvest wood	5	.2	4.2	38.7
	Fishing	21	.9	17.6	56.3
	Foraging berries, mushrooms, etc	4	.2	3.4	59.7
	Hiking, walking	11	.5	9.2	68.9
	Horseback riding, packing	4	.2	3.4	72.3
	Hunting	12	.5	10.1	82.4
	Logging, commercial	1	.0	.8	83.2
	Primitive, backpack, dispersed camping	1	.0	.8	84.0
	Rafting, floating, boating	2	.1	1.7	85.
	Shooting	2	.1	1.7	87.4
	Snowmobile	1	.0	.8	88.
	Swimming	1	.0	.8	89.
	Visit specific area, such as an archaeological site, McDonald Pass, landmarks	1	.0	.8	89.9
	Picking up deadfall, dead and dying timber	1	.0	.8	90.8
	Grazing livestock	2	.1	1.7	92.4
	Commune with nature, escape from people	2	.1	1.7	94.
	Mining	2	.1	1.7	95.8
	Spiritual use	1	.0	.8	96.0
	Trapping	1	.0	.8	97.
	Illegal hunting activities, ex. Spotlighting coyotes, hunt endangered species	1	.0	.8	98.3
	Building a cabin	1	.0	.8	99.2
	View wildlife	1	.0	.8	100.0
	Total	119	5.3	100.0	

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Missing -99	2110	94.7		
Total	2229	100.0		

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Access roads	2	.1	4.8	4.8
	ATV, Motorcycle, off-road, etc access	7	.3	16.7	21.4
	Mountain biking, bicycling access	1	.0	2.4	23.8
	Birdwatching	1	.0	2.4	26.2
	Camping	2	.1	4.8	31.0
	Firewood cutting, harvest wood	1	.0	2.4	33.3
	Fishing	10	.4	23.8	57.1
	Foraging berries, mushrooms, etc	3	.1	7.1	64.3
	Hiking, walking	7	.3	16.7	81.0
	Hunting	5	.2	11.9	92.9
	Rafting, floating, boating	1	.0	2.4	95.2
	Snowmobile	2	.1	4.8	100.0
	Total	42	1.9	100.0	
Missing	-99	2187	98.1		
Total		2229	100.0		

Q12 Reason 1

	Q12 Reason 1						
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent		
Valid	Road, trail gated, locked, blocked, lack of or restricted access not specified, just access	91	4.1	20.0	20.0		
	Road, trail gated, locked by private land owners	12	.5	2.6	22.6		
	Activity not scheduled, not available, ex.: campfire talks and zip-lines	3	.1	.7	23.2		
	Area closed by ranchers that graze livestock on public land	3	.1	.7	23.9		
	Closed, no specifics	11	.5	2.4	26.3		
	Campground closed, Forest Service cabins closed	11	.5	2.4	28.7		
	Did not have permit, pass, lottery pass, etc	11	.5	2.4	31.1		
	Hazardous conditions other than fire, thin ice, Mother Nature real or perceived	10	.4	2.2	33.3		
	Illegal or dangerous activities by other people, drinking, crime, drugs, etc	6	.3	1.3	34.6		
	Illegal, regulations prohibit activity, area closed to specified activity, other than specified elsewhere	53	2.4	11.6	46.3		
	Lack of handicap access	8	.4	1.8	48.0		
	Lack of timber sales	1	.0	.2	48.2		
	No cell service	1	.0	.2	48.5		
-	No outhouse, or not cleaned	1	.0	.2	48.7		
	Nuisance dogs, uncontrolled dogs	1	.0	.2	48.9		
	Not enough public lands	1	.0	.2	49.1		
	Overcrowded campground, Campground full, not enough sites	8	.4	1.8	50.9		
	Overcrowding in general	17	.8	3.7	54.6		
	Personal limitations, disability, time restrictions	23	1.0	5.0	59.6		

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Poor air quality	3	.1	.7	60.3
	Poor hunting, poor fishing	1	.0	.2	60.5
	Price, can't afford, lack of money, gas	7	.3	1.5	62.1
	Too many ATVs, motorcycles, off-roaders	1	.0	.2	62.3
	Trapping, trap locations safety concerns	1	.0	.2	62.5
	Unmaintained roads, trails, deadfall across trails	24	1.1	5.3	67.8
	Water contaminated, parasites	1	.0	.2	68.0
	Wildfire closures, wildfire danger, wildfire restrictions	34	1.5	7.5	75.4
	Lack of information, locating public land, activity schedules	2	.1	.4	75.9
	Logging closure	1	.0	.2	76.1
	No facilities, other than outhouses	1	.0	.2	76.3
	Unable to escape white culture	1	.0	.2	76.5
	Closed roads or trails specific to ATV, Four- wheel, dirt bike, ORV	100	4.5	21.9	98.5
	Government shutdown	1	.0	.2	98.7
	No ranger led programs available	1	.0	.2	98.9
	Other users objected	2	.1	.4	99.3
	Overgrazing by livestock, presence of livestock	1	.0	.2	99.6
	Would damage habitat	1	.0	.2	99.8
	No reason given	1	.0	.2	100.0
	Total	456	20.5	100.0	
Missing	-99	1773	79.5		
Total		2229	100.0		

Q12 Reason 1

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Road, trail gated, locked, blocked, lack of or restricted access not specified, just access	5	.2	6.8	6.8
	Road, trail gated, locked by private land owners	1	.0	1.4	8.1
	Campground closed, Forest Service cabins closed	1	.0	1.4	9.5
	Did not have permit, pass, lottery pass, etc	3	.1	4.1	13.5
	Hazardous conditions other than fire, thin ice, Mother Nature real or perceived	1	.0	1.4	14.9
	Illegal or dangerous activities by other people, drinking, crime, drugs, etc	1	.0	1.4	16.2
	Illegal, regulations prohibit activity, area closed to specified activity, other than specified elsewhere	16	.7	21.6	37.8
	Lack of handicap access	3	.1	4.1	41.9
	Lack of timber sales	2	.1	2.7	44.6
	No outhouse, or not cleaned	2	.1	2.7	47.3
	Nuisance dogs, uncontrolled dogs	1	.0	1.4	48.6
	Overcrowded campground, Campground full, not enough sites	3	.1	4.1	52.7
	Personal limitations, disability, time restrictions	6	.3	8.1	60.8
	Poor air quality	2	.1	2.7	63.5
	Poor hunting, poor fishing	1	.0	1.4	64.9
	Too many ATVs, motorcycles, off-roaders	1	.0	1.4	66.2
	Trapping, trap locations safety concerns	1	.0	1.4	67.6
	Unmaintained roads, trails, deadfall across trails	8	.4	10.8	78.4
	Water contaminated, parasites	1	.0	1.4	79.7

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Wildfire closures, wildfire danger, wildfire restrictions	4	.2	5.4	85.1
	Lack of information, locating public land, activity schedules	2	.1	2.7	87.8
	Logging closure	1	.0	1.4	89.2
	No facilities, other than outhouses	2	.1	2.7	91.9
	Closed roads or trails specific to ATV, Four- wheel, dirt bike, ORV	6	.3	8.1	100.0
	Total	74	3.3	100.0	
Missing	-99	2155	96.7		
Total		2229	100.0		

Q12 Reason 2

Q12 Reason 3

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Campground closed, Forest Service cabins closed	1	.0	12.5	12.5
	Hazardous conditions other than fire, thin ice, Mother Nature real or perceived	1	.0	12.5	25.0
	Poor air quality	1	.0	12.5	37.5
	Price, can't afford, lack of money, gas	1	.0	12.5	50.0
	Unmaintained roads, trails, deadfall across trails	1	.0	12.5	62.5
	Closed roads or trails specific to ATV, Four- wheel, dirt bike, ORV	3	.1	37.5	100.0
	Total	8	.4	100.0	
Missing	-99	2221	99.6		
Total		2229	100.0		

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	During hunting season	1	.0	.2	.2
	Campgrounds, general	5	.2	.8	.9
	Cabins, Forest Service	1	.0	.2	1.1
Inaccessible areas due to private land ownership blocking access	1	.0	.2	1.2	
	No specific location or ambiguous directions or spelling	29	1.3	4.4	5.6
	National Parks, general or unspecified	4	.2	.6	6.2
	Public lands, unspecified	26	1.2	3.9	10.1
	Waterways, Rivers, Lakes, unspecified	7	.3	1.1	11.1
	Weekend visits, no location specified	3	.1	.5	11.6
	Non-federal land	2	.1	.3	11.9
	Absarokas	1	.0	.2	12.0
	Alberton Gorge of Clark Fork Put-in, take-out	1	.0	.2	12.2
	Bangtails	2	.1	.3	12.5
	Beartooth Wildernessor Mountains	3	.1	.5	13.0
	Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest	3	.1	.5	13.4
	Belts, unspecified Big or Little	2	.1	.3	13.7
	Big Belt Mountains	1	.0	.2	13.9
	Big Horn Mountains	3	.1	.5	14.3
	Bear Gulch	1	.0	.2	14.5
	Big Hole River, Big Hole Area	4	.2	.6	15.1
	Big Meadow Lake	2	.1	.3	15.4
	Bitterroot River	5	.2	.8	16.1
Blackfoot river Blossom Lake Blue Mountain Trail	Blackfoot river	2	.1	.3	16.4
	Blossom Lake	1	.0	.2	16.6
	Blue Mountain Trailhead	1	.0	.2	16.7
	Bob Marshall, Biggs Creek and Gates Park	1	.0	.2	16.9
	Bob Marshall Wilderness Complex, general	6	.3	.9	17.8
	Bozeman area trails	2	.1	.3	18.1

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Browning	1	.0	.2	18.2
	Buffalo Hump	2	.1	.3	18.5
	Bull River, North Fork	1	.0	.2	18.7
	Campbells Pond	1	.0	.2	18.8
	Canyon Ferry	4	.2	.6	19.4
	Coeur d'Alene River	6	.3	.9	20.3
	Cirque of the Towers	1	.0	.2	20.5
	Clearwater River	2	.1	.3	20.8
	Closer-in Mountain lakes	1	.0	.2	20.9
	Cloud Peak Wilderness Area	1	.0	.2	21.1
	Gifford Pinchot National Forest	2	.1	.3	21.4
	Columbia River	2	.1	.3	21.7
	Cooney Dam	1	.0	.2	21.8
	Council ID area	1	.0	.2	22.0
_	Corbly Gulch Trailhead	1	.0	.2	22.1
_	Craig Mountain	1	.0	.2	22.3
	Crane Mountain Bigfork MT	2	.1	.3	22.6
_	Crater Lake	1	.0	.2	22.7
_	Crazy Mountains	3	.1	.5	23.2
_	Crooked river	1	.0	.2	23.3
	Crystal Lake unspecified location	4	.2	.6	23.9
	Crystal Lake Snowy Mountains	1	.0	.2	24.1
_	Custer National Forest	3	.1	.5	24.5
_	Dailey Lake	1	.0	.2	24.7
_	Darby Canyon	1	.0	.2	24.8
_	Deadman Creek	1	.0	.2	25.0
_	Delmo Lake	1	.0	.2	25.2
_	Des Lacs lake	1	.0	.2	25.3
_	Diamond City	1	.0	.2	25.5
	Dillon MT	1	.0	.2	25.6
	Douglas Creek	1	.0	.2	25.8
	Dreary ID	1	.0	.2	25.9
	Boulder River	1	.0	.2	26.1
	Elkhorn Range	2	.1	.3	26.4

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Emery Bay	1	.0	.2	26.5
Fairy lake, Bozeman, Bridgers	1	.0	.2	26.7
Farragut State Park	1	.0	.2	26.8
Flathead NF or unspecified	4	.2	.6	27.4
Flathead Tributaries	1	.0	.2	27.6
Fort Laramie NHS	1	.0	.2	27.7
Frog Pond Basin	1	.0	.2	27.9
Gallatin Canyonor River	1	.0	.2	28.0
Gallatin County	1	.0	.2	28.2
Gallatin NF	2	.1	.3	28.5
Georgetown Lake	4	.2	.6	29.1
Giford Campground	1	.0	.2	29.2
Glacier NP	37	1.7	5.6	34.8
Glendo	1	.0	.2	34.9
Gold Bug Hot Springs	3	.1	.5	35.4
Gold Creek unspecified location	1	.0	.2	35.5
Grande Ronde River	1	.0	.2	35.7
Grand Teton National Park	1	.0	.2	35.8
Green Bay primitive campgrounds	1	.0	.2	36.0
Green Lake Red Lodge	1	.0	.2	36.1
Grouse Creek	1	.0	.2	36.3
Half Moon Park	1	.0	.2	36.4
Headquarters ID, Washington Creek	1	.0	.2	36.6
Helena National Forest	4	.2	.6	37.2
Holland Lake	1	.0	.2	37.3
Holter Lake	1	.0	.2	37.5
Homestake Lake	1	.0	.2	37.7
Horseshoe Lake	2	.1	.3	38.0
Hungry Horse Reservoir	4	.2	.6	38.6
Hunter Corrals	1	.0	.2	38.7
Hyalite unspecified	5	.2	.8	39.5
Hyalite Campground	1	.0	.2	39.6
Hyalite Canyon	2	.1	.3	39.9
Hyalite Reservoir	6	.3	.9	40.8

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Antelope Basin	1	.0	.2	41.0
Idaho, general	1	.0	.2	41.1
Idaho Lakes	1	.0	.2	41.3
Jewel Basin specifically Mt Aeneas, Birch Lake and Picnic Lakes	1	.0	.2	41.4
Badlands NP	1	.0	.2	41.6
Kettle Range	1	.0	.2	41.7
Koocanusa	4	.2	.6	42.3
Kootenai Falls	1	.0	.2	42.5
Ladder Canyon near McHessor Creek	1	.0	.2	42.6
Lake Como	26	1.2	3.9	46.5
Lake Cour dAlene	1	.0	.2	46.7
Lake Elise	2	.1	.3	47.0
Lake Mary Ronan	2	.1	.3	47.3
Lake Roosevelt	1	.0	.2	47.4
Lava lake	1	.0	.2	47.6
Leonia on Kootenai River	2	.1	.3	47.9
Little Belt Mountains	1	.0	.2	48.0
Little Salmon River	1	.0	.2	48.2
Little Sunlight	1	.0	.2	48.3
Lost Horse	1	.0	.2	48.5
Lost Johnny Campground	1	.0	.2	48.6
Lower Clark's Fork	1	.0	.2	48.8
Madison River	2	.1	.3	49.1
Meeteetse Dome	1	.0	.2	49.2
Ming Coulee at end Calver Road Stockett MT	t 1	.0	.2	49.4
Missouri River	5	.2	.8	50.2
Montana, general	2	.1	.3	50.5
Moran Basin	1	.0	.2	50.6
SW Montana established campgrounds	1	.0	.2	50.8
Murry Lake	1	.0	.2	50.9
Oro Grande river	1	.0	.2	51.1
NE Washington	1	.0	.2	51.2
Nelson Reservoir	1	.0	.2	51.4
Newsome Creek	1	.0	.2	51.5

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
NezPerce-Clearwater NF	1	.0	.2	51.7
North Fork Clearwater	6	.3	.9	52.6
North Fork Flathead River	2	.1	.3	52.9
Meadow Creek	1	.0	.2	53.0
Northern California	1	.0	.2	53.2
Northern Idaho	1	.0	.2	53.3
Old Kelly work station	1	.0	.2	53.5
Ousel Falls	1	.0	.2	53.6
Palmer lake	1	.0	.2	53.8
Palouse River	1	.0	.2	53.9
Park Reservoir	1	.0	.2	54.1
Parkside Campground Custer NF	1	.0	.2	54.2
Pattee Canyon	1	.0	.2	54.4
Payette NF, Western half	2	.1	.3	54.7
Pintler Wilderness	2	.1	.3	55.0
Pioneer Mountains	3	.1	.5	55.4
Porcupine lake	1	.0	.2	55.6
Power lake	1	.0	.2	55.7
Priest Lake	1	.0	.2	55.9
Priest Lake campgrounds	1	.0	.2	56.0
Red Lodge area	5	.2	.8	56.8
Renner Lake, Ferry County WA	1	.0	.2	56.9
Riverside State Park	1	.0	.2	57.1
Rock Creek, Missoula area	1	.0	.2	57.2
Rocky Lake Campground	1	.0	.2	57.4
Rocky Mountain Front	1	.0	.2	57.5
Ross Creek Cedars	2	.1	.3	57.8
Ross Fork	1	.0	.2	58.0
Rough Lock Falls	1	.0	.2	58.1
Salmon River, Main and Middle Fork	5	.2	.8	58.9
San Juan Islands	1	.0	.2	59.0
Seeley Lake	4	.2	.6	59.6
Selway River Area	3	.1	.5	60.1
Selway Wilderness area Idaho	1	.0	.2	60.2

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Sequoia NF	1	.0	.2	60.4
Shed Roof	1	.0	.2	60.5
Shoshone National Forest	2	.1	.3	60.8
Shoshone River, North Fork	4	.2	.6	61.4
Sibley Lake Sheridan Mountain	1	.0	.2	61.6
Sierra Crest Trail	1	.0	.2	61.7
Skalkaho pass	1	.0	.2	61.9
Smith River	6	.3	.9	62.8
Snowbank Campground Mill Creek	1	.0	.2	63.0
Sourdough Canyon, Bozeman	1	.0	.2	63.1
South Fork, unspecified	1	.0	.2	63.3
Southern Bitterroot	1	.0	.2	63.4
Southwest Montana rivers	2	.1	.3	63.7
Spokane River pullout	1	.0	.2	63.9
St Joe Forest Unit, River	5	.2	.8	64.6
State land outside Colstrip down mine road	1	.0	.2	64.8
Stevensville Fishing Access Bitterroot River	1	.0	.2	64.9
Stillwater County and surrounding area	1	.0	.2	65.1
Stillwater River	2	.1	.3	65.4
Stuart Lake	1	.0	.2	65.5
Sullivan Lake	1	.0	.2	65.7
Swede Mountain Area	1	.0	.2	65.8
Swimming Woman Snowy Mountains	1	.0	.2	66.0
Ten Lakes	2	.1	.3	66.3
Teton Canyon, Pass	3	.1	.5	66.7
Tewaukon	1	.0	.2	66.9
The M Bozeman	1	.0	.2	67.0
Theodore Roosevelt ND	2	.1	.3	67.3
Thompson Chain of Lakes	2	.1	.3	67.6
Tizer Lake	1	.0	.2	67.8
Tongue River, Reservoir	5	.2	.8	68.5

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Twin Creeks Campground	1	.0	.2	68.7
Upper Payette Lake	1	.0	.2	68.8
Black Hills NF	2	.1	.3	69.1
Virginia City	1	.0	.2	69.3
Washington, general	1	.0	.2	69.4
Washington State Land	1	.0	.2	69.6
Weir Hot Springs	1	.0	.2	69.7
West Fork Bitterroot	4	.2	.6	70.3
Bass Creek	1	.0	.2	70.5
Yellowstone NP	60	2.7	9.0	79.5
Yellowstone River	3	.1	.5	80.0
Yosemite NP	2	.1	.3	80.3
Bear Paw Mountains	1	.0	.2	80.4
Benbow	2	.1	.3	80.7
Lolo NF	2	.1	.3	81.0
Bitterroot Selway NF	9	.4	1.4	82.4
Black Lake	1	.0	.2	82.5
Beck lake area	1	.0	.2	82.7
Blodgett	3	.1	.5	83.1
Bowman Lake	1	.0	.2	83.3
Bumble Bee	1	.0	.2	83.4
Burgdorf Creek	1	.0	.2	83.6
Kootenai NFor Yaakor Cabinets	11	.5	1.7	85.2
Cave mountain campground	1	.0	.2	85.4
Chain of lakes	1	.0	.2	85.5
Chief Joseph	1	.0	.2	85.7
Clark Fork	1	.0	.2	85.8
Clark's Fork	1	.0	.2	86.0
CMR Charles M Russell NWR	2	.1	.3	86.3
Confederate bay	1	.0	.2	86.4
Crow Peak	1	.0	.2	86.6
Dead Swede	1	.0	.2	86.7
Deer Creek	1	.0	.2	86.9
Devil's bathtub	1	.0	.2	87.0
Dickey Lake	1	.0	.2	87.2

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Dry Wolf Creek	1	.0	.2	87.3
Duck Creek Fishing Access	6 1	.0	.2	87.5
East Fork Reservoir	1	.0	.2	87.7
Echo lake	1	.0	.2	87.8
Elk Fork Campground	1	.0	.2	88.0
Fish Creek Campground	1	.0	.2	88.1
Fish trap	1	.0	.2	88.3
Fort Peck	1	.0	.2	88.4
Four Corners	1	.0	.2	88.6
Freezeout Lake WMA	1	.0	.2	88.7
Garrison Dam	1	.0	.2	88.9
Grahams Island	1	.0	.2	89.0
Grand Canyon	1	.0	.2	89.2
Grand Teton	1	.0	.2	89.3
Grant Pass	1	.0	.2	89.5
Grasslands unspecified	1	.0	.2	89.6
Great Burn area	2	.1	.3	89.9
Hansen Dam	1	.0	.2	90.1
Heart Butte	1	.0	.2	90.2
Missouri Breaks	1	.0	.2	90.4
Hells canyon Highland Mountains	1	.0	.2	90.5
Whitecloud Wilderness	1	.0	.2	90.7
Imnaha River	1	.0	.2	90.8
Iron Lake	1	.0	.2	91.0
Island Park	1	.0	.2	91.1
James Kipp	1	.0	.2	91.3
Keyhole Reservoir	1	.0	.2	91.4
Kilbrennan	1	.0	.2	91.6
Lake Metegoshe	1	.0	.2	91.7
lake Sacagawea	1	.0	.2	91.9
Lemhi County federal lands	³ 1	.0	.2	92.0
Little Missouri Grasslands	1	.0	.2	92.2
Little Sleeping Child	1	.0	.2	92.3
Lochsa River	2	.1	.3	92.6
Loop Creek	1	.0	.2	92.8

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
McCullough Peaks	1	.0	.2	92.9
Salmon Challis NF	3	.1	.5	93.4
Medicine Wheel	1	.0	.2	93.5
Medora	1	.0	.2	93.7
Minneopa Lake	1	.0	.2	93.8
Mission Mountains	1	.0	.2	94.0
Mount Rushmore	2	.1	.3	94.3
Murphy lake	2	.1	.3	94.6
McGregor Lake campground	1	.0	.2	94.7
Big Horns	1	.0	.2	94.9
Pablo Reservoir	1	.0	.2	95.0
Panther Creek Idaho	1	.0	.2	95.2
Red Fish lake Idaho	2	.1	.3	95.5
River of No Return Wilderness	1	.0	.2	95.6
Russian River	1	.0	.2	95.8
Sandy lake	1	.0	.2	95.9
Savage	1	.0	.2	96.1
Shadehill	1	.0	.2	96.2
Silver Lake Saltese	1	.0	.2	96.4
Smith Lake	1	.0	.2	96.5
Snake River	1	.0	.2	96.7
Judith river	1	.0	.2	96.8
Spearfish canyon	1	.0	.2	97.0
Spotted Eagle Miles city	1	.0	.2	97.1
Strawberry Lake	1	.0	.2	97.3
Sully's hill Fort Totten	1	.0	.2	97.4
Lewis and Clark NF	1	.0	.2	97.6
Swan Valley	1	.0	.2	97.7
Sweetwater	1	.0	.2	97.9
Thompson River	1	.0	.2	98.0
Tin Cup Road	1	.0	.2	98.2
Tom Miner Trailhead	1	.0	.2	98.3
Tucannon River	1	.0	.2	98.5
Vermillion	1	.0	.2	98.6
Wallace lake	2	.1	.3	98.9
Williams Lake	1	.0	.2	99.1

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Warm Springs Campground	1	.0	.2	99.2
	Woodhawk	1	.0	.2	99.4
	Yellowtail	2	.1	.3	99.7
	Lake Audubon	1	.0	.2	99.8
	Lake Ashtabula	1	.0	.2	100.0
	Total	664	29.8	100.0	
Missing	-99	1565	70.2		
Total		2229	100.0		

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	ATVs, motorcycles, off- roaders, too many or off designated roads, etc	17	.8	2.6	2.6
	Bears, too many bears, presence of bears	8	.4	1.2	3.8
	Campground closed	9	.4	1.4	5.1
	Campsites filled with long term campers or non- present campers	3	.1	.5	5.6
	Commercial huckleberry pickers dangerous	1	.0	.2	5.7
	Commercial outfitter conflicts, too many outfitters boating, hunting, etc	10	.4	1.5	7.:
	Conflict with other users, other than specified in other response options	2	.1	.3	7.
	Dead trees	2	.1	.3	7.8
	Dogs not allowed in area or off leash	1	.0	.2	8.0
	Encroachment of developments on forest	1	.0	.2	8.
	Fees required, unable or unwilling to pay cost, admission should be free	11	.5	1.7	9.8
	Fishing poor, no fish	17	.8	2.6	12.3

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
General disruptive, disrespectful of land or other people, inconsiderate people, unsafe people	11	.5	1.7	14.0
Horse corrals unsafe	1	.0	.2	14.2
Lack of ungulates, Hunting poor, no elk or deer or moose	11	.5	1.7	15.8
Illegal activities such as theft, drinking or driving, crime, drugs, marijuana growers, etc	10	.4	1.5	17.3
Inaccessible for disabilities, Lack of handicap access	6	.3	.9	18.2
Indian bias	1	.0	.2	18.4
Littering, pollution specific water pollution listed as 47	3	.1	.5	18.8
Lack of information, locating public land	2	.1	.3	19.1
Lake disappears, pond drained, lake destroyed	3	.1	.5	19.6
Logging closure, logging debris, conflict with Logging, mining	7	.3	1.1	20.6
No outhouse, or not cleaned	1	.0	.2	20.8
Noise	1	.0	.2	20.9
Oil industry traffic, flares	1	.0	.2	21.1
Out of state or country users, too many, too many non-locals	11	.5	1.7	22.7
Overcrowded campground, Campground full, not enough sites	29	1.3	4.4	27.1
Overcrowding in general	266	11.9	40.1	67.2
Permit, pass, lottery pass, reservations, lease needed and not obtained	15	.7	2.3	69.4
Personal limitations, disability, time restrictions, age, no longer live near area	37	1.7	5.6	75.0

	Q13 Place 1 reason 1					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	
	rate land owner conflict, ess denied	9	.4	1.4	76.4	
frie	lic employees not ndly, conflict with ployees	2	.1	.3	76.7	
	lic employees, lack of, d more	2	.1	.3	77.0	
too	gulations too restrictive, many regulations, too ch FS patrol	9	.4	1.4	78.3	
bloo acc priv	ads closed, locked, cked, lack of or restricted ess, or access issue ate land owner conflict r access	60	2.7	9.0	87.3	
Roa	ads not maintained	14	.6	2.1	89.5	
	ooting, too much, safety cern	3	.1	.5	89.9	
Swi	mming area too small	1	.0	.2	90.1	
	ils not maintained, dfall across trails	5	.2	.8	90.8	
Tra	ils, not enough	1	.0	.2	91.0	
	pping, trap locations ety concerns	2	.1	.3	91.3	
	ter weeds, algae, water ution, poisoned lake	6	.3	.9	92.2	
	tercraft restrictions, ored not allowed	1	.0	.2	92.3	
We	eds	1	.0	.2	92.5	
	dfire closures, wildfire ger, smoke concerns	4	.2	.6	93.1	
Wile	dfire damage	4	.2	.6	93.7	
Wile	dlife closures	2	.1	.3	94.0	
	lves, too many, sence of wolves	5	.2	.8	94.7	
unc crov	specified reason, lassifiable usually wding is implied by way stion is written	21	.9	3.2	97.9	
Lan	d transfer tribal	1	.0	.2	98.0	
Spe	ecific hunter conflict	5	.2	.8	98.8	

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Motorized watercraft interferes with other uses	4	.2	.6	99.4
	Campsite not near water	1	.0	.2	99.5
	Closure, unknown if road, camp, or other type	1	.0	.2	99.7
	Too much commercialization	1	.0	.2	99.8
	Too many roads	1	.0	.2	100.0
	Total	664	29.8	100.0	
Missing	-99	1565	70.2		
Total		2229	100.0		

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	ATVs, motorcycles, off- roaders, too many or off designated roads, etc	5	.2	3.3	3.3
	Bears, too many bears, presence of bears	1	.0	.7	4.0
	Campground closed	1	.0	.7	4.7
	Campsites filled with long term campers or non- present campers	1	.0	.7	5.3
	Commercial outfitter conflicts, too many outfitters boating, hunting, etc	3	.1	2.0	7.3
	Conflict with other users, other than specified in other response options	5	.2	3.3	10.7
	Dogs not allowed in area or off leash	1	.0	.7	11.3
	Dogs: Nuisance dogs, uncontrolled dogs	4	.2	2.7	14.0
	Fees required, unable or unwilling to pay cost, admission should be free	7	.3	4.7	18.7
	Fishing poor, no fish	5	.2	3.3	22.0
	General disruptive, disrespectful of land or other people, inconsiderate people, unsafe people	12	.5	8.0	30.0

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Horses, too many	1	.0	.7	30.7
Lack of ungulates, Hunting poor, no elk or deer or moose	7	.3	4.7	35.3
Illegal activities such as theft, drinking or driving, crime, drugs, marijuana growers, etc	7	.3	4.7	40.0
Inaccessible for disabilities, Lack of handicap access	1	.0	.7	40.7
Littering, pollution specific water pollution listed as 47	6	.3	4.0	44.7
Livestock on public land	2	.1	1.3	46.0
Logging closure, logging debris, conflict with Logging, mining	2	.1	1.3	47.3
No outhouse, or not cleaned	1	.0	.7	48.0
Noise	7	.3	4.7	52.7
Out of state or country users, too many, too many non-locals	6	.3	4.0	56.7
Overcrowded campground, Campground full, not enough sites	7	.3	4.7	61.3
	40		07	70.0

30.7 35.3

40.0

growers, etc				
Inaccessible for disabilities, Lack of handicap access	1	.0	.7	40.7
Littering, pollution specific water pollution listed as 47	6	.3	4.0	44.7
Livestock on public land	2	.1	1.3	46.0
Logging closure, logging debris, conflict with Logging, mining	2	.1	1.3	47.3
No outhouse, or not cleaned	1	.0	.7	48.0
Noise	7	.3	4.7	52.
Out of state or country users, too many, too many non-locals	6	.3	4.0	56.
Overcrowded campground, Campground full, not enough sites	7	.3	4.7	61.3
Overcrowding in general	13	.6	8.7	70.0
Permit, pass, lottery pass, reservations, lease needed and not obtained	9	.4	6.0	76.0
Personal limitations, disability, time restrictions, age, no longer live near area	1	.0	.7	76.
Private land owner conflict, access denied	4	.2	2.7	79.3
Public employees not friendly, conflict with employees	1	.0	.7	80.0
Regulations too restrictive, too many regulations, too much FS patrol	2	.1	1.3	81.3

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Roads closed, locked, blocked, lack of or restricted access, or access issue private land owner conflict over access	7	.3	4.7	86.0
	Roads not maintained	2	.1	1.3	87.3
	Shooting not allowed	1	.0	.7	88.0
	Trails not maintained, deadfall across trails	1	.0	.7	88.7
	Water weeds, algae, water pollution, poisoned lake	1	.0	.7	89.3
	Wildfire closures, wildfire danger, smoke concerns	1	.0	.7	90.0
	Wildfire damage	1	.0	.7	90.7
	Wildlife absent NON- UNGULATE OR UNSPECIFIED , no longer there	1	.0	.7	91.3
	Wolves, too many, presence of wolves	8	.4	5.3	96.7
	Specific hunter conflict	1	.0	.7	97.3
	Motorized watercraft interferes with other uses	3	.1	2.0	99.3
	Campsite not near water	1	.0	.7	100.0
	Total	150	6.7	100.0	
Missing	-99	2079	93.3		
Total		2229	100.0		

	Q13 Place 1 reason 3						
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent		
Valid	ATVs, motorcycles, off- roaders, too many or off designated roads, etc	2	.1	6.5	6.5		
	Campground closed	1	.0	3.2	9.7		
	Conflict with other users, other than specified in other response options	1	.0	3.2	12.9		
	Encroachment of developments on forest	1	.0	3.2	16.1		
	Fishing poor, no fish	2	.1	6.5	22.6		
	General disruptive, disrespectful of land or other people, inconsiderate people, unsafe people	3	.1	9.7	32.3		
	Illegal activities such as theft, drinking or driving, crime, drugs, marijuana growers, etc	1	.0	3.2	35.5		
	Littering, pollution specific water pollution listed as 47	5	.2	16.1	51.6		
	Noise	2	.1	6.5	58.1		
	Out of state or country users, too many, too many non-locals	2	.1	6.5	64.5		
	Overcrowded campground, Campground full, not enough sites	3	.1	9.7	74.2		
	Overcrowding in general	2	.1	6.5	80.6		
	Roads not maintained	3	.1	9.7	90.3		
	Shooting, too much, safety concern	1	.0	3.2	93.5		
	Wolves, too many, presence of wolves	1	.0	3.2	96.8		
	Specific hunter conflict	1	.0	3.2	100.0		
	Total	31	1.4	100.0			
Missing	-99	2198	98.6				
Total		2229	100.0				

		Q13 Place	, L		
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	During hunting season	1	.0	.9	.(
	Campgrounds, general	1	.0	.9	1.8
	No specific location or ambiguous directions or spelling	1	.0	.9	2.7
	National Parks, general or unspecified	1	.0	.9	3.5
	Non-federal land	1	.0	.9	4.4
	Asotin shooting range	1	.0	.9	5.3
	Beartooth Wildernessor Mountains	1	.0	.9	6.2
	Beaver Creek	2	.1	1.8	8.
	Belts, unspecified Big or Little	1	.0	.9	8.
	Big Hole River, Big Hole Area	3	.1	2.7	11.
	Bitterroot River	3	.1	2.7	14.
	Bridger	1	.0	.9	15.
	Bull River, North Fork	1	.0	.9	15.
	Garnet	1	.0	.9	16.
	Clearwater River	1	.0	.9	17.
	Clover Leaf Campground	1	.0	.9	18.
	Cooney Dam	1	.0	.9	19.
	Cottonwood Trailhead	1	.0	.9	20.
	Crazy Mountains	1	.0	.9	21.
	Crooked river	1	.0	.9	22.
	Crystal Falls	1	.0	.9	23.
	Crystal Lake unspecified location	1	.0	.9	23.
	Cut Bank	1	.0	.9	24.
Boul Fain Bride Ferr Flatt	Delmo Lake	1	.0	.9	25.
	Boulder River	1	.0	.9	26.
	Fairy lake, Bozeman, Bridgers	1	.0	.9	27.
	Fernan lake	1	.0	.9	28.
	Flathead Lake	2	.1	1.8	30.
	Gallatin Canyonor River	2	.1	1.8	31.
	Georgetown Lake	2	.1	1.8	33.
	Glacier NP	9	.4	8.0	41.
	Gold Creek near Missoula	1	.0	.9	42.

	Q13 Place			
	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Grand Teton National Park	1	.0	.9	43.4
Holter Lake	1	.0	.9	44.2
Hungry Horse Reservoir	1	.0	.9	45.1
Hyalite unspecified	1	.0	.9	46.0
Hyalite Canyon	1	.0	.9	46.9
Irish Mine Hill	1	.0	.9	47.8
Judith River South & Middle Forks	1	.0	.9	48.7
Lake Como	3	.1	2.7	51.3
Lake Cour dAlene	1	.0	.9	52.2
Larry Creek	1	.0	.9	53.1
Lincoln area	1	.0	.9	54.0
Little Belt Mountains	1	.0	.9	54.9
Madison River	1	.0	.9	55.8
May Creek Campground MT	1	.0	.9	56.6
Middle Fork Flathead	1	.0	.9	57.5
Mill Creek	3	.1	2.7	60.2
Missouri River	1	.0	.9	61.1
North Fork Clearwater	1	.0	.9	61.9
North Fork Flathead River	1	.0	.9	62.8
Meadow Creek	1	.0	.9	63.7
Payette NF, Western half	1	.0	.9	64.6
Pipe Creek Ridge	1	.0	.9	65.5
Pioneer Mountains	1	.0	.9	66.4
Roaring Lion and other burned areas	1	.0	.9	67.3
Salmon River, Main and Middle Fork	3	.1	2.7	69.9
Scotts Bluff NM	1	.0	.9	70.8
Seeley Lake	1	.0	.9	71.7
Sequoia NF	1	.0	.9	72.6
Sherman Pass	1	.0	.9	73.5
Shoshone River, North Fork	1	.0	.9	74.3
South Fork Flathead	1	.0	.9	75.2
St Joe Forest Unit, River	1	.0	.9	76.1
Stillwater State Forest	1	.0	.9	77.0
Sullivan Lake	1	.0	.9	77.9

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Targhee National Forest	1	.0	.9	78.8
	Thompson Chain of Lakes	1	.0	.9	79.6
	Toboggan Hill Ridge	1	.0	.9	80.5
	Waha Mountain	1	.0	.9	81.4
	Woodruff Park	1	.0	.9	82.3
	Yellowstone NP	5	.2	4.4	86.7
	Bitterroot Selway NF	2	.1	1.8	88.5
	Burnt Knowor Macgruder	1	.0	.9	89.4
	Tie Flume	1	.0	.9	90.3
	Grand Teton	1	.0	.9	91.2
	Owen Creek	1	.0	.9	92.0
	Missouri Breaks	1	.0	.9	92.9
	Iron Lake	2	.1	1.8	94.7
	Lochsa River	1	.0	.9	95.6
	Salmon Challis NF	1	.0	.9	96.5
	Strawberry hills Miles city	1	.0	.9	97.3
	Basin Lake	1	.0	.9	98.2
	Lost Creek	1	.0	.9	99.1
	Rainy Creek MT	1	.0	.9	100.0
	Total	113	5.1	100.0	
Missing	-99	2116	94.9		
Total		2229	100.0		

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	ATVs, motorcycles, off- roaders, too many or off designated roads, etc	3	.1	2.8	2.8
	Bears, too many bears, presence of bears	2	.1	1.8	4.6
	Commercial outfitter conflicts, too many outfitters boating, hunting, etc	3	.1	2.8	7.3
	Conflict with other users, other than specified in other response options	2	.1	1.8	9.2
	Encroachment of developments on forest	2	.1	1.8	11.0
	Fees required, unable or unwilling to pay cost, admission should be free	2	.1	1.8	12.8
	Fishing poor, no fish	2	.1	1.8	14.7
	Horses, too many	1	.0	.9	15.6
	Lack of ungulates, Hunting poor, no elk or deer or moose	1	.0	.9	16.5
	Inaccessible for disabilities, Lack of handicap access	1	.0	.9	17.4
	Littering, pollution specific water pollution listed as 47	2	.1	1.8	19.3
	Out of state or country users, too many, too many non-locals	2	.1	1.8	21.1
	Overcrowded campground, Campground full, not enough sites	6	.3	5.5	26.6
	Overcrowding in general	45	2.0	41.3	67.9
	Permit, pass, lottery pass, reservations, lease needed and not obtained	2	.1	1.8	69.7
	Personal limitations, disability, time restrictions, age, no longer live near area	3	.1	2.8	72.5
	Public employees not friendly, conflict with employees	1	.0	.9	73.4

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Public employees, lack of, need more	1	.0	.9	74.3
	Regulations too restrictive, too many regulations, too much FS patrol	2	.1	1.8	76.1
	Roads closed, locked, blocked, lack of or restricted access, or access issue private land owner conflict over access	11	.5	10.1	86.2
	Roads not maintained	4	.2	3.7	89.9
	Trails not maintained, deadfall across trails	1	.0	.9	90.8
	Wildfire closures, wildfire danger, smoke concerns	2	.1	1.8	92.7
	Wildfire damage	1	.0	.9	93.6
	Unspecified reason, unclassifiable usually crowding is implied by way question is written	6	.3	5.5	99.1
	Motorized watercraft interferes with other uses	1	.0	.9	100.0
	Total	109	4.9	100.0	
Missing	-99	2120	95.1		
Total		2229	100.0		

	Q13 Place 2 reason 2						
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent		
Valid	ATVs, motorcycles, off- roaders, too many or off designated roads, etc	2	.1	7.7	7.7		
	Campsites filled with long term campers or non- present campers	1	.0	3.8	11.5		
	Commercial outfitter conflicts, too many outfitters boating, hunting, etc	1	.0	3.8	15.4		
	Conflict with other users, other than specified in other response options	1	.0	3.8	19.2		
	Fees required, unable or unwilling to pay cost, admission should be free	2	.1	7.7	26.9		
	General disruptive, disrespectful of land or other people, inconsiderate people, unsafe people	2	.1	7.7	34.6		
	Illegal activities such as theft, drinking or driving, crime, drugs, marijuana growers, etc	1	.0	3.8	38.5		
	Inaccessible for disabilities, Lack of handicap access	1	.0	3.8	42.3		
	Littering, pollution specific water pollution listed as 47	1	.0	3.8	46.2		
	Noise	1	.0	3.8	50.0		
	Out of state or country users, too many, too many non-locals	1	.0	3.8	53.8		
	Overcrowding in general	4	.2	15.4	69.2		
	Private land owner conflict, access denied	1	.0	3.8	73.1		
	Regulations too restrictive, too many regulations, too much FS patrol	1	.0	3.8	76.9		
	Shooting not allowed	1	.0	3.8	80.8		
	Trails not maintained, deadfall across trails	1	.0	3.8	84.6		
	Water weeds, algae, water pollution, poisoned lake	1	.0	3.8	88.5		

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Wildfire closures, wildfire danger, smoke concerns	1	.0	3.8	92.3
	Wildlife absent NON- UNGULATE OR UNSPECIFIED , no longer there	1	.0	3.8	96.2
	Specific hunter conflict	1	.0	3.8	100.0
	Total	26	1.2	100.0	
Missing	-99	2203	98.8		
Total		2229	100.0		

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	ATVs, motorcycles, off- roaders, too many or off designated roads, etc	1	.0	16.7	16.7
	Fishing poor, no fish	1	.0	16.7	33.3
	Littering, pollution specific water pollution listed as 47	1	.0	16.7	50.0
	Noise	1	.0	16.7	66.7
	Wildfire damage	1	.0	16.7	83.3
	Specific hunter conflict	1	.0	16.7	100.0
	Total	6	.3	100.0	
Missing	-99	2223	99.7		
Total		2229	100.0		

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Absarokas	1	.0	6.3	6.3
	Bull Creek area	1	.0	6.3	12.5
	Kings Canyon	1	.0	6.3	18.8
	Lee Metcalf NWR	1	.0	6.3	25.0
	Madison River	1	.0	6.3	31.3
	McCall area	1	.0	6.3	37.5
	Meeteetse Dome	1	.0	6.3	43.8
	Missouri River	1	.0	6.3	50.0
	Seeley Lake	1	.0	6.3	56.3
	Twin Creeks Campground	1	.0	6.3	62.5
	Upper Camp Creek	1	.0	6.3	68.8
	Yellowstone NP	1	.0	6.3	75.0
	Trails Center Casper WY	1	.0	6.3	81.3
	Blodgett	1	.0	6.3	87.5
	Overwhich Trail	1	.0	6.3	93.8
	Williams Lake	1	.0	6.3	100.0
	Total	16	.7	100.0	
Missing	-99	2213	99.3		
Total		2229	100.0		

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Commercial outfitter conflicts, too many outfitters boating, hunting, etc	1	.0	6.3	6.3
	Overcrowding in general	4	.2	25.0	31.3
	Permit, pass, lottery pass, reservations, lease needed and not obtained	1	.0	6.3	37.5
	Personal limitations, disability, time restrictions, age, no longer live near area	1	.0	6.3	43.8
	Public employees not friendly, conflict with employees	1	.0	6.3	50.0
	Roads closed, locked, blocked, lack of or restricted access, or access issue private land owner conflict over access	4	.2	25.0	75.0
	Shooting, too much, safety concern	1	.0	6.3	81.3
	Trails, not enough	1	.0	6.3	87.5
	Unspecified reason, unclassifiable usually crowding is implied by way question is written	1	.0	6.3	93.8
	Motorized watercraft interferes with other uses	1	.0	6.3	100.0
	Total	16	.7	100.0	
Missing	-99	2213	99.3		
Total		2229	100.0		

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	General disruptive, disrespectful of land or other people, inconsiderate people, unsafe people	1	.0	50.0	50.0
	Out of state or country users, too many, too many non-locals	1	.0	50.0	100.0
	Total	2	.1	100.0	
Missing	-99	2227	99.9		
Total		2229	100.0		

Missing -99 2229 100.0

		Q17 Issue	e 1		
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Access in general, unspecified or unclassifiable	12	.5	2.3	2.3
	Too many roads or trails closed, open roads	32	1.4	6.1	8.4
	Access for ATV and motorcycle, etc	7	.3	1.3	9.8
	Cost; All land should be open to public free of cost Cost too high	9	.4	1.7	11.5
	Disabled and elderly access on closed roads and trails	7	.3	1.3	12.9
	Hunter access to retrieve big game animals on roads that have been closed after a certain time, afternoon	1	.0	.2	13.1
	Hunting access, general	2	.1	.4	13.4
	Limit Access to Wilderness areas, or some roads required but limit, not 3 or more roads on each hillside	1	.0	.2	13.6
	Mountain bikes should be allowed in wilderness	1	.0	.2	13.8

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Mountain bikes should not be in wilderness	1	.0	.2	14.0
Negative comment about people that promote protection and limited access	1	.0	.2	14.2
Private landowners blocking access points	17	.8	3.3	17.5
Roads for fighting fires	1	.0	.2	17.7
Roads for miners and loggers	1	.0	.2	17.9
Rock hounding access not allowed	1	.0	.2	18.0
Wilderness access increase, unspecified type	1	.0	.2	18.2
Not enough roads closed	3	.1	.6	18.8
Maintain roads	6	.3	1.2	20.0
Permit etc system complaint	5	.2	1.0	20.9
ATV, etc, too many, in restricted areas	14	.6	2.7	23.6
Camping limits, abuse of; people camping in one place too long Homeless camps and associated debris	3	.1	.6	24.2
Camping spots should be first come first serve	1	.0	.2	24.4
Commercial huckleberry picking camps and non- local commercial pickers, destructive pickers	3	.1	.6	25.0
Communication needed between multiple use groups Conflict resolution	1	.0	.2	25.1
Enforcement, more law enforcement rangers, stricter fines and punishment, not enough enforcement	6	.3	1.2	26.3
Firewood cut by people without permits or paying tax	1	.0	.2	26.5
Fireworks	1	.0	.2	26.7
Heli-skiing should be banned	1	.0	.2	26.9

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
International visitors do not pay any taxes to support these lands	1	.0	.2	27.1
Littering, trash, drug paraphernalia, and dog feces, not safe, fine should be really harsh, promote stewardship	14	.6	2.7	29.8
Mountain bikers vs hikers and horses	1	.0	.2	29.9
Multiple use, unspecified	2	.1	.4	30.3
No-wake waterways to support canoeing and kayaking, safe swimming and kayaking areas, fishing	2	.1	.4	30.7
Outfitter vs non-outfitter	2	.1	.4	31.1
Out of state recreationists vs local	3	.1	.6	31.7
Over-hunting and fishing	1	.0	.2	31.9
Overcrowding	8	.4	1.5	33.4
Poaching	4	.2	.8	34.2
Shooting, dangers of	1	.0	.2	34.4
Mentality that public land is "mine" rather than "ours"	1	.0	.2	34.5
Limit pack animals in wilderness	1	.0	.2	34.7
Vandalism	2	.1	.4	35.1
Hiker vs horse	1	.0	.2	35.3
Cattle grazing conflicts with other uses	1	.0	.2	35.5
No, or less, grazing should be allowed	5	.2	1.0	36.5
Not enough grazing with livestock	6	.3	1.2	37.6
Hog farm waste polluting area	1	.0	.2	37.8
Overgrazing	2	.1	.4	38.2
Jobs benefit for area	2	.1	.4	38.6
Extraction industry has too much control over our nations public lands	1	.0	.2	38.8

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
No mining and other extractive enterprises on or near federal lands, limit to private land	4	.2	.8	39.5
No natural resource extraction in areas popular for recreation	1	.0	.2	39.7
No mining near the headwaters of any of our rivers Water quality is important	1	.0	.2	39.9
Private individuals and or or corporations should not benefit financially from natural resources	1	.0	.2	40.1
Regulate more, oil industry needs more control, oil spills and gas flares all of western North Dakota,	1	.0	.2	40.3
More trails	2	.1	.4	40.7
Trail maintenance needed	6	.3	1.2	41.8
Wilderness Study Areas, Blue Joint or Sapphire areas, should be kept intact	1	.0	.2	42.0
I fear we will sacrifice our natural wilderness areas to encroachment of development	1	.0	.2	42.2
Too many wilderness or wilderness study areas	4	.2	.8	43.0
Endangered or threatened species, more attention given to: grizzly bears, wolverines, lynx, etc	1	.0	.2	43.2
Dead fish at poisoned lakes, weed poison drift due to application in windy conditions, GMO spread	1	.0	.2	43.4
Forget about the Sage Grouse	1	.0	.2	43.6
Game cameras not necessary at all and totally invasive to ones experience in the wild	1	.0	.2	43.8

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Increasing salmon and steelhead returns to pre- 1960s levels	1	.0	.2	44.0
More places to fish without catch and release	1	.0	.2	44.1
Too many large carnivores	9	.4	1.7	45.9
Too many prairie dogs	1	.0	.2	46.1
Tourists crowding wild animals in GNP, YNP	1	.0	.2	46.3
Trapping, stop on federal lands	1	.0	.2	46.4
Not enough feed or habitat for wildlife	1	.0	.2	46.6
Herbivore overpopulation horses	1	.0	.2	46.8
People come first	1	.0	.2	47.0
Protect non-harmful animals	1	.0	.2	47.2
Air-born fire protection, lack of planes	1	.0	.2	47.4
Attempt to trade pristine timber lands to a timber company for logged off lands was reprehensible	1	.0	.2	47.6
Better management practices	18	.8	3.5	51.1
Burned areas, allow logging of, salvage	4	.2	.8	51.8
Clean up logging slash, chip if they have to, clean up trash when they are done	1	.0	.2	52.0
Controlled burns should not be done during hunting seasons	1	.0	.2	52.2
Dead and dying timber, removal	8	.4	1.5	53.7
Encroachment, those people should be paying more for fire suppression	1	.0	.2	53.9
Fire Suppression doesnt happen fast enough, put out immediately, less or no let it burn	22	1.0	4.2	58.2

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Forest Service should put up their own timber sales; get rid of good neighbor policy when state tries to do it	1	.0	.2	58.3
Funding through timber sales	1	.0	.2	58.5
Global warming or climate change exists, proliferation of diseased forests	3	.1	.6	59.1
Lawsuit decrease, too many Less litigation	11	.5	2.1	61.2
Local economic benefit	1	.0	.2	61.4
Mitigation as an excuse to increase logging and clear cutting on public lands again	3	.1	.6	62.0
Mitigation and prevention, not enough	13	.6	2.5	64.5
Prescribed burning, not enough, use fire as tool	1	.0	.2	64.7
Let fires burn, if not threatening structures	1	.0	.2	64.9
Remove or log Beetle kill, control pine beetle	13	.6	2.5	67.4
Thinning, selective harvest, not enough	6	.3	1.2	68.5
Timber companies, nearby municipalities have too much influence on what goes on in federal public land	1	.0	.2	68.7
Timber harvest and sales in general need to increase, not enough	29	1.3	5.6	74.3
Toxic air, smoke from fires and prescribed burns	5	.2	1.0	75.2
General concern about fires	3	.1	.6	75.8
Concern over human causes of fire, campfires	1	.0	.2	76.0
Use science	2	.1	.4	76.4
Harvest sustainably	1	.0	.2	76.6
No logging	1	.0	.2	76.8

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	petitive pricing of er sales	1	.0	.2	77.0
	ighting equipment lability	1	.0	.2	77.2
	g manufacturing or ving on federal lands	1	.0	.2	77.4
resp	y Corp will not allow onsible, well managed, elopment along river	1	.0	.2	77.5
jobs	servation, we can have and still keep forests ur children	1	.0	.2	77.7
	trol, Federal lands Ild be put into private or	2	.1	.4	78.1
shou state	trol, federal lands uld not be turned over to e or private agement	6	.3	1.2	79.3
Con or lo	trol, give land to State cal	8	.4	1.5	80.8
their men com	ent administration and rape and pillage tality Negative ment about current inistration	4	.2	.8	81.6
Cut	corruption and waste	2	.1	.4	82.0
	t control on heavily I roads	1	.0	.2	82.1
impo	eral lands are an ortant part of the ire, leaves a legacy	1	.0	.2	82.3
taxp fund	s not Wyoming ayers should provide ing for kids of federal sers residing in the park	1	.0	.2	82.5
Floo	ding	1	.0	.2	82.7
need	ding, Federal budget ds to treat firefighting s separately from FS	1	.0	.2	82.9
	ding, seriously erfunded; need bigger gets	4	.2	.8	83.7

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Harassed by Forest Services officials who think the USFS public land belongs to them and not the general public	2	.1	.4	84.1
Hire local, more seasonal jobs made available, need more employees	4	.2	.8	84.8
Hunting, having to travel to clubhouse area at Moose Lake to sign up for hunting on a particular day	1	.0	.2	85.0
Indian treaty rights are 160 years old and should not be allowed on Federal land in this day and age	1	.0	.2	85.2
Communication in planning, and Information hard to find information about public lands	15	.7	2.9	88.1
Interference by politicians and relatively new landowners in local Federal employees attempts to do their jobs	1	.0	.2	88.3
Invasive species control	1	.0	.2	88.5
Problems of managing vast amount of land that is under the management of the Federal Government	1	.0	.2	88.7
Microburst a few years ago, 15-20 miles out on I-20, could there have been arrangements for prevention	1	.0	.2	88.9
More local public lands	1	.0	.2	89.1
No smelter in Newport WA	1	.0	.2	89.3
Noxious weeds control	2	.1	.4	89.6
More local decisions, less federal, less non-local, less federal "overreach"	9	.4	1.7	91.4

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Complaint about single federal employee like rangers with personal mandate to change the past history of area	3	.1	.6	91.9
Regulation, too much, too much law enforcement	6	.3	1.2	93.1
State residents should have first option to buy or lease land	1	.0	.2	93.3
Too many employees, unsupervised workforce; forest service personnel burning the clock, lazy	4	.2	.8	94.0
Yellowstone Park winter restrictions	1	.0	.2	94.2
General positive comment, good work, they have an impossible job	4	.2	.8	95.0
General negative comment, ex: you wouldn't listen anyways	8	.4	1.5	96.5
Private land owners making rules on local federal public lands due to fact they graze their livestock there	1	.0	.2	96.7
Better maintenance, more, ex: bathrooms	3	.1	.6	97.3
Less concessionaire run areas	1	.0	.2	97.5
Camp spots too small	1	.0	.2	97.7
Increasing encroachment: effects on wildlife, islanding of wilderness	3	.1	.6	98.3
Too much influence from environmental groups	3	.1	.6	98.8
More concessionaire run areas, facilities, amenities, etc	2	.1	.4	99.2
Infringement on landowner or allotment property rights, attempt to curtail business development outside of land	3	.1	.6	99.8

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Historic and prehistoric sites not protected adequately	1	.0	.2	100.0
	Total	521	23.4	100.0	
Missing	-99	1708	76.6		
Total		2229	100.0		

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Access in general, unspecified or unclassifiable	2	.1	1.3	1.3
	Too many roads or trails closed, open roads	6	.3	3.8	5.0
	Access for ATV and motorcycle, etc	1	.0	.6	5.6
	Cost; All land should be open to public free of cost Cost too high	2	.1	1.3	6.9
	Disabled and elderly access on closed roads and trails	4	.2	2.5	9.4
	Hunting access, general	2	.1	1.3	10.6
	Limit Access to Wilderness areas, or some roads required but limit, not 3 or more roads on each hillside	1	.0	.6	11.3
	Private landowners blocking access points	2	.1	1.3	12.5
	Roads for fighting fires	1	.0	.6	13.1
	Roads for miners and loggers	2	.1	1.3	14.4
	Not enough roads closed	1	.0	.6	15.0
	ATV, etc, too many, in restricted areas	8	.4	5.0	20.0
	Camping limits, abuse of; people camping in one place too long Homeless camps and associated debris	1	.0	.6	20.6

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
p Ic	Commercial huckleberry icking camps and non- ocal commercial pickers, estructive pickers	1	.0	.6	21.3
e si p	inforcement, more law nforcement rangers, tricter fines and unishment, not enough nforcement	5	.2	3.1	24.4
p fe b	ittering, trash, drug araphernalia, and dog eces, not safe, fine should e really harsh, promote tewardship	1	.0	.6	25.0
	lountain bikers vs hikers nd horses	1	.0	.6	25.6
р	Iultiple use, not all eoples' voices are being eard	1	.0	.6	26.3
N	Iultiple use, unspecified	2	.1	1.3	27.5
si ka	lo-wake waterways to upport canoeing and ayaking, safe swimming nd kayaking areas, fishing	1	.0	.6	28.1
	Out of state recreationists s local	1	.0	.6	28.7
C	Vercrowding	5	.2	3.1	31.9
Р	Poaching	1	.0	.6	32.5
le	Regulations violations essening recreation xperience	1	.0	.6	33.1
Ci	Rude campers in ampgrounds, not family riented	1	.0	.6	33.8
L	oose dogs	1	.0	.6	34.4
S	bhooting, dangers of	1	.0	.6	35.0
	fentality that public land is mine" rather than "ours"	1	.0	.6	35.6
	lo, or less, grazing should e allowed	3	.1	1.9	37.5
	lot enough grazing with vestock	2	.1	1.3	38.8
	aise price of grazing ublic lands	1	.0	.6	39.4

Extraction industry has too much control over our much control over our<		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
companies accountable for the health and environmental fall out of their activities2.11.341.9Need more mining2.11.341.9Trail maintenance needed1.0.642.5Wilderness Study Areas, 	much control over our	1	.0	.6	40.0
Trail maintenance needed1.0.642.5Wilderness Study Areas, Blue Joint or Sapphire areas, should be kept intact1.0.643.1Wilderness and the wild have value1.0.643.8Too many large carnivores3.11.945.6Trapping, stop on federal lands1.0.646.3Concern about wildlife being killed due to encroachment and attack in their land1.0.646.9Legal protection of person defending oneself from predators1.0.647.5Burned areas, allow logging of, salvage1.0.650.0Clean up logging slash, chip if they have to, clean up trash when they are done1.0.650.6Dead and dying timber, removal4.22.553.153.8Fire Suppression doesnt happen fast enough, put out immediately, less or no let it5.23.156.9	companies accountable for the health and environmental fall out of	1	.0	.6	40.6
Wildemess Study Areas, Blue Joint or Sapphire areas, should be kept intact1.0.643.1Wildemess and the wild have value1.0.643.8Too many large carnivores3.11.945.6Trapping, stop on federal lands1.0.646.3Concern about wildlife being killed due to encroachment and attack in 	Need more mining	2	.1	1.3	41.9
Blue Joint or Sapphire areas, should be kept intact10.643.8Wilderness and the wild have value10.643.8Too many large carnivores3.11.945.6Trapping, stop on federal lands10.646.3Concern about wildlife being killed due to encroachment and attack in their land10.646.9Legal protection of person defending oneself from predators10.647.5Not enough game10.648.1Better management practices2.11.349.4Burned areas, allow logging of, salvage1.0.650.6Clean up logging slash, chip if they have to, clean up trash when they are done1.0.653.1Dead and dying timber, more for fire suppression4.22.553.1Fire Suppression doesnt happen fast enough, put out immediately, less or no let it5.23.156.9	Trail maintenance needed	1	.0	.6	42.5
have value111111Too many large carnivores3.11.945.6Trapping, stop on federal lands1.0.646.3Concern about wildlife being killed due to encroachment and attack in their land1.0.646.9Legal protection of person defending oneself from predators1.0.647.5Not enough game1.0.648.1Better management practices2.11.349.4Practices2.11.349.4Dead and dying timber, done4.22.553.1Encroachment, those people should be paying more for fire suppression1.0.653.8Fire Suppression doesnt happen fast enough, put out immediately, less or no let it5.23.156.9	Blue Joint or Sapphire	1	.0	.6	43.1
Trapping, stop on federal lands1.0.646.3Concern about wildlife being killed due to encroachment and attack in their land1.0.646.9Legal protection of person defending oneself from predators1.0.647.5Not enough game1.0.648.1Better management practices2.11.349.4Burned areas, allow logging of, salvage1.0.650.0Clean up logging slash, chip if they have to, clean up trash when they are done1.0.650.6Dead and dying timber, removal4.22.553.1Fire Suppression doesnt happen fast enough, put out immediately, less or no let it5.23.156.9		1	.0	.6	43.8
landsImageConcern about wildlife being killed due to encroachment and attack in their land1.0.646.9Legal protection of person defending oneself from predators1.0.647.5Not enough game1.0.648.1Better management practices2.11.349.4Burned areas, allow logging of, salvage1.0.650.0Clean up logging slash, chip if they have to, clean up trash when they are done1.0.650.6Dead and dying timber, removal4.22.553.1Encroachment, those people should be paying more for fire suppression doesnt happen fast enough, put out immediately, less or no let it5.23.1	Too many large carnivores	3	.1	1.9	45.6
being killed due to encroachment and attack in their landn. d.n. d.n. d.Legal protection of person defending oneself from predators1.0.647.5Not enough game1.0.648.1Better management practices2.11.349.4Burned areas, allow logging of, salvage1.0.650.0Clean up logging slash, chip if they have to, clean up trash when they are done1.0.650.6Dead and dying timber, removal4.22.553.1Encroachment, those people should be paying more for fire suppression doesnt happen fast enough, put out immediately, less or no let it5.23.1		1	.0	.6	46.3
defending oneself from predators1.0.648.1Not enough game1.0.648.1Better management practices2.11.349.4Burned areas, allow logging of, salvage1.0.650.0Clean up logging slash, chip if they have to, clean up trash when they are done1.0.650.6Dead and dying timber, removal4.22.553.1Encroachment, those people should be paying more for fire suppression doesnt happen fast enough, put out immediately, less or no let it5.23.1	being killed due to encroachment and attack in	1	.0	.6	46.9
Better management practices2.11.349.4Burned areas, allow logging of, salvage1.0.650.0Clean up logging slash, chip if they have to, clean 	defending oneself from	1	.0	.6	47.5
practicesImage: Second sec	Not enough game	1	.0	.6	48.1
of, salvageIIIClean up logging slash, chip if they have to, clean up trash when they are done1.0.650.6Dead and dying timber, removal4.22.553.1Encroachment, those people should be paying more for fire suppression1.0.653.8Fire Suppression doesnt happen fast enough, put out immediately, less or no let it5.23.156.9		2	.1	1.3	49.4
chip if they have to, clean up trash when they are done4.22.553.1Dead and dying timber, removal4.22.553.1Encroachment, those people should be paying more for fire suppression1.0.653.8Fire Suppression doesnt happen fast enough, put out immediately, less or no let it5.23.156.9		1	.0	.6	50.0
removalEncroachment, those people should be paying more for fire suppression1.0.653.8Fire Suppression doesnt happen fast enough, put out immediately, less or no let it5.23.156.9	chip if they have to, clean up trash when they are	1	.0	.6	50.6
people should be paying more for fire suppression 5 .2 3.1 56.9 happen fast enough, put out immediately, less or no let it 5 .2 3.1 56.9		4	.2	2.5	53.1
happen fast enough, put out immediately, less or no let it	people should be paying	1	.0	.6	53.8
	happen fast enough, put out immediately, less or no let it	5	.2	3.1	56.9

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Inding through timber Iles	1	.0	.6	57.5
ch	obal warming or climate ange exists, proliferation diseased forests	1	.0	.6	58.1
	awsuit decrease, too many	6	.3	3.8	61.9
Lo	ocal economic benefit	3	.1	1.9	63.7
in cu	itigation as an excuse to crease logging and clear itting on public lands jain	1	.0	.6	64.4
	itigation and prevention, ot enough	6	.3	3.8	68.1
	escribed burning, not hough, use fire as tool	1	.0	.6	68.8
	et fires burn, if not reatening structures	1	.0	.6	69.4
	emove or log Beetle kill, ontrol pine beetle	1	.0	.6	70.0
	ninning, selective harvest, ot enough	5	.2	3.1	73.1
ge	mber harvest and sales in eneral need to increase, ot enough	13	.6	8.1	81.3
	oxic air, smoke from fires ad prescribed burns	5	.2	3.1	84.4
	ilderness designated eas, allow logging in	1	.0	.6	85.0
giv	d growth, more attention ven to stewardship of old owth forest	1	.0	.6	85.6
G	eneral concern about fires	1	.0	.6	86.3
	ater quality concerns, atershed protection	2	.1	1.3	87.5
Re	eplanting need	1	.0	.6	88.1
	ug manufacturing or owing on federal lands	2	.1	1.3	89.4
sh sta	ontrol, federal lands lould not be turned over to ate or private anagement	2	.1	1.3	90.6

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Control, give land to State or local	2	.1	1.3	91.9
	Current administration and their rape and pillage mentality Negative comment about current administration	1	.0	.6	92.5
	Cut corruption and waste	2	.1	1.3	93.8
	Hire local, more seasonal jobs made available, need more employees	1	.0	.6	94.4
	Invasive species control	1	.0	.6	95.0
	Keep them in as pristine condition as possible for future generations	1	.0	.6	95.6
	Noxious weeds control	1	.0	.6	96.3
	Regulation, too much, too much law enforcement	2	.1	1.3	97.5
	Too many employees, unsupervised workforce; forest service personnel burning the clock, lazy	2	.1	1.3	98.8
	Need for Knowledgeable employees	1	.0	.6	99.4
	Too much influence from environmental groups	1	.0	.6	100.0
	Total	160	7.2	100.0	
Missing	-99	2069	92.8		
Total		2229	100.0		

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Cost; All land should be open to public free of cost Cost too high	1	.0	2.0	2.0
	Disabled and elderly access on closed roads and trails	1	.0	2.0	4.1
	Hunter access to retrieve big game animals on roads that have been closed after a certain time, afternoon	1	.0	2.0	6.1
	Private landowners blocking access points	1	.0	2.0	8.2
	Roads for fighting fires	2	.1	4.1	12.2
	Roads for miners and loggers	1	.0	2.0	14.3
	ATV, etc, too many, in restricted areas	3	.1	6.1	20.4
	Enforcement, more law enforcement rangers, stricter fines and punishment, not enough enforcement	1	.0	2.0	22.4
	Littering, trash, drug paraphernalia, and dog feces, not safe, fine should be really harsh, promote stewardship	1	.0	2.0	24.5
	Logging conflicts with recreation, hunting	1	.0	2.0	26.5
	Multiple use, unspecified	1	.0	2.0	28.6
	Overcrowding	1	.0	2.0	30.6
	Not enough grazing with livestock	3	.1	6.1	36.7
	Ranchers are stewards of land	1	.0	2.0	38.8
	Endangered or threatened species, more attention given to: grizzly bears, wolverines, lynx, etc	2	.1	4.1	42.9
	Elk should be managed for hunting only	1	.0	2.0	44.9
	Better management practices	1	.0	2.0	46.9

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Fire Suppression doesnt happen fast enough, put out immediately, less or no let it burn	2	.1	4.1	51.0
	Lawsuit decrease, too many Less litigation	1	.0	2.0	53.1
	Mitigation as an excuse to increase logging and clear cutting on public lands again	1	.0	2.0	55.1
	Mitigation and prevention, not enough	3	.1	6.1	61.2
	Prescribed burning, not enough, use fire as tool	1	.0	2.0	63.3
	Remove or log Beetle kill, control pine beetle	1	.0	2.0	65.3
	Thinning, selective harvest, not enough	2	.1	4.1	69.4
	Timber harvest and sales in general need to increase, not enough	4	.2	8.2	77.6
	Toxic air, smoke from fires and prescribed burns	5	.2	10.2	87.8
	General concern about fires	1	.0	2.0	89.8
	Water quality concerns, watershed protection	1	.0	2.0	91.8
	Replanting need	1	.0	2.0	93.9
	Use science	1	.0	2.0	95.9
	Regulation and FS ability to fine if harvesting rules broken	1	.0	2.0	98.0
	Harvest sustainably	1	.0	2.0	100.0
	Total	49	2.2	100.0	
Missing	-99	2180	97.8		
Total		2229	100.0		

References

- American Association for Public Opinion Research. (2016). *Standard Definitions: Final Dispositions of Case Codes and Outcome Rates for Surveys. 9th edition.* Oakbrook Terrace, IL: AAPOR.
- Dillman, D. A., Smyth, J. D., & Christian, L. M. (2014). *Internet, Phone, Mail, and Mixed-Mode Surveys: The Tailored Design Method*. Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- U.S. Census Bureau. (2019, April 29). American Fact Finder: Tables B01001A, B01001C, S0101, S1501, S1901, 2013-2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates. Washington, D.C., USA.